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# AN ABSTRACT OF THE PROJECT OF 

Samar Khodor Al Rifai for Master of Business Administration<br>Major: Business Administration

Title: Pricing and Costing of services at Labib Medical Center.

This consulting project about costing and pricing of services at Labib Medical Center (LMC) aims at helping the management of LMC in exploring the challenges and opportunities that enable it to manage the cost and pricing of the services offered and maximize revenues.

Looking at the services where pricing is tough to negotiate, improving costs is crucial, where building cost models is a starting point. Units at LMC are divided into profit and cost centers. Cost per one unit of measurement per profit center is calculated, where total cost is equal to the sum of direct, overhead, and share of indirect and service departments' costs.

On the other hand, looking at one of the services, where LMC could improve its profitability by improving its pricing strategy, this project presents to the management different pricing approaches of the rental fees of the clinics. Increasing flat rate versus price modeling driven by willingness to pay increased total revenues generated from 34\% to $228 \%$.

## CONTENTS

## Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ..... v
ABSTRACT ..... vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... vii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS ..... ix
LIST OF TABLES ..... x
Chapter
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 1
I. INTRODUCTION .....  3
A . INRODUCTION ..... 3
B . HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY IN LEBANON ..... 5
C. OVERVIEW OF LABIB MEDICAL CENTER ..... 7
II. PART 1: BUILDING COST MODELS OF THE PROJECT ..... 10
A. OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT : AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE ..... 10
B. SUMMARY OF THE APPROACH FOLLOWED ..... 12
C. COLLECTING THE DATA ..... 13
D. PLANNING THE MODELS ..... 13

1. Profit Centers ..... 14
2. Cost Centers. ..... 16
3. Key Measurements ..... 17
4. Cost Categories. ..... 17
E. DEVELOPING THE MODELS ..... 20
5. Labor Cost ..... 20
6. Medical Supplies and Medication ..... 23
7. Dietary Cost ..... 26
8. Overhead Cost ..... 30
9. Indirect Cost. ..... 34
F. THE COST MODELS ..... 37
G. LIMITATIONS ..... 38
H. RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 39
I. CONCLUSION ..... 43
III. PART 2 : PRICING THE CLINIC RENTAL FEES AT LMC ..... 44
A. INTRODUCTION ..... 44
B. CURRENT SITUATION ASSESMENT ..... 45
C. SOLUTION 1: INCREASE FLAT RATE ..... 47
D. SOLUTION 2 : SLOTS ALLOCATION \& PRICING ..... 48
10. Number of Slots Needed ..... 49
11. Grid for weekly slots allocation ..... 50
12. Willingness to pay (WTP) for each doctor ..... 51
13. Model version 1 ..... 52
14. Model version 2 ..... 54
15. Comparison of results ..... 55
E. CONCLUSION ..... 56
LIST OF APPENDICES
A.1.Geographical Distribution of Private Hospitals. ..... 57
A.2.Statistics ..... 57
A.3.Examples of calculating MS cost. ..... 60
A.4.Examples of calculating Unit Labor cost ..... 61
A.5.Summary of cleaning cost ..... 63
A.6.Summary of stationary cost ..... 63
A.7.Summary of waste management cost.. ..... 64
A.8. Labor cost of biomedical maintenance unit. ..... 65
A.9.Distribution of average consumption of electricity \& diesel ..... 66
A.10.Summary of depreciation per center ..... 67
A.11.Example of service department cost allocation. ..... 68
A.12.Cost Models ..... 70
A.13.Infection Control - Routine Tests ..... 75
REFERENCES ..... 76

## ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure Page

1. Sample of Medical Bill ..... 11
2. Approach followed: 3 steps ..... 12
3. Summary of Total net Costs allocated to each Profit Center. ..... 14
4. Total Cost per One Type of Meal ..... 29
5. Example: cost of dietary per one patient day in OBS department ..... 29
6. Average Cost per One Item Washed per Day ..... 32
7. Indirect Cost Allocated per Unit of Measure ..... 35
8. Cost Allocation between the Service Departments (monthly basis) ..... 36
9. Evolution of the Finance Department ..... 42
10. WTP/ New Flat Rate/ New Revenues ..... 47
11. Demand at Flat Rate. ..... 48
12. Minimum Number of Slots Needed per Doctor. ..... 50
13. Weekly Grid. ..... 51
14. WTP per Doctor per Section ..... 51
15. Model Version 1 ..... 53
16. Model Version 2 ..... 54
17. Comparison of results ..... 55

## TABLES

Table Page

1. Sources of Data ..... 13
2. Labor cost of NM/RN per one patient day in Pediatrics ..... 21
3. Labor cost/endoscopy ..... 23
4. Example of MS used per one patient day ..... 24
5. Distribution of Dietary Staff ..... 27
6. Average Labor Cost per Type of Meal ..... 27
7. Average Direct Food Cost per Type of Meal ..... 28
8. Example of average laundry cost per one patient day in Pediatrics unit ..... 32
9. Allocation Base per Type of Maintenance Cost. ..... 33
10. Allocation Base per Indirect Cost ..... 36
11. Summary of Cost per Profit Center ..... 37
12. Current Clinical Schedules ..... 45

To Samer $\mathcal{Z} \mathcal{N}$ Nour

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Labib Medical Center (LMC) is a medium-sized hospital located in Saida, South Lebanon. As most revenues at LMC are generated from governmental institutions, most tariffs cannot be negotiated. As a result, LMC's management finds itself facing a big challenge of controlling the costs of the services offered. One of the solutions that will help LMC face these challenges is to apply cost management for the services it offers. The main objective of the first part of the project is to group the total costs of delivering the medical services into cost models for managerial and control purposes. The units at LMC are divided into profit centers and cost centers (or service departments). The total net costs allocated to each profit center is equal to the sum of each center's direct costs, overhead costs, share of indirect cost, and share of total costs of the service departments. The total cost per profit center is allocated according to each center's unit of measurement, for example, cost per operation, etc... After building the cost models, the management is highly recommended to use them in order to develop budgets and monitor the efficiency of its resources. Besides offering medical and surgical services to the patients, LMC has a clinic center rented to doctors. Currently, LMC charges flat rates for the rental fees while incurring fixed costs, which results in an operating loss. The second part of this project aims at exploring the different opportunities that LMC management could have in pricing these clinics. Two different approached are presented: 1. Today the clinics are underpriced and therefore one approach is just to increase the current (monthly) rate on all Drs by an average of 33\% that can increase revenues by (34\%) without affecting demand. However,2. a more sophisticated approach is also presented that divides the day in two half days and allocate to every Dr
a number of half days, given his/her current patients load and their willingness to pay and the fact that some (half) days are busier than others. The result is an incredible increase of around $228 \%$ in total revenues generated from the clinics without affecting the service guaranteed to the DRs (turning really the clinics from factually being a cost center into a profit center).

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## A. Introduction

On May 6th 2014, Mr. Abou Zahr, Labib Medical Center’s General Director, sighed in exasperation as he rubbed his forehead anxiously. He was sitting in his office that afternoon looking at the minutes of one of the meetings he attended at the Syndicate of Hospitals in Lebanon few days ago. He was recalling the details of the meeting, which gathered many hospitals from different Lebanese regions discussing one issue, the increasing cost of healthcare services.

Labib Medical Center is a medium sized hospital located in Saida, South of Lebanon, founded in 1973. It provides several medical services to its community and has put large investments in capital expenditure in order to have a more sustainable financial prospect, by widening the range of its services to keep up with competition and generating higher profits.

A large percentage of revenues at LMC are generated by governmental institutions, which tariffs do not necessarily take into consideration the increasing costs of healthcare, in particular when it comes to wages and direct supplies. This issue has been a major challenge for most medium-sized hospitals and has been the primary topic of discussion in most of the meetings of the Syndicate.

LMC's like any profitable organization is driven by revenues and costs. For most of the services provided by the hospital patients tariffs are mainly set by the government, and demand is not the issue. Therefore, improving profitability is directly linked for those services to the tariffs but more importantly to the cost structure. As a
matter of fact, when the negotiations are usually taking place with the government with respect to those services tariffs, the main driver of the discussion is the cost itself. On the other hand, when it comes to the clinics, the service offered to Drs to receive their patients, they incur usually a fixed cost and LMC has a direct control on its pricing scheme.

Driven by LMC quality mission of offering the best medical services to its patients in a cost effective manner, Mr. Abou Zahr decided to call for a meeting with the financial manager (FM) at LMC who is also an MBA student and ask her to work on this project.

The objective of the FM in this study is of two folds. First and foremost is to understand and structure the costs incurred by LMC and breaking them down to the operating unit. Such critical exercise will allow a great transparency in the cost structure down again to the unit level as opposed to the cost opacity that exists today. The advantages are numerous including

- Possibility to evaluate and assess the effectiveness of the current strategy adopted by LMC but also the efficiency and productivity of current processes and resources available at an aggregate level but also in each unit.
- Be able to take advantage of best practices, benchmarking and be able to link these to a set of action plans to improve the current way of doing
- Be able to leverage on any IT platform available or could be implemented that would help reporting, but also managing costs and eventually profitability. Secondly, this report tackles the pricing part of the clinics. Tactically, the clinics with their fixed costs and capacity constraints are amenable to revenue management techniques. Even though not typically done, we believe it is a serious opportunity for

LMC to consider. Revenue management, also known as yield management in the airline industry, is an approach often used by capacity-constrained industries to maximize profitability by allocating the right product to the right customers at the right price (Ltd., 2015).

In conclusion, the FM tries to approach the two modeling parts mentioned above by trying to answer the following questions. What is the main approach followed in designing the cost models? What are the main cost categories that will be included? What are the key measurements that these models will be looking at? What are the main limitations but also recommendations that will be eventually passed to management? Finally, what are the different approaches followed to price the clinics' rental? How the models will be designed to answer such query?

The first part of the project summarizes the different steps followed in planning, developing, and building cost models and give recommendations as to how to benefit most from these models. The second part of the project presents and evaluates pricing tactics for the clinics rental problem and studies their impact on revenues.

## B. Health Care Industry in Lebanon

Lebanon is one of the most dynamic healthcare markets in the Arab region. It has one of the best quality healthcare sectors in the Middle-East; it also enjoys a growing health tourism and cosmetic surgery sector.

However, despite its superior services to neighboring countries, the Health care sector in Lebanon is recently struggling due to the socio-economical and political factors from the neighboring conflict in Syria and the increasing cost of Healthcare.

Lebanon spends above 6\% of its GDP on Healthcare services. This figure has dropped from above $10 \%$ in the recent years due to the poor performance of the economy, high net public debt, and increasing wages thus pressuring the government budget (Banque Bemo, 2013). The high public debt limits public expenditure on healthcare. The burden of the health expenditure has been shifting from the government to the private households, however, unless the economic performance improves, the current pattern of health care expenditure will weigh on the Hospital industry adversely affecting the quality of services provided. There is a total of 163 Hospitals contracting with the Ministry of Public Health, $84.66 \%$ of the Hospitals are private Hospitals while $15 \%$ are public hospitals of which $12 \%$ are located in South Lebanon (see A. 1) (Banque Bemo, 2013).

Saida hosts a number of medium to large sized hospitals where Hammoud Hospital University Medical Center (325 beds), largest hospital in Saida, and Labib Medical Center are the most popular ones in the region (Hammoudhospital.com, 2014). These hospitals admit patients who are covered by public institutions, for example, Ministry of Health. In fact, every patient admitted to any hospital is either a self-payer or is guaranteed by a third party which could be a governmental institution, nongovernmental institution, or an insurance company. The tariffs set for the services offered and covered by the governmental guarantors are imposed by these institutions. Although the region is facing difficult economic and social conditions, these institutions are resistant to adjust these tariffs accordingly to match the increasing costs, especially in salaries and wages. As a result, healthcare systems seek to optimize the quality of the services offered to the patients while maintaining cost levels in an objective of improving their bottom lines. They tend to exercise cost containment in order to
maintain their financial stability and achieve their quality missions. Directors and managers face a big challenge in monitoring and increasing the efficiency of these systems by analyzing and controlling the cost of these services. In other words, these systems tend to enhance efficiency by applying cost management.

By definition, cost management is all about collecting, analyzing, and evaluating cost information for budgeting and monitoring purposes. Cost management help achieving effective financial control that has enormous impact on a hospital's bottom line. CFOs should create processes that enable the collection and analysis of data aimed at building a cost model that is used for decision making and control purposes. A cost model categorizes the different types of costs, helps identifying their distribution and weight according to each service, and provides a base for the management to rely on in taking decisions regarding resources’ efficiencies, pricing schemes, third party contracting, and benchmarking. In summary, it is crucial when one cannot control its pricing strategy, he or she should know his or her costs in order to balance quality of services offered with level of the bottom line.

## C. Overview of Labib Medical Center

Labib Medical Center (LMC) is a family owned business established in the city of Saida, south Lebanon, in 1973 by the late Dr. Labib Abouzahr. The main activities of the center are to provide hospitalization and other medical and health care related services to patients.

Initially, the center had three floors with 59 beds and hosted both medical and surgical cases with a kidney dialysis center, intensive care unit, and diagnostic center.

After several phases of development and renovation, the medical center expanded its capacity to 102 beds including high standard suites and single rooms and currently has more than 320 employees alongside with a number of full-time (around 45 doctors) and part-time doctors.

Major Services offered are medical services (internal medicine, c), surgical services (open heart, orthopedic, etc...), endoscopy (bronchoscopy, colonoscopy, etc...), kidney dialysis center, diagnostic services (laboratory, radiology, cath lab, etc...), and patients’ clinics.

In the year 2005, LMC was awarded the Lebanese Accreditation Certificate and was categorized under "Class A" according to the accreditation standards. Later in 2006, it has obtained the ISO 9001:2000 Certificate from the TUV Company that was valid for three years. Annual follow-up audits were performed during that period to maintain and improve the quality system and the hospital performance. In June 2010, the TUV performed the recertification audit at LMC and awarded the ISO 9001:2008 Certificate which was also valid for three years. In September 2011, an audit was conducted by Gates to recertify the center with the Lebanese Accreditation. And finally in 2012, the center was awarded HACCP certification for the food safety management. The hospital executive management team is a group of skilled and experienced personnel who are committed to continuous quality improvement. The team has always striven to provide high quality health care services and maintain customer loyalty.

LMC quality mission is having the objective of emphasizing a customercentered approach addressing the needs of its customers, enhancing their satisfaction, by improving and continuously monitoring its employees' capabilities, enrolling experienced professionals, and providing them with continuous education, thus
providing its customers comprehensive, accessible, competent health care services in a cost effective way, to further enhance customer satisfaction and improve the quality of the services rendered.

At LMC, around 70\% of the revenues are generated from patients guaranteed by a governmental institution, while others come from insurance companies or from selfpayers patients. Examples of governmental institutions are the National Social Security Fund (N.S.S.F.), the Ministry of Health (MOH), the Government Employee Cooperative (GEC), the Ministry of Defense (army), etc...

As said before, the tariffs set for the services offered and covered by the governmental guarantors are imposed by these institutions. As for the insurance companies, the tariffs are can be negotiated. The tariffs vary according to the service offered and the class of the admission (suite, first class, second class, third class).

## CHAPTER II

## PART 1: BUILDING COST MODELS OF THE PROJECT

## A. Objectives of the Project: An illustrative example

When a patient is admitted to LMC (or is an out-patient), he or she receives a bundle of medical care services which are summarized in the medical bill charged to the patient. These services include room and board (for example normal stay, intensive care unit including hotelier services), daily disposables (routine medical supplies), laboratory charges, radiology charges, medical supplies, medication, operating room charges, other hospital charges, and doctors' fees.

## Example (medical admission):

Mrs. Y is admitted to the hospital for having a fever and is expected to stay for two days in the second class covered by NSSF. The admitting doctor orders the following:

- CBC test (Hematocrit, RBC, WBC, etc...)
- Chest X-Ray
- Antipyretic (to reduce fever)
- Antibiotic (after examining lab results)
- One bag of Mixed 0.45\% 1000 ML (I.V.)

The bill can be summarized as below where the unit prices used follow the tariffs imposed by NSSF:

| Service Description | Unit Price | Total <br> Amount | NSSF <br> share | Patient <br> Share |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal Stay (bed) | $87,000 /$ day as lump sum | 174,000 | 156,600 | 17,400 |
| Professional <br> (doctor's fees) | $40,000 /$ day | 80,000 | 72,000 | 8,000 |
| Infusions | $3,376 /$ bag | $245 / \mathrm{L}$ | 3,376 | 3,038 |
| Laboratory | $405 / R$ | 11,025 | 9,923 | 1,102 |
| Radiology |   <br> Medication following the Ministry of Health tariffs | 24,300 | 21,870 | 2,430 |
|  | Total Charges (in LBP) | 333,332 | 299,999 | 33,333 |

Fig.1. Sample of Medical Bill

The main purpose of this project is to take the main components of the medical bill representative of the services that might take place in different departments (called hereafter profit centers), evaluate them from cost point of view, and then group the results into cost models.

For all the reasons I have mentioned before, these models should enable the finance department (FD) to present to the management the cost drivers of the services the hospital offers and show alerts to areas which need further attention to capture information for cost analysis and management. In simple words, these models should at the end add up the total costs incurred from the treatment of a patient as opposed to the revenues earned as presented in the bill.

The main approach followed in this project is breaking down the costs bulkily presented in the profit and loss statement of LMC into service levels across the different profit centers. After collecting the different data essential in this study, costs at the different profit centers are identified and categorized between direct, overhead, and indirect costs. Direct costs mainly include labor costs, supplies costs, and dietary costs
that are directly related to the operations. Overhead costs include cost of utilities, stationary, laundry, depreciation, etc... Under indirect costs fall two parts. The first part represents mainly the share of financial costs (and others). The second part represents the share of allocated service departments' costs. The costs that are incurred in these service departments or also called cost centers are allocated to the profit centers using step-down approach. As a result all costs are broken down and grouped in the models according to each profit center.
B. Summary of the approach followed


Fig.2. Approach followed: 3 steps

## C. Collecting the data

The main sources of data used in this project are secondary data.

Table 1: Sources of Data

| Data | Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost categories | P\&L |
| Patient days | Logs |
| Occupancy rate | Logs |
| Operations | Logs |
| KD sessions | Logs |
| Endoscopy procedures | Logs |
| Cath Lab | Logs |
| Lab/Rad | Billing system |
| Store sales to <br> departments | Stock system reports/logs |
| Salaries | HR system/ accounting system |
| Meal Census | Logs |
| Cost details | accounting system |
| Contractual Maintenance | Contracts/biomedical logs and reports |
| Cleaning consumption | contractor logs |
| Depreciation | Fixed assets register/accounting <br> system |

Logs are filled by head of departments on daily or monthly basis and are used to prepare periodical reports. The data used mainly cover periods back to 2014 (some are back to 2013) (see A. 2).

## D. Planning the models

As said before, the items that are charged in the medical bill are representative of the services that are offered through different profit centers. So the models will be built around the different profit centers that exist in LMC.


Fig. 3 Summary of Total net Costs allocated to each Profit Center

## 1. Profit Centers

By definition, profit center is a part of a business that directly contributes to its profit (Wikipedia, 2015). Profit center is a revenue generating center that encompasses cost becoming as a result a blend of both cost and revenue centers.

At LMC, the profit centers are directly related to the patient. There are several groups of centers categorized according to the service provided to the patient.

## Group 1: Ward

This group includes floors or wards where the patient stays when he or she is admitted without need for intensive care. The floors at LMC are distributed as the following:

- Medical Floor - MF: the first floor at LMC hosts medical patients or post-ICU patients.
- Second or surgical Floor - SF: the second floor hosts patients after surgical operations (it also hosts medical patients).
- Cardiac Ward - CW: the fourth floor hosts cardiac patients.
- Fifth Floor- FF: the fifth floor hosts patients with upgraded class.
- OBS/GYN: ward that hosts (female) patients after delivery mainly.
- Chemotherapy-CH: ward that host patients who receive treatment for cancer.
- Pediatrics: ward that is special for pediatric patients.
- Nursery: ward that hosts newborn and babies.

Group 2: I.C.U.
This group includes units that provide intensive care for patients who are in need. The units are distributed as the following:

- Heart Intensive Care unit - HICU: this unit hosts cardiac patients (adults) who are in need of special care (CCU). This unit also hosts patients who undergo open heart surgery (CSU).
- Neonatal Intensive Care unit - NICU: this unit hosts babies who are in need of special care.
- Intensive Care unit - ICU: this unit hosts adult patients who are in need of special care.


## Group 3: Diagnostic Centers

This group includes units that undergo diagnostic procedures, including the following:

- Laboratory
- Radiology
- Endoscopy
- Catheterization Lab (cardiac diagnostic procedures)

Group 4: O.R.
This group includes units that undergo surgical operations, mainly:

- Open Heart: unit for open heart surgeries
- Operating Room - OR: unit for all surgeries except open heart

In addition to these two units, I have added to this group the normal delivery unit.

## Group 5: other

This group includes the kidney dialysis center (KD) and the emergency department (ED).

## 2. Cost Centers

By definition, a cost centre is part of an organization that does not generate direct profit and adds to its total costs (Wikipedia, 2015). At LMC, the cost centers include mainly the service departments that are not directly related to the patients and are as the following:

- Administration and accounting
- IT
- Procurement
- Maintenance
- Infection control and environmental health
- Medical records
- Laundry
- Pharmacy (also can be considered as profit centers since it generate revenue from the sale of medication, but in this project I will not talk
about the profit generated from medication rather I will talk about the other costs and distribute them).


## 3. Key Measurements

The cost models will measure cost of services offered across the different profit centers as the following:

- Cost per one patient day (ward-ICU): one patient day represents the stay of a patient during one day in any floor/unit.
- Cost per one operation (open heart and others).
- Cost per one kidney dialysis session.
- Cost per L: every lab test has a weight measured by L.
- Cost per R: every radiology procedure has a weight measured by R.
- Cost per one procedure (endoscopy/ cath lab/ER).


## 4. Cost Categories

In this section, I will present the different cost categories that are part of the P\&L. Details of calculating these costs will be presented in the next section. This classification is applicable for all profit centers.

- Direct Cost

The first category in the model includes the direct costs incurred by each center. The direct costs consist mainly of the following:

- Labor cost:

The labor cost is the cost of manpower used in delivering the service in any specific unit. The labor cost is calculated based mainly on the ratio of human resources per one key indicator (example: ratio of nurses per patients in CW, ratio of nurses per one operation).

- Medical Supplies and Medication:

This cost includes the medical supplies and medication that are used in delivering the service and that are included in the price of this service, i.e. not billed and paid by the patient separately.

- Dietary cost:

In ward, ICU, and KD, patients receive meals included in the cost of stay and not paid separately. Cost per one meal is calculated.

- Overhead Cost

The overhead costs in the profit centers include those costs that are not included in direct labor and direct medical supplies. They are calculated on average per one indicator and include the following:

- Cleaning cost or cost of housekeeping: the housekeeping service is outsourced. The average monthly cost is allocated among the centers according to the distribution of cleaning staff among the floors.
- Stationary cost: average consumption is calculated and divided among the centers.
- Waste management cost: the medical waste that is generated from each patient stay or operation or any other procedure is collected and treated by an outsourced contractor. The cost is per one kilo of waste. So cost is allocated based on average of waste generated by ward and so on.
- Laundry cost: this cost includes cost of linen used on average by each patient.
- Maintenance cost: this cost includes ordinary and contractual cost in each unit. Also the cost includes biomedical maintenance.
- Electricity, diesel, \& fuel: this cost includes average consumption of these utilities by each unit.
- Depreciation Cost
o The depreciation cost is included in the model although it is a non-cash expense but it is part of the P\&L.
o Depreciation cost represents the allocation of the cost of assets over years. In order to match the total cost listed in the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$, the same allocation method will be used in this model.
- Indirect Cost allocation

This category includes costs that are not directly related to the services offered to the patients and mainly includes the financial expenses. For simplicity, these costs are directly allocated to profit centers since they are the results of operations that take place at these centers. The following steps are followed in the calculation of the allocated share of these costs for each profit center:

- Average monthly costs of each profit center are calculated.
- \% of total cost for each center is deduced.
- Average monthly total indirect costs are calculated.
- Share for each center is computed using pro-rata basis based on the percentages calculated in the second step.
- Indirect costs’ share per each unit of measure is determined by dividing the total share computed in the previous step by the unit of measure for each center taken from the statistics used in this report.
- Service Departments/ Cost Centers Cost allocation

Service departments are those cost centers that do not generate revenues. They are the support systems that are essential in providing the service to the patients. The allocation of the service departments' costs to direct patients' departments or profit centers is being implemented more by hospitals in order to reflect the total actual cost of the service provided to each patient. One of these reasons why these costs should be allocated is because they constitute an input in the delivery of service. There are different allocation methods that can be used:

- Direct method: allocates costs directly to the profit centers without taking into consideration the other service departments.
- Step-down method: allocates costs to other service departments in oneway then to profit centers. Its result is affected by the order of the departments and is most commonly used for its simplicity.
- Reciprocal method: recognizes reciprocal services between departments. But it is the most complicated method yet the most accurate one.

Using the step down method (for its simplicity) to allocate total cost of the service departments to the profit centers, both cost and profit centers were first arranged by order of serving the most to serving the least units. Then total costs per department including direct, indirect, and other costs to other departments is allocated using appropriate allocation base.

## E. Developing the models

## 1. Labor Cost

- Wards \& I.C.U.

The labor cost at the wards and in the intensive care units is mainly the sum of the cost of nurses' salaries per one patient day. Nurses are divided among three main categories in each unit:

- Registered Nurse-RN: nurses holding BS degree
- Practical Nurse -PN: nurses holding BT degree and who get lower wages than RNs
- Nurse Manager-NM: head nurse of the unit

In addition to these categories, there is nursing administration staff including one nursing director (ND), one nursing educator, and three supervisors.

For each category, the following is taken into consideration in order to measure the cost:

- Ratio of nurses to patient
- Average total benefits is calculated including basic salary, benefits, NSSF subscription, training, and end of service provision (average 4\% for Lebanese, one month-salary for non-Lebanese)
- Number of beds and occupancy rate

Table 2: Labor cost of NM/RN per one patient day in Pediatrics

| NM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of beds | 8 |  |
| Average days (whether working or not)/month | 30 |  |
|  | LBP |  |
| Average labor COST per month | $1,577,664.44$ |  |
| Average transportation/day/nurse | LBP 8,000.00 |  |


| Average labor cost per day | LBP 60,588.81 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| occupancy rate PEDI | 61\% <br> Average labor cost/day/NM |  |


| RN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Number of beds | 8 |  |
| Average days (whether working or not)/month/nurse | 30 |  |
| Average \# of RNs per day shift | 2 |  |
| Average \# of RNs per night shift | 2 |  |
| Average \# of patients per day shift per nurse | 4 |  |
| Average \# of patients per night shift per nurse | 4 |  |
| Average occupancy rate per day | LBP 1,364,126.55 |  |
| Average COST/month/RN/day or night shift | LBP 8,000.00 |  |
| Average COST/day/RN/day or night shift | LBP 53,470.88 |  |
| Average transportation/RN/day or night | LBP 44,150.27 |  |
| Total average labor cost/day or night/RN | Average labor cost/RN/one patient day |  |

Average labor cost per one patient day is calculated by dividing average cost per one day by (Number of bed * occupancy rate = average occupied bed). The cost of nursing administration staff is allocated mainly according to the nursing staff ratio and number of beds in each unit.

- Diagnostic Centers-Operating Room-Other

In this group, average labor cost per one indicator is calculated using the total number of procedures done according to each category of patients.

Table 3: Labor cost/endoscopy

| Technicians |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average days (whether working or <br> not) per month | 30 |
| Average cost per month | $1,179,308$ |
| Average transportation/day | 8,000 |
| Average labor cost per day | $47,310.28$ |
| Average \# endoscopy per month | 72 |
| Total average \# endoscopy per day | 2.79 |
| Average labor cost/endoscopy/day | $16,979.45$ |

- Labor cost for cost centers

This cost will be included in the service departments cost allocation. For each center, average monthly total salaries is estimated including all benefits from basic salaries, benefits, transportation, NSSF subscriptions, training, and EOS indemnity.

## 2. Medical Supplies \& Medication

In this category, only those supplies and medication that are not directly billed and paid by the patient are taken into consideration, i.e., that are included in the lump sum tariff of one stay or procedure. In each unit, there are two types of medical supplies:

- Average cost of MS posted directly to the patient: average quantity used per one patient X price per item.
- Average cost of MS that are requested on periodical basis to the unit store is calculated and then average per one patient.

Table 4: Example of MS used per one patient day

| Medical Supplies per one patient | Unit Price | average quantity <br> used (day) | average <br> cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Underpad | 0.0783 | 3 | 0.2349 |
| Syringue (3 cc) | 0.0395 | 1 | 0.0395 |
| Syringue (5 cc) | 0.041 | 3 | 0.123 |
| Syringue (50 cc) | 0.55 | 6 | 1.65 |
| Syringue (20 cc) | 0.12 | 4 | 0.24 |
| Needle (18) | 0.0165 | 3 | 0.0495 |
| Tegaderm 6*7 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
| IV | 0.36 | 1 | 0.36 |
| Montage Regulator/simple | 0.48 | $1-2$. | 0.96 |
| Cannula 24 | 0.55 | 1 | 0.55 |
| Cannula 22 | 0.23 | 1 | 0.23 |
| Robinet (3-way) Channel | 0.65 | 1 | 0.65 |
| Disposable gown | 0.09 | 1 | 0.09 |
| Surgical face mask | 0.03625 | 6 | 0.684 |
| Alcool swab | 0.044 | 1 |  |
| Thermometer probe | Total average direct MS |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |

Floor Store Request:

| Medical Supplies | Unit Price | average quantity used (weekly) | average <br> monthly <br> cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gauze swab | 1.55 | 2 | 12.4 |
| Plaster anti-allergic 1- inch (per week) | 0.6875 | 2 | 5.5 |
| Plaster anti-allergic 2- inch (per week) | 1.375 | 1.36 | 7.48 |
| Plaster anti-allergic 3- inch (per week) | 2.0625 | 0.083 | 0.68475 |
| Skinmansoft | 5.85 | 2 | 46.8 |
| Biohazard waste bag | 0.06 | 14 | 3.36 |
| ASEPTO BULB SYRINGE WITH TIP PROTECTOR 80/BX | 0.5 | 4.56 | 2.28 |
| BATTERY AAA | 0.4 | 1.33 | 0.53 |
| DISPOSABLE COVERSHOE NON-SKIT BLUE | 0.02 | 2.22 | 0.04 |
| 9401 FLEECE PROTECTIVE GOWN YELLOW/BLUE | 0.65 | 12.78 | 8.31 |
| KIDNEY SHAPED BASIN 500cc DISPOSABLE | 0.3 | 3.22 | 0.97 |
| PG585310/6 MANISOFT LITER <br> (MANUCLEAR) | 3.48 | 0.67 | 2.32 |
| SYRINGE PERFUSOR 50ML W/O NEEDLE (8728844F) | 0.55 | 83.22 | 45.77 |
| Sharpack big 22 L | 9 | 0.5 | 18 |


| Gloves Latex (2 boxes/week) | 0.032 | 200 | 25.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gloves Free powder (5-6 boxes/month) | 0.065 | 150 | 39 |
| Sharpack small 1.63 L | 1.5 | 1 | 6 |
| Incidin foam (1/ month) | 15.6 | 0.250 | 15.6 |
| Total cost of monthly floor request |  | 240.64 |  |
| Average patients' days |  | 64.73 |  |
| Total cost of monthly floor request allocated |  |  |  |
| daily per one patient day |  | $\$ 3.72$ |  |

In departments like anesthesia (included in the OR), cost of MS is calculated according to each type of anesthesia. Also in this category, cost of medical gazes is included.

## 3. Dietary Cost

Every patient admitted to the hospital, whether in ward, ICU, or KD, is entitled to a number of meals according to his or her admission class and length of stay. Meals are classified according to different types distributed as the following:

- Breakfast/lunch/dinner
- Sandwiches
- Fluids
- Snacks
- NGs (for ICU patients)
- First class special trays

The cost of one meal is divided among direct labor cost, direct cost of supplies and food, and other different cost.

- Direct labor cost per one meal

The tasks of dietary staff are distributed as the following:
Table 5: Distribution of Dietary Staff

| Floor Runner | Collection of cards |
| :--- | :--- |
| Floor Runner | Check up of cards |
| Chef | Preparation |
| Assistant chef | Preparation |
| 2 diet aids+1 chef+1 assistant chef | Plating |
| Floor Runner | Distribution |
| Floor Runner | Recollection |

The daily working hours for dietary staff are distributed according to each task per each type of meal. Then average cost is calculated using average benefits that include, as for nurses basic salaries, transportation, benefits, NSSF subscriptions, and EOS provision.

Table 6: Average Labor cost per Type of Meal

| Type of meal | Average labor cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| BREAKFAST | LBP 1,964.74 |
| LUNCH | LBP 2,495.66 |
| DINNER | LBP 3,354.25 |
| FLUIDS | LBP 1,126.64 |
| NG | LBP 3,748.05 |
| SNACK | LBP 2,122.04 |
| Sandwiches | LBP 2,425.38 |

- Direct food cost per one meal

The direct food cost per one meal includes the cost of all food items in every tray. This cost was given by the head of dietary department who calculates it on quarterly basis for control basis.

The cost varies according to each type of meal and increases for first class patients.
Table 7: Average Direct Food Cost per Type of Meal

| Type of meal | cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dinner/lunch | LBP 1,599 |
| Breakfast | LBP 1,338 |
| Snack | LBP 600 |
| Sandwich | LBP 1,200 |
| Fluids | LBP 816 |

- Other different cost per one meal

The other different costs per one meal include the cost of utensils, general utilities, linen, stationary, supplies, calibration, depreciation cost, and other miscellaneous costs. Total average costs are calculated and divided by the average number of meals consumed to deduce the average cost per one meal.

| Ward/ICU | Breakfast | Lunch | Dinner | NG | Fluids | Snacks | Sandwiches |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor cost per one meal | LBP 1,964.74 | LBP 2,495.66 | LBP 3,354.25 | LBP 3,748.05 | LBP 1,126.64 LBP 2,122.04 | LBP 2,425.38 |  |
| Direct cost of food per one meal | LBP 1,338.30 | LBP 1,599.00 | LBP 1,599.00 | LBP 2,881.00 | LBP 816.09 | LBP 600.00 | LBP 1,200.00 |
| Utensi//Misc cost per one meal | LBP 435.85 | LBP 435.85 | LBP 435.85 | LBP 435.85 | LBP 435.85 | LBP 435.85 | LBP 435.85 |
| Different cost per one meal | LBP 198.95 | LBP 198.95 | LBP 198.95 | LBP 198.95 | LBP 198.95 | LBP 198.95 | LBP 198.95 |
| Total cost per one meal 1 | LBP 3,937.84 | LBP 4,729.47 | LBP 5,588.05 | LBP 7,263.85 | LBP 2,577.53 LBP 3,356.84 | LBP 4,260.18 |  |


| Stationary cost | $98,657.08$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Depreciation cost | $719,453.67$ |
| Miscellaneous cost | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 4 , 5 2 5 . 0 0}$ |
| Electricity, diesel, \& fuel | $4,383,510.89$ |
| Service departments cost allocation | $7,560,602.42$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 4 6 , 7 4 9}$ |
| average monthly mealsं | $\mathbf{6 , 1 3 4 . 8 9}$ |
| cost per one meal | LBP 2,501.55 |


| First class | Breakfast | Lunch | Dinner | Snacks | Extra |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cost per one meal 1 | LBP 3,938 | LBP 4,729 | LBP 5,588 | LBP 3,357 |  |
| additional Labor cost per one meal |  |  |  |  | LBP 1,655.19 |
| additional direct cost of food per one meal | LBP 2,263.30 | LBP 2,267.30 | LBP 2,267.30 | LBP 2,858.00 | LBP 7,682 |
| additional utensils cost | LBP 71.00 | LBP 71.00 | LBP 71.00 | LBP 71.00 | LBP 71.00 |
| Total cost per one meal 2 | LBP 6,272.14 | LBP 7,067.77 | LBP 7,926.35 | LBP 6,285.84 | LBP 9,408.19 |

Fig. 4 Total Cost per One Type of Meal

| Meals per day |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBS | Breakfast | Lunch | Dinner |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost per one meal | $3,937.84$ | $4,729.47$ | $5,588.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other cost per one meal | $2,501.14$ | $2,501.14$ | $2,501.14$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of meals per one patient day | LBP 21,758.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 5 Example: cost of dietary per one patient day in OBS department

## 4. Overhead Costs

- Cleaning Cost

LMC outsources a housekeeping contractor for a fixed monthly fee. This cost includes the cost of staff, cost of supplies used, and others.

The housekeeping staff is distributed throughout the hospital during day and night shift. The labor cost is allocated to the profit centers according to this distribution. Moreover, the cost of supplies used is distributed according to the consumption of each unit (average is taken from one-year data) (see A. 5).

- Stationary Cost

The stationary cost is calculated based on average monthly consumption per each unit using the sales report from the stock system that details the quantity and cost of stationary per each department (see A. 6).

- Waste Management Cost

On daily basis, housekeeping staff segregates medical waste from regular waste and collects them in special containers. LMC outsources the waste management contractor who on monthly basis collects these medical wastes and treats them according to environmental laws. The contractor charges LMC per kilo on monthly basis. Since the hospital does not keep a record of allocation of kilos among units, estimation was taken based on the average monthly bill that details average kilos collected per one month. Based on these data, average $\$ /$ kilo is estimated per one indicator (see A. 7).

- Laundry Cost

The laundry cost includes cost of washing linen used per occupied bed or operation or any other procedure, allocated cost of purchased linen, maintenance cost, labor cost, indirect cost, and other costs.

On average, linen of one occupied bed are changed three times per day except for ICU patients. The cost of washing linen is calculated by allocating average consumption of detergents to the average number of items washed that is measured by the total capacity of the washing machines. Also labor cost is calculated in the same manner of allocating total costs to average number of items washed.

| Washing Machines | Powerline 1 | Powerline 2 | Girbau | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| around every 2.5 hours wash per day per washing machine | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 |  |
| average number of washes per day per washing machine |  |  |  |  |
| number of washing machine | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| average total number of washes per day | 9.6 | 19.2 | 9.6 | 38.4 |
| average number of items in every wash | 61 | 33 | 44 | 138 |
| average total number of items washed per day | 585.6 | 633.6 | 422.4 | 1641.6 |



| average number of items washed | $1,641.60$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| average labor cost per wash | $5,558.84$ |
| average labor cost in \$ per item washed | $\$ 0.086$ |
| ave 130.03 |  |
| average yearly maintenance cost | $\$ 1,500$ |
| average daily maintenance cost | $\$ 4.167$ |
| average number of items washed per day | $1,641.60$ |
| average cost per one item washed per day | $\$ 0.0025$ |

Fig. 6 Average Cost per One Item Washed per Day
As for the other costs including depreciation, electricity, and other costs, they are calculated on monthly basis and then divided by average items washed to determine total cost per one item washed.

Table 8: Example of average laundry cost per one patient day in Pediatrics unit

| \# of linen items per one patient day | 3.00 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | average total cost per one item |  |
|  | 187.87 |  |
| average total cost per total items | 563.60 |  |
| other cost | 874.83 |  |
|  | Total laundry cost | LBP 1,438.42 |

For first class and suite admissions, total laundry cost per one patient day increases by around 2,427 LBP for extra shampoos and soaps.

- Maintenance Cost

Total maintenance cost per department includes average ordinary maintenance and contractual maintenance. Contractual maintenance represents costs from contracts signed with firms that should conduct regular check-up visits (whether biomedical or regular) as for ordinary maintenance, it represents average costs that occur outside the contracts. Adding to these costs, labor costs of the department in addition to the other service departments allocation cost (detailed in the next section) and other costs (depreciation...) are added. After calculating total cost, it is allocated to each department then to each indicator using the corresponding allocation base.

Table 9: Allocation Base per Type of Maintenance Cost

| Type of maintenance cost | allocation base |
| :--- | :--- |
| regular) | location/department |
| Labor cost-biomedical (A. 8) | \# of in-house visits per |
| department |  |
| Total non-allocated costs | square footage |

- Electricity, diesel, \& fuel

Average electricity and diesel cost is estimated from the monthly consumption. The allocation base to departments used is the percentage distribution given by the head of maintenance department (based on previous studies). The square footage cannot be used here since the space is not the primary factor in determining the departmental
consumption rather than the number and nature of equipment and machinery that exist in each unit (see A. 9).

- Depreciation

As said before, depreciation cost here is calculated the same as in the P\&L.
The yearly depreciation cost is calculated using the double declining method and based on percentages preset by the Ministry of Finance. For example:

PPE: 25\%

Computer equipment: 50\%
Depreciation = Rate* NBV
NBV = Cost - accumulated depreciation
The cost per indicator is calculated by dividing the total cost by the corresponding allocation base (procedure, patient days, \# of OR...) (see A. 10).

## 5. Indirect costs

- Indirect cost allocation cost

As described in the previous section, share per each unit of measure for each profit center is calculated as shown in the following table:

| TOTAL MONTHLY INDIRECT TO BE ALLOCATED | LBP 75,745,050 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROFIT CENTER | $\%$ of MONTHLY TOTAL COSTS | INDIRECT COST ALLOCATED (LBP) | UNIT OF MEASURE | MONTHLY STATISTICS | INDIRECT COST ALLOCATED PER UNIT OF MEASURE (LBP) |
| OR | 11.64\% | 8,818,207 | OP | 392.9 | 22,444 |
| OPEN HEART | 2.51\% | 1,899,020 | OP | 10.6 | 179,153 |
| OBS | 4.15\% | 3,141,766 | PATIENT DAYS | 128.22 | 24,503 |
| CH | 0.72\% | 547,883 | PATIENT DAYS | 64.73 | 8,465 |
| PEDIATRICS | 2.71\% | 2,051,610 | PATIENT DAYS | 147.21 | 13,937 |
| FF | 4.25\% | 3,220,044 | PATIENT DAYS | 165.78 | 19,423 |
| CW | 5.44\% | 4,118,566 | PATIENT DAYS | 276.41 | 14,900 |
| MF | 4.00\% | 3,029,373 | PATIENT DAYS | 330.06 | 9,178 |
| SF | 6.79\% | 5,143,227 | PATIENT DAYS | 523.10 | 9,832 |
| HICU | 6.14\% | 4,650,051 | PATIENT DAYS | 79.89 | 58,206 |
| ICN | 4.49\% | 3,398,236 | PATIENT DAYS | 70.22 | 48,393 |
| ICU | 5.80\% | 4,392,088 | PATIENT DAYS | 138.33 | 31,750 |
| CATH LAB | 3.85\% | 2,918,647 | PROCEDURE | 84 | 34,704 |
| ENDOSCOPY | 0.82\% | 624,068 | PROCEDURE | 72 | 8,614 |
| KD | 4.05\% | 3,071,318 | SESSION | 742 | 4,137 |
| ER | 3.18\% | 2,405,348 | PATIENTS | 1,233.00 | 1,951 |
| LAB | 13.58\% | 10,285,717 | L | 1,145,246 | 9 |
| RAD | 15.88\% | 12,029,880 | R | 602,687 | 20 |
| TOTAL | 100.00\% | LBP 75,745,050 |  |  |  |

Fig. 7 Indirect Cost Allocated per Unit of Measure

- Service departments' allocation cost

As said in the previous section, the step-down method is used in allocating the service departments' costs. The following steps were followed in this allocation:

- The service departments were arranged from serving the most to serving the least.
- Total costs for each department including direct and indirect costs are calculated.
- Allocation bases were chosen for each department in order to give the most accurate figures.
- The allocated cost from one service department to another is added to the total in step 2.
- Total service departments allocated costs are added up to each profit center.

Table 10: Allocation Base per Indirect Cost

|  | allocation base |
| :--- | :--- |
| administration costs | number of employees per unit |
| IT costs | \# of users/peripherals |
| accounting costs | departmental size/square footage |
| procurement costs | \% OF sales MS |
| maintenance costs | \# of visits |
| IC costs | \% of quantity dispensed/\% of total |
| BE costs | cost allocated to clinical <br> intervention |
| pharmacy costs | Patients load |
| MRD costs | square footage |
| EHS costs |  |


|  | Sevice Deparment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | administration | 1 | accounting | proulement | manterance | 16 | ${ }^{85}$ | phamax | MRO | Dietary | Laundry |
| Costriotrailoation | 50,30, 085 | 4,401,29 | 40,95, 4,51 | 11,54,407 | 38,48,127 | 4,251,359 | 2,109,271 | 11,859,514 | 16,90, 655 |  |  |
| allocation of daministration co | 50,300,085 | 173,40 | 4,683,49 | 1,387,837 | 1,00, 818 | 173,40 | 346,599 | 867,38 | 50,439 2 | 2,25,234.86 | 1,00, 817.63 |
| allocation oil Tosts |  | 4,547,09 $\rightarrow$ | 1,34,756 | 0 | 54,461 | 108,922 | 108,922 | 272,304 | 217,443 | 217,483.28 |  |
| allocation of ecty costs |  |  | 46,64,156- | $\rightarrow 79,46$ | 466,72 | 242,76 | 233,311 | 1,166,854 | 1,40,25 2 | 2,170,348,24 | 1,46688883 |
| allocation of proverement costs |  |  |  | $12.512,500$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205,42 | 0 | 250,43180 | 164,03283 |
| alloction of miniterance costs |  |  |  |  | 40,00,207 $\rightarrow$ | 20,001.04 | 200,0104 | 239,45:04 | 606,703111 | 1,800,09964 | 1,902,450.72 |
| allocation of 1 costs |  |  |  |  |  | 4,976,46 $\rightarrow$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 22471204 |  |
| allocationotibe costs |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{2,988,24 \rightarrow}$ | 15.22904 | 0 |  |  |
| allocation of iplamay costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14661,184 \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| allocation of MRD costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,75,974 |  |  |

Fig 8 Cost Allocation between the Service Departments (monthly basis)

After allocating the costs as shown above, total cost for each department is allocated among the profit centers then cost per indicator is determined (see A. 11). Note that EHS and pharmacy costs are allocated to the profit centers only as the dietary and laundry costs.

## F. The Cost Models

The cost models in appendix A. 12 summarize all the steps that I have mentioned in the previous sections.

The costs shown in the models are representative of regular admission patients. The costs for upgraded class mainly vary in laundry and dietary costs. Also they exclude cost for patients who are admitted through ER. Around $14.7 \%$ of patients coming to ER are admitted. As a result, the cost should increase by the cost of "through ER admission". Also cost per service increases whenever patient increases if he or she is in isolation (mainly cost of medical supplies increases).

Table 11: Summary of Cost per Profit Center

| Profit Center | Cost per one patient day | Cost per one OP | Cost per one procedure | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cost per } \\ \mathbf{L} / \mathbf{R} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MF | LBP 148,732.80 |  |  |  |
| SF | LBP 138,461.98 |  |  |  |
| MED/SURG | LBP 260,000.78 |  |  |  |
| CW | LBP 186,628.09 |  |  |  |
| OBS | LBP 231,015.00 |  |  |  |
| PEDI | LBP 187,272.78 |  |  |  |
| CH | LBP 200,148.75 |  |  |  |
| NURSERY | LBP 222,575.71 |  |  |  |
| ICU | LBP 431,685.96 |  |  |  |
| HICU-CCU | LBP 505,620.76 |  |  |  |
| HICU-CSU | LBP 592,598.21 |  |  |  |
| ICN | LBP 281,982.41 |  |  |  |


| OR | LBP 482,419.76 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open Heart | LBP 4,452,401.21 |  |  |
| Normal Delivery | LBP 296,823.01 |  |  |
| KD |  | LBP 140,729.59 |  |
| Endoscopy |  | LBP 96,263.69 |  |
| Cath Lab |  | LBP 523,426.09 |  |
| ER |  | LBP 39,586.88 |  |
| Laboratory (L) |  |  | LBP 139.02 |
| Radiology (R) |  |  | LBP 175.71 |

## G. Limitations

The cost models present to the management an overview of the cost of the services offered to the patients. They breakdown all the expenses that are part of the Profit and Loss statement down to the patient measurement level, as cost per one patient day, and so on. As any project, there are limitations that put constraints on the end results. Here are the major ones that exist in this case.

1. These models take into consideration only the accounting costs and do not take into account other costs like opportunity costs (example: patient satisfaction), waiting cost, etc...
2. As said before, some of the data used are given by logs filled by nurses on the floors. This could be found as a limitation since it involves a certain level of human error and biasness. Consequently, the results of the cost models should include a certain margin of error.
3. The history and medical treatment of every patient admitted to the hospital is entered into the billing system, which also summarizes the financial transactions. Yet, this system lacks reporting capability that allows generating reports related to number of patient days, number of kidney
dialysis sessions, and so on. This process will be much more effective if done by the system rather than the staff.
4. Another limitation might be present from the fact that the models are based on data from previous periods, mainly back to 2013 and 2014. As many costs might change or vary with time (salaries, utilities costs, etc....), the results of the costs models might not reflect the current situation and may become quickly outdated.

## H. Recommendations

In order to overcome these limitations, some recommendations are listed here that should help in decreasing the margin of error and the impact of the pitfalls described earlier.

1. Starting from these models, LMC should be able to use cost management in order to increase the efficiency of the services it offers. Knowing the cost at different levels should help identify gaps and tools to improve these gaps by using for example utilization management. To give an example, a comparison for cost per one patient day in different departments could be done in an objective to study the variation in cost, analyze the differences, rectify the gaps (overstaffing, overconsumption in medical supplies, etc..), and list relevant reasons for these differences (for example, depreciation cost is higher in the fifth floor than second floor since it is newer floor physically).
2. As said before, since data used are related to previous periods, these models need to be updated on regular basis in order to reflect better actual figures. As major sources of data used in building these models come from
the different systems that exist at the hospital (accounting, stock, payroll, billing, fixed assets, etc...), it is very crucial to have improvement and continuous update of the IT systems. Developing and upgrading these systems will give more accurate and relevant sources for extracting the data needed. For example, the current accounting system used is a local one with basic tools that only allow data entry with few reports' generation option. Moving on to a more advanced system should give more tools that allow data extraction in an easier and more detailed way, for example, export option to excel, printing trial balance on different levels, and so on... Another example is to improve billing system to be able to give all the data that is given from the logs that are filled manually at the floors. This update will help decrease the human error that highly exists in these data sources.
3. These models should also be used as basis for budgeting objectives. Currently the Finance Department does not develop yearly budgets that offer the management of estimated revenues and costs. Budgets should be able to estimate and quantify future operations and provide a financial plan for effective cash flow management. The cost models should help strengthen the budgetary process through setting up the basic framework for building these budgets. Departmental budgets should be built using the different cost categories listed in the models while estimating number of patient days, operations, procedures, and so on, that would take place in the budget timeframe. Moreover, actual results
should be calculated and variations should be studied carefully to identify gaps and improve the processes.
4. Before 2006, LMC outsourced the accounting services to a local office in Saida. After changing its legal form to s.a.l. in 2006, the management set a 4-year strategic plan to develop the financial accounting unit and stop outsourcing this service. It expanded its physical structure and assigned an external audit office, KPMG, to conduct yearly audits to assure the compliance to accounting principles. In 2010, the management assigned a CFO and grouped all the three above-mentioned units under one department, the Finance Department (FD).

In order to do all of the above, LMC management should seriously consider to expand its FD by adding one more unit that should be responsible of updating and enhancing these cost models, developing yearly budgets, controlling figures of costs and revenues on periodical basis, and working on tools of efficient cost management. These cost models will quickly become outdated unless they are maintained; that is why a maintenance process linked to a continuous improvement process is necessary to be put in place mostly be under FD unit.

Currently, the Finance Department at LMC is mainly constituted of the following units: patients' billing, auditing and collection, and general accounting. The general accounting or financial accounting unit is mainly responsible of recording all financial transactions into the accounting system and issuing periodical financial statements.

| Phase I | Phase II | Phase III | Phase IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\frac{\text { Prior to }}{2003}$ <br> - Outsourc ed Unit | $\text { - } \frac{2003-}{\underline{2010}}$ <br> - Separate Units | - 2010 - $\qquad$ <br> - One <br> Departmen <br> t/ CFO/ 3 <br> units: <br> *Billing <br> *Audit/Coll <br> ection * <br> General/ <br> Financial <br> accounting | - Necessity for expanding FD to having special unit for costing \& budgeting! |

Fig 9 Evolution of the Finance Department
5. Management should be able to use these models to measure profits from the different profit centers identified. It should develop and adjust its system in order to allocate its revenues among the different departments. Once revenues are allocated and using the cost models, LMC will be able to identify and rank its services from the most profitable to the least profitable. This will help taking strategic decisions as to what services to extend and develop and what services to drop.
6. Whenever cost-based pricing is used, LMC management should negotiate its reimbursement agreements with the payers based on actual allocated costs. Prices should be studied in order to identify which services are underpriced and which are fairly priced as the example given above.

## I. Conclusion

Evolving from outsourced accounting services to creating a Finance Department with different units and responsibilities, LMC currently faces an opportunity to expand this department by creating a unit responsible of budgeting and cost control, pricing analysis, and efficiency control. To start with, the management of LMC has to have a basis for analysis in order to take strategic decisions. The profit and loss statement shows the overall revenues earned and costs incurred from the services offered to the patients. This project tends to take these costs from this statement and break them down into categories to the patient level to build different models showing cost per services offered through different profit centers. These models should help the management find tools to identify gaps and enhance its efficiency while improving its bottom line.

## CHAPTER III

## PART 2: PRICING THE CLINIC RENTAL FEES AT LMC

## A. Introduction

As mentioned before, LMC has control over the pricing of the rental fees of the clinics used by doctors. This second part evaluates the pricing of such service by first assessing the current situation and the current pricing tactics; secondly by offering different pricing schemes given the currently faced problems (unfairness in fees, overlapping time schedules, and so on).

The clinics part of the hospital incur fixed costs and generate revenues through the fees charged to the Drs. The aim of this part is to try to recommend pricing schemes that would generate more revenues and with that more profits while taking into account the context and the constraints of the Drs. Offering more adequate pricing scheme could be done in different ways, taking into consideration different factors, such as patient load, capacity available, willingness to pay of the doctors, and so on.

A deeper and more rigorous study could follow this project where one could better understand the current environment of clinics, by benchmarking with other institutions, or understanding variation in demand, and differentiate between specialized clinics and general ones. All this is beyond the scope of this part that is primarily focusing on a revenue management approach to pricing that we believe is novel and shows very promising results to be confirmed through possibly a large scale pricing study.

## B. Current Situation Assessment

The private clinics area is divided into three main sections in the underground floor
of the hospital. It comprises eight clinics managed by three secretaries according to the following distribution:

Table 12: Current Clinical Schedules

| Section 1 | Doctors |  | Day <br> Schedule | Time <br> Schedule | average daily <br> patient load | Monthly <br> fees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | A | Dr. Jamil Kaakour | MWS | $12-1: 30$. | $13-15$ |
|  |  | TF | $9-1: 30$. |  | 150,000 |  |
|  |  | Dr. Georges Eid | MTWTHFS | $11: 30-3: 30$. | $10-20$. | 150,000 |
| Clinic \#2 | D | Dr. Rabie Ashour | TTH | $11: 30-1$. | $2-5$. | 75,000 |
|  | E | Dr. Osama hajjar | MW | $10-11: 30$. | $2-3$. | 75,000 |
|  | F | Dr. Ahmad fawaz | MTWTHFS | $8: 30-12$. | $1-4$. | 150,000 |


| Section 2 |  | Doctors | Day Schedule | Time Schedule | Patient Load | Monthly fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clinic\#3 | G | Dr. Hassan Mansour | MTWTHFS | 12:30-3 | 5-6. | 75,000 |
|  | H | Dr. Moussa Dhayni | TTH | 11-1. | 2-3. | 75,000 |
|  | I | Dr. Nabil Hourani | WSUN | 1-4:30 | 30-50 (mostly wed) | 75,000 |
|  |  |  | TTH | 1-3. |  |  |
|  | I | Dr Hisham Hayek | MF | 11-4. (in between surgeries) | 15-20 | 75,000 |
| Clinic\#4 | K | Dr. Mohamad Fayyad | TF | 8:30-4 | 20-30 | 150,000 |
|  | L | Dr. Zaher abou alfa | MTWTHFS | 10:30-12 | 3-6 | 150,000 |
| Clinic\#5 | M | Dr. Afif hajj shehadeh | M | 9-1. | 20-30 |  |
|  |  |  | W | 1-5-6. |  |  |
|  |  |  | F | 9-11:30 |  |  |
|  |  |  | S | 9-1:30 |  | 150,000 |
|  | N | Dr. Adel skakini | MTWTHFS | 11-1. | 5-7. | 150,000 |
|  | 0 | Dr. Reve Kanaan | F | 9-2:30 | 12-16. | 150,000 |
| Clinic\#6 | P | Dr. Fadi Ghandour | TF | 10-3. | 5-8. | 75,000 |
|  | Q | Dr. Valentina Kayello | MTH | 11-12. | 1-3. | 150,000 |
|  | R | Dr. Hasan Toufayli | MTWTHFS | 2-4. | 10-20. | 75,000 |
|  | S | Dr. Maher Balkis | MTWTHFS | 10-2. | 1-5. | 150,000 |


| Section 3 | Doctors | Day <br> Schedule | Time <br> Schedule | Patient <br> Load | Monthly <br> fees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Clinic\#7 | T | Dr. Osama Jradi | MTWTHFS | 12-2. | 1-6. | 75,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U | Dr. Souheil Atab | MTWTHFS | 10:30-12. | 1-5. | 150,000 |
|  | V | Dr. Ziad Rashidi | MWTH | 9:30-10:30. | 2-3. | 150,000 |
|  | W | Dr. Hanan Yasin | WF | 12-1. | 1 | 75,000 |
|  | X | Dr. Habib ajami | TTHS | 9:30-11:30. | 10-15. | 75,000 |
|  |  |  |  | 2:30-3:30. |  | 75,000 |
| Clinic\#8 | Y | Dr. Hazem Bdie | MWTHS | 9-12. | 15-17 | 150,000 |
|  | Z | Dr. Camille Hajj | MTWTHS | 8-1. | 20-25 | 150,000 |
|  | AA | Dr. Rodwan Masri | MTWTHFS | 10-12. | 3-4. | 75,000 |

The doctors currently are divided between part-timers and full-timers and accordingly pay rental fees. The hospital bears the fixed costs including cost of the salaries of the secretaries, the cost of supplies used including stationary and medical supplies cost, and overhead costs (electricity, maintenance, depreciation, etc...).

There are many problems currently facing the clinical area, mainly summarized as the following:

- Looking at the above schedules, rental fees seem to be unfair to some doctors paying same fees as other doctors who have more patient load.
- The number of doctors outweighs the number of clinics.
- There is overlapping of the time schedule, i.e., crowding often occurs.
- There is no replacement in case any secretary is absent.
- The costs exceed revenues collected from the rents, in other words, clinics currently operate at a loss. The monthly fees do not even cover the costs of personnel. When the clinics opened, LMC decided to take minimal fees from the doctors and did not make any budget related to this unit. Yet as the hospital increased through years, the administration changed its plans and started to reconsider the pricing of the rental fees.

After assessing the current situation and the problems involved, the following section presents two solutions as to how to improve the outcome of this service.

## C. Solution 1: Increase Flat Rate

The first solution is to apply flat rate for all doctors at all time schedules.
For that doctors, in one of the medical committee meetings, were asked to give their willingness to pay. Accordingly, the number of doctors who responded with the corresponding percentage was calculated to determine the price that will yield in maximizing total revenues.

| WTP (in thousands <br> LBP) | \# of Drs | Cum. \# of <br> Drs | Cum. \% of <br> Drs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400.00 | 2 | 2 | $7.41 \%$ |
| 350.00 | 2 | 4 | $14.81 \%$ |
| 300.00 | 3 | 7 | $25.93 \%$ |
| 250.00 | 5 | 12 | $44.44 \%$ |
| 200.00 | 10 | 22 | $81.48 \%$ |
| 150.00 | 5 | 27 | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Price (in thousands |  |  |  |
| LBP) | Demand | Revenue | Total \# of Drs |
| 400.00 | 2 | 770 | 26 |
| 350.00 | 4 | 1,348 |  |
| 300.00 | 7 | 2,022 |  |
| 250.00 | 12 | 2,889 |  |
| 200.00 | 21 | $\mathbf{4 , 2 3 7}$ |  |
| 150.00 | 26 | 3,900 |  |

Fig. 10 WTP/ New Flat Rate/ New Revenues
At flat price of 200,000 LBP, total revenues will be maximized and increased by $34 \%$ from the current situation yet the problem of scheduling will not be solved.


Fig. 11 Demand at Flat Rate
This solution is easy to apply since the only variable that will change is the price charged for rental while keeping the same context as it is which makes it easier for the doctors to apply. Yet by increasing the flat rate charged increases revenues generated, this solution does not give optimal results and does not solve the other problems incurring such as overlapping schedules. For that, the second solution attempts to take into consideration other factors than prices, mainly the allocation of clinics among doctors trying to reorganize the schedules while maximizing revenues and holding the doctors' satisfaction.

## D. Solution 2: Slots allocation and pricing

The second solution is to divide time schedules into slots and price the rental fees accordingly. This solution is based on the assumption that all clinics are homogeneous and can be used by all doctors despite minor differences that can be fixed in order to maximize utilization and revenues.

## 1. Number of Slots Needed

Every day can be divided into two slots:

- Morning Slot: 8 a.m. - 12 p.m.
- Afternoon Slot: 12 p.m. - 4 p.m.

One slot is equal to 4 hours; consequently, one operating week is equal to twelve slots per one clinic. From the data collected as summarized in the tables above and from the average time in hours needed per each patient, minimum number of slots currently needed by doctors is determined. It is worth noting that overall utilization of slots is 93.75\% (90 out of 96 slots).

| \# | Name of doctor | average weekly patient load | average time needed per patient (in hours) | Total average number of weekly hours needed | average number of slots needed (rounded up) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | Dr. Jamil Kaakour | 70 | 0.33 | 23.1 | 5 |
| D2 | Dr. Toutanji | 90 | 0.25 | 22.5 | 6 |
| D3 | Dr. Eid | 13 | 0.33 | 4.29 | 2 |
| D4 | Dr. ashour | 6 | 0.33 | 1.98 | 1 |
| D5 | Dr. Osama hajjar | 6 | 0.25 | 1.5 | 1 |
| D6 | Dr. ahmad fawaz | 18 | 0.33 | 5.94 | 2 |
| D7 | Dr. Mansour | 36 | 0.5 | 18 | 5 |
| D8 | Dr. Dhayni | 6 | 0.25 | 1.5 | 1 |
| D9 | Dr. Hourani | 80 | 0.25 | 20 | 5 |
| D10 | Dr. Hayek | 68 | 0.25 | 17 | 5 |
| D11 | Dr. Fayyad | 50 | 0.33 | 16.5 | 5 |
| D12 | Dr. zaher abou alfa | 30 | 0.33 | 9.9 | 3 |
| D13 | Dr. afif hajj shehadeh | 100 | 0.25 | 25 | 7 |
| D14 | Dr. adel skakini | 36 | 0.33 | 11.88 | 3 |
| D15 | Dr. Reve Kanaan | 14 | 0.5 | 7 | 2 |
| D16 | Dr. Fadi Ghandour | 12 | 0.33 | 3.96 | 1 |
| D17 | Dr. Kayello | 4 | 0.5 | 2 | 1 |
| D18 | Dr. Hasan Toufayli | 90 | 0.25 | 22.5 | 6 |
| D19 | Dr. Balkis | 18 | 0.25 | 4.5 | 2 |
| D20 | Dr. Osama Jradi | 24 | 0.25 | 6 | 2 |
| D21 | Dr. atab | 18 | 0.33 | 5.94 | 2 |
| D22 | Dr. Ziad Rashidi | 9 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 2 |
| D23 | Dr. Hanan Yasin | 2 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 1 |
| D24 | Dr. Habib ajami | 39 | 0.5 | 19.5 | 5 |
| D25 | Dr. Bdie | 64 | 0.25 | 16 | 4 |
| D26 | Dr. Hajj | 115 | 0.25 | 28.75 | 8 |
| D27 | Dr. Masri | 24 | 0.5 | 12 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 90 |



Fig. 12 Minimum Number of Slots Needed per Doctor

## 2. Grid for weekly slots allocation

Doctors were asked to fill the slots they prefer to reserve for their time schedules at the clinics. Accordingly, a grid for weekly slots allocation is drawn dividing the area into three sections:

- Area with high demand: DH
- Area with medium demand: DM
- Area with low demand: DL


Fig. 13 Weekly Grid

Total capacity for each day is equal to the total
number of clinics * daily number of slots per one clinic $=8$
clinics $* 2$ slots $=16$ slots.

## 3. Willingness to pay (WTP) for each doctor

After drawing the grid and dividing it into three sections, doctors were asked to give their WTP for each section.

Determining the WTP will enable us to calculate the net utility for each doctor, where net utility is equal to WTP price.

High Demand (DH)
Medium Demand (D Low Demand (DL)

| WTP iñ Lébañese <br> Pounds | High | Medium | Low |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 |
| D2 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 |
| D3 | 25,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| D4 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 25,000 |
| D5 | 25,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 |
| D6 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 |
| D7 | 30,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 |
| D8 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| D9 | 40,000 | 35,000 | 20,000 |
| D10 | 45,000 | 35,000 | 25,000 |
| D11 | 45,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| D12 | 35,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| D13 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 |
| D14 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| D15 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| D16 | 40,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| D17 | 40,000 | 18,000 | 25,000 |
| D18 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 |
| D19 | 40,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| D20 | 35,000 | 30,000 | 15,000 |
| D21 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 |
| D22 | 40,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| D23 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| D24 | 40,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| D25 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| D26 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| D27 | 30,000 | 18,000 | 15,000 |
|  |  |  |  |

Fig. 14: WTP per Doctor der Section

## 4. Model version 1

The objective of this model is to optimize using Solver the allocation of the slots among the doctors during the week and determine the prices for each section that maximize total revenues generated with positive total net utilities.

The variables are the weekly slots and the prices for the sections drawn from the grid in the above section.

Total net utility is equal to the sum of all net utilities of all doctors calculated as:
(WTP - Price) * Number of allocated slots per section
Total revenues are equal to price per each section multiplied by total number of slots for the same section.

Three constraints: the first constraint is that total slots to be allocated for each doctor is equal or less than the minimum slots needed as determined in the first section. The second constraint is that total slots filled for each day is equal or less than the total capacity of the total clinics. The final constraint is that the net utilities are positive.

|  | PH | PM | Pl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price in LBP） | 35，000 | 21，535 | 10，892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NetUtility（in LPP） |  |  |  |  | Weekly Distribution of Slots |  |  | Total Slots | Constraint1 | Minimum \＃of <br> weekly slots <br> needed | ，allocated slots rounded to 0 digits， |  |  |
|  | DH | DM | DL | Total Utility |  | DH | DM | DL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1 | 0 | $(5,587)$ | 5，587 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 3.640132805 | 1.3598872 | 5 | $<$ | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| D2 | （15，411） | 15，441 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 1.5440979 | 4.455902116 | 0 | 6 | く | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 03 | $(5,824)$ | 0 | 5，824 | 0 | 03 | 0.5823813 | 0 | 1.4176187 | 2 | く | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D4 | $(5,852)$ | 0 | 5，852 | 0 | D4 | 0.5852028 | 0 | 0.4147972 | 1 | く | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| D5 | $(2,912)$ | 0 | 2，912 | 0 | D5 | 0.2911906 | 0 | 0.7088094 | 1 | く | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| D6 | $(4,510)$ | 0 | 4，510 | 0 | D6 | 0.9020841 | 0 | 1.0979159 | 2 | く | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1－1 |
| D7 | （10，341） | 9，182 | 1，159 | （0） | D7 | 2.0681908 | 2.649649584 | 0.2821596 | 5 | く | 5 | ｜ 1 | 3 | 1 |
| D8 | （0） | 0 | 0 | （0） | 88 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | く | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| D9 | 25，000 | 0 | 0 | 25，000 | D9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | く | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| D10 | 50，000 | 0 | 0 | 50，000 | 010 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | く | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 011 | 43，428 | $(1,009)$ | 0 | 42，419 | 011 | 4.3427709 | 0.657229109 | 0 | 5 | く | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 012 | （0） | 0 | 0 | （0） | 012 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | く | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| D13 | （0） | 7，342 | 0 | 7，342 | 013 | 4.8811978 | 2.118802223 | 0 | 7 | く | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 014 | （17，319） | 186 | 17，132 | 0 | 014 | 1.731861 | 0.05379228 | 1.2143467 | 3 | く | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| D15 | （6，382） | （30） | 6，412 | 0 | 015 | 1.2764317 | 0.01956432 | 0.7040039 | 2 | く | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D16 | 5，000 | 0 | 0 | 5，000 | 016 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | く | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 017 | 5，000 | 0 | 0 | 5，000 | 017 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | く | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 018 | （0） | 5，203 | 0 | 5，203 | 018 | 4.4985507 | 1.501493325 | 0 | 6 | く | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 019 | 8，443 | $(2,035)$ | 0 | 6，407 | 019 | 1.6885312 | 0.311468789 | 0 | 2 | く | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 020 | （0） | 0 | 0 | （0） | D20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | く | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 021 | （0） | 1，567 | 0 | 1，567 | 021 | 1.5476599 | 0.45334007 | 0 | 2 | く | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 022 | 10，000 | 0 | 0 | 10，000 | 022 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | く | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 023 | （0） | 0 | 0 | （0） | 023 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | く | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 024 | 18，534 | （8，451） | 0 | 10，082 | D24 | 3.7067232 | 1.293276819 | 0 | 5 | く | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 025 | （0） | 0 | 0 | （0） | D25 | 4 | －1．6005E－11 | 0 | 4 | く | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 026 | 0 | （10，507） | 10，507 | 0 | 026 | 0 | 6.846392449 | 1.1536076 | 8 | く | 8 | － | 7 | 1. |
| 027 | $(6,766)$ | 0 | 6，766 | 0 | 027 | 1.3531261 | 0 | 1.6468739 | 3 | く | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total U } \begin{array}{c} \text { in } \\ \text { IBP) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 90，057 | 11，303 | 66，661 | 168，021 | Total number of daly slots | 56 | 24 | 10 | 90 |  | 90 |  | 24 | 10． |
|  |  |  |  |  | Constraint2 | 1 | $1 \times$ | ＾ | $\begin{aligned} & \wedge \\ & = \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total number of available slots | 56 | 24 | 16 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Revenues（Rin LBP） | 1，960，000 | 516，833 | 108，918 | 2，585，751 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | R＋U（inLBP） | 2，050，057 | 528，136 | 175，59 | 2，753，773 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Weekly Slots allocation | Price（in LBP） | Demand Optimized | Total Revenues | Total Net Utility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slots with high demand | 35，000 | 56 | 1，960，000 | 90，057 |
| Slots with medium demand | 21，535 | 24 | 516，833 | 11，303 |
| Slots with low demand | 10，892 | 10 | 108，918 | 66，661 |
| Total |  | 90 | 2，585，751 | 168，021 |

Fig． 15 Model Version 1

## 5. Model version 2

This version is the same model as version 1 with an objective of optimizing the
allocation of the slots among the doctors during the week and determining the prices for
each section that maximize the sum of total revenues generated and total net utilities.


Fig. 16 Model Version 2

## 6. Comparison of Results

| Total Demand | 90 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objective | Max R | Max R+U |
| Total Slots allocated | 90 | 90 |
|  | 56 | 56 |
| DM | - - - $24-$ | - 23 |
|  | 10 | 11 |
| PH | - 35,000 | 30,085 |
| PM | - 21,535 | 20,000 |
| PL | - 10,892 | 8,520 |
| Total Revenues (in LBP) | 2,585,751 | 2,238,756 |
| Total Utility (in LBP) | 168,021 | 621,302 |
| Total Revenues+Utility (in LBP) | 2,753,773 | 2,860,058 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Revenues (in LBP) | \% (from initial) |
| Monthly Revenues (initial) | 3,150,000 | - |
| Monthly Revenues using flat rate | 4,200,000 | 33.33\% |
| Monthly Revenues with optimization 1 | 10,343,006 | 228.35\% |
| Monthly Revenues with optimization 2 | 8,955,026 | 184.29\% |

Fig. 17 Comparison of Results

Clearly, the second solution (with the two versions) gives much higher results than the first one. Although there is a slight difference in the slots allocation between the two versions along with a minor difference in prices, yet total revenues generated differ by around $13 \%$ difference in total revenues generated.

Since the approach followed here is driven by the doctors' willingness to pay, this presents a limitation since this WTP might not be accurate or might change with time or be affected by different factors (patient load, seasonality, doctors' income, etc...). Also, the doctors might be resistant as to the allocation of the slots especially if their preferences change, for example, from one season to another. On the other hand, looking from the doctors' point of view as to the amount paid on monthly basis, most
doctors will end up paying much higher fees than before, which might also takes time to be assimilated by these doctors, even though these prices were determined starting from their WTP.

As a result, whenever applied, the management should set specific policy including the allocation of the slots, prices, and eligible duration that the doctors should be committed to. The duration should be specified after mutual agreement with the doctors taking into consideration their preferences, seasonality, and other factors.

## E. Conclusion

LMC currently hosts a clinical center that operates at loss. It has an opportunity to manage this center by dividing the time schedules into slots that are allocated to doctors. This allocation can be optimized by identifying different sections for demand and building a simple model using Solver to find the best distribution along with price for each section with an objective to maximize revenues with positive net utilities. The major input for this model is willingness to pay of each doctor for each identified section used in calculating the net utilities. In conclusion, the results of these models increase revenues at a higher level than offering one flat rate.

## APPENDICES

A. 1:


## A. 2: Statistics/data

| OR | average monthly | OPEN HEART |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| January | 388 | 6 |
| February | 364 | 11 |
| March | 388 | 10 |
| April | 330 | 8 |
| May | 418 | 16 |
| June | 407 | 12 |
| July | 363 | 9 |
| August | 449 | 12 |
| September | 445 | 9 |
| October | 377 | 13 |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 8 2 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |
| Average 6 months | $\mathbf{2 2 9 5 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| Total 6 months | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |
| Daily average |  |  |


| OBS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Quarterly | Delivery | C-Section |
| First | 68 | 78 |
| Second | 88 | 98 |
| Third | 88 | 118 |
| Total | 244 | 294 |
| Average/month | 27.11111 | 32.66667 |
| Average/6 month | 78 | 88 |
| Total/6 month | 156 |  |


| CATH | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arteriography | 4 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 51 |
| Coro | 61 | 63 | 74 | 78 | 74 | 53 | 50 | 58 | 51 | 562 |
| PTCA | 20 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 140 |
| Total | 85 | 82 | 93 | 101 | 105 | 74 | 65 | 79 | 69 | 753 |



| KD | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular Sessions | 701 | 616 | 707 | 724 | 758 | 701 | 766 | 735 | 735 | 6,443 |
| Patients | 65 | 65 | 68 | 70 | 69 | 71 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 619 |
| Extra Sessions | 37 | 12 | 23 | 30 | 36 | 21 | 23 | 14 | 25 | 221 |
| Urgent Sessions | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Total | 742 | 628 | 734 | 759 | 794 | 726 | 789 | 749 | 761 |  |


| Patients' days | Jamuary | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CW | 384.25 | 331.42 | 277.92 | 234.75 | 264.46 | 225.83 | 261.21 | 262.17 | 245.67 | 276.41 |
| SF | 556.92 | 533.92 | 550.96 | 523.92 | 503.88 | 452.38 | 423.83 | 569.63 | 592.5 | 523.10 |
| MF | 341 | 280 | 336 | 336 | 342 | 323 | 320 | 355 | 337.5 | 330.06 |
| HICU | 95 | 68 | 80 | 84 | 91 | 58 | 70 | -92 | 81 | 79.89 |
| İCU | 155 | 136 | 147 | 131 | 128 | 142 | 146 | 127 | 133 | 138.33 |
| Pediatric | 165.17 | 143.38 | 139.67 | 153.25 | 141.46 | 155.46 | 132.17 | 157.25 | 137.08 | 147.21 |
| Nursery | 73 | 63 | 63 | 72 | 106.33 | 100 | 83.46 | 113.21 | 109 | 87.00 |
| NICU | 104 | 68 | 75 | 49 | 91 | 49 | 51 | 90 | 55 | 70.22 |
| SDS | 249.79 | 227.96 | 289.29 | 261.96 | 216.25 | 214 | 200 | 248 | 258 | 240.58 |
| OBS/GYN | 124.5 | 96.92 | 137 | 114.46 | 138.83 | 134.67 | 131.25 | 148.92 | 127.42 | 128.22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\text {thd }} \text { medical } \\ & \text {-surgical } \end{aligned}$ | 179.83 | 145.67 | 173.71 | 194.5 | 144.88 | 136.79 | 185.25 | 181.58 | 149.83 | 165.78 |
| СНой0006 | 55.83 | 48.75 | 69.42 | 69.58 | 79.79 | 72.04 | 69.96 | 67 | 50.17 | 64.73 |


| Meals census (9 <br> MONTHS) | 57,917 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lab (9 months L) | $10,307,213$ |
| Rad (9 months R) | $5,424,180$ |


| Month | ER <br> Patients | admissions through <br> ER |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| January | 1167 | 187 |
| February | 1065 | 151 |
| March | 1207 | 231 |
| April | 1241 | 163 |
| May | 1312 | 163 |
| June | 1208 | 148 |
| July | 1312 | 224 |
| August | 1474 | 243 |
| September | 1111 | 121 |
| average | $\mathbf{1 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 . 2 2}$ |


| Unit | Space in $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KD | 350.00 | $5.43 \%$ |
| Endoscopy | 50.00 | $0.78 \%$ |
| administration | 300.00 | $4.65 \%$ |
| MF | 300.00 | $4.65 \%$ |
| First Floor | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| Lab | 350.00 | $5.43 \%$ |
| Rad | 350.00 | $5.43 \%$ |
| OR | 300.00 | $4.65 \%$ |
| Ground Floor | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 0} \%$ |
| ICU | $\mathbf{3 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 5 \%}$ |
| ER | $\mathbf{4 5 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 8 \%}$ |
| Pediatric | 450.00 | $6.98 \%$ |
| Chemotherapy | 100.00 | $1.55 \%$ |
| SF | 450.00 | $6.98 \%$ |
| Second Floor | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| HICU | 230.00 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Open Heart | 250.00 | $3.88 \%$ |
| Cath Lab | 70.00 | $1.09 \%$ |
| CW | 450.00 | $6.98 \%$ |
| Fourth Floor | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| OBS/GYN | 300.00 | $4.65 \%$ |
| NICU | 150.00 | $2.33 \%$ |
| MED/SURG | 550.00 | $8.53 \%$ |
| Fifth Floor | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| Laundry | $\mathbf{2 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| Dietary | $\mathbf{3 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 5 \%}$ |
| Extensions | $\mathbf{2 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 \%}$ |

A. 3:

Examples of calculating unit labor cost:

| OR |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| OR | $39,127.85$ |
| Recovery | $7,452.44$ |
| Anesthesia | $30,229.21$ |
| CSSR | $9,337.57$ |
| Clinical nurse | $3,044.43$ |
| average labor cost per OR | LBP 89,191.50 |

## Example:

Average days (from Monday to Saturday)/month/nurse 26
Average \# OR per month 393
Average \# OR per day 15.12
Average of nurses needed in each OR 3.00
Total average labor needed per day 45.35
Average number of nurses on schedule per day 9.00
Average \# OR per day per nurse 5.04
Average COST/month/nurse 1,186,677.96
Average COST/day/nurse 45,641.46
Average transportation/day/nurse
8,000
Total average labor cost/day 53,641.46
Average labor cost/Nurse/OR/day
10,646.40

| OBS | Normal Delivery | Post-Normal Delivery | C-Section | Post-C-Section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nurses/shift | 1 RN | 1 RN: 4 patients, PN for day shift | 1 RN: 4 patients, PN for day shift | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{RN}: 4 \\ \text { patients, PN } \\ \text { for day shift } \end{gathered}$ |
| average staffing cost/ patient/ day | 37,961.66 | 63,942.40 |  |  |

Example:

## RNs

| Average days (whether working or not)/month/nurse | 30 |
| :--- | :--- |

Average \# of RNs per day shift $\quad 1$

| Average \# of RNs per night shift |  | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Number of beds | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Average \# of patients per day shift per nurse 10
Average \# of patients per night shift per nurse 5
Average occupancy rate per day 42\%

Average COST/month/RN/day or night shift
1,386,961.55
Average COST/day/RN/day or night shift
46,232.05
Average transportation/RN/day or night
8,000
Total average labor cost/day or night/RN
54,232.05
Average labor cost/RN/one patient day
38,533.30

|  | labor COST* | TOTAL TESTS | TOTAL L | DIRECT labor COST PER L |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLOOD BANK | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 8 0 0 . 8 4}$ | 6,267 | $1,884,625$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 8 9}$ |
| CHEMISTRY | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 0 , 9 0 1 . 7 9}$ | 126,257 | $4,203,507$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 3 8 3}$ |
| TUMERMARKERS | $\$ 2,227.86$ | 1,015 | 184,319 | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 1 2 1}$ |
| PARASITOLOGY-BACTERIOLOGY | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 , 0 2 1 . 8 9}$ | 19,968 | 740,500 | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 7 4 3}$ |
| SEROLOGY-IMMUNOLOGY | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 4 5 5 . 8 3}$ | 7,786 | 834,563 | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 2 8 1}$ |
| HEMATOLOGY | $\mathbf{\$ 5 6 , 6 1 7 . 0 3}$ | 26,670 | $1,063,961$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 5 3 2}$ |
| COAGULATION | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 5 9 4 . 1 5}$ | 12,154 | 762,190 | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 3 2 3}$ |
| ENDOCRINOLOGY | $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 9 2 3 . 3 1}$ | 4,521 | 633,548 | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 1 5 7}$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 349,542.70$ | 204,638 | $10,307,213$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 3 3 9}$ |

A. 4:

Examples of calculating MS cost:

| OR Classification |  | Minor Surgeries |  | Medium Surgeries |  | Major Surgeries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical Supplies | Unit Price | average quantity used | average cost | average quantity used | average cost | average quantity used | average cost |
| Surgical Gloves (unit) | 0.5 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 40 | 20 |
| Disposable Gloves (unit) | 0.005 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.2 | 60 | 0.3 |
| Surgical Face_Mask | 0.09 | 6 | 0.54 | 7 | 0.63 | 9 | 0.81 |
| Hair Cover | 0.022 | 6 | 0.132 | 7 | 0.154 | 9 | 0.198 |
| Shoes Cover (unit) | 0.043 | 12 | 0.516 | 14 | 0.602 | 18 | 0.774 |
| Normal Saline (bags) | 1.5 | 3 | 4.5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7.5 |
| Underpad | 0.0783 | 1 | -0.0783 | 2 | 0.1566 | 3 | 0. 2349 |
| Surgical Blade | 0.08 | 2 | 0.16 | 3 | 0.24 | 4 | 0.32 |
| Suture/ ethilon | 1.8 | 2 | 3.6 | 2 | 3.6 | 2 | 3.6 |
| Suture / vicryl |  | 4 | 12 | 12 |  | 30 | 90 |
| Betadine ( 500 ml ) |  |  | 1.33 | 2 | 2.66 | 3 | 3.99 |
| Suction catheter |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 2 |  |
| Surgical brush | 0.37 | 2 | 0.74 | 3 | 1.11 | 4 | 1.48 |
| OP-Flex set pump yankaeur | 0.32 | 2 | 0.64 |  |  | 2 | 0.64 |
| 'ASEPTO BULB SYRINGE WITH TIP PROTECTOR |  |  | 0.5 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| FOLLEY CATHETER \# 2 WAY , 15 CC | 0.52 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| KIDNEY SHAPED BASIN 500cc DISPOSABL | 0.3 | 2 | $0 . \overline{6}$ | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Cautery | 4.5 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |
|  | $\overline{3} .9$ | 1 | 3.9 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  | $0.85$ | $1{ }^{-}-{ }^{-}$ | 0.85 | 1 | 0.85 | 1 | 0.85 |
| Portovac/Hemovac | 12 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 36 |
| Total average direct MS |  |  | \$50.866 |  | \$88.643 |  | \$181.617 |


| Monthly Floor Store Request: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical Supplies | Unit Price | Monthly average quantity used | average daily cost |
| Omnifix 10 cm | 4.65 | 8.00 | 1.43 |
| Omnifix 15 cm | 7.50 | 6.00 | 1.73 |
| Betadine (gallons) | 6.00 | 10.00 | 2.31 |
| Skinmansoft | 5.85 | 16.00 | 3.60 |
| Incidin Foam | 15.60 | 8.00 | 4.80 |
| Skincept (gallons) | 6.50 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| Alcool (bottle) | 2.25 | 8.00 | 0.69 |
| Dakin | 5.00 | 3.00 | 0.58 |
| Sekucept | 15.60 | 12.00 | 7.20 |
| Plaster adhesive (roll) | 2.00 | 20.00 | 1.54 |
| Plaster anti-allergic 1 inch | 0.69 | 6.00 | 0.1 |
| Plaster anti-allergic (transpore) $4 \mathrm{~cm} /$ inch | -11.00- | 4.00 | 1.69 |
| Sharpack 22 Liters | 9.00 | 2.00 | 0.69 |
| Sharpack 12 Liters (3 on 01/08) | 5.50 | 0.38 | 0.08 |
| Sharpack 6 Liters (2 on 01/09) | 4.50 | 0.22 | 0.04 |
| 'ALPHADINE SOLUTION LITER/POVII IODINE 1001LITER 10\% | 9.77 | 48.11 | 18.08 |
| 1069209 LYOSTYP $10 \times$ X $12-\mathrm{CM}$ (GELFOAM PACK OF - 4 ) | 9.97 | $2 . \overline{11}$ | 0.81 |
| Plaster anti-allergic (transpore) 6 cm/ inch | 11.00 | 4.00 | 1.69 |
| Gauze roll | 15.00 | 26.00 | 15.00 |
| '88761-AUB UNIVERSALPACK (5/CASE) | 20.00 | 4.00 | 3.08 |
|  | 3.48 | 3.33 | 0.45 |
|  | 13.02 | 7.44 | 3.73 |
| SEKULIMSELTIER(6/GAL) (-PG85580/6) | 15.19 | 24.00 | 14.02 |
| Tongue depressor | 0.01 | 11.11 | 0.00 |
| Batteries - - - - - - - |  | $\frac{1}{2.24}$ | 0.09 |
|  | 2.17 | 19.11 | 0.74 |
|  | 8.00 |  | 3.42 |
|  | $\overline{7} . \overline{38}$ |  | 0.82 |
| Gauze sterilux (piece-s) | $\overline{0.08}$ | 3000.00 | 8.65 |
| Total cost of monthly floor request allocated daily |  |  | \$98.109 |
| Average daily operations |  |  | 15.12 |
| Total cost of monthly floor request allocated daily and per operation |  |  | \$6.49 |

A. 5:

Summary of cleaning costs

|  | Staff | Night | Supervisors | Other staff | Supplies | Other | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fifth Floor | $\$ 1.7730$ | $\$ 0.5670$ | $\$ 0.3331$ | $\$ 0.2889$ | $\$ 1.1723$ | $\$ 1.1048$ | $\$ 5.2391$ |
| Fourth Floor | $\$ 1.4033$ | $\$ 0.5670$ | $\$ 0.3331$ | $\$ 0.2889$ | $\$ 1.1809$ | $\$ 1.1048$ | $\$ 4.8781$ |
| Second Floor | $\$ 1.2300$ | $\$ 0.5670$ | $\$ 0.3331$ | $\$ 0.2889$ | $\$ 0.5309$ | $\$ 1.1048$ | $\$ 4.0547$ |
| ICU | $\$ 2.3133$ | $\$ 0.5670$ | $\$ 0.3331$ | $\$ 0.3372$ | $\$ 1.6970$ | $\$ 1.1048$ | $\$ 6.3523$ |
| MF | $\$ 1.2119$ | $\$ 0.5670$ | $\$ 0.3331$ | $\$ 0.2889$ | $\$ 0.9096$ | $\$ 1.1048$ | $\$ 4.4153$ |
| KD | $\$ 0.49$ |  | $\$ 0.15$ | $\$ 0.20$ | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$ 0.51$ | $\$ 1.6129$ |
| OR | $\$ 1.02$ |  | $\$ 0.32$ | $\$ 0.41$ | $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 1.06$ | $\$ 3.8048$ |
| open heart | $\$ 9.43$ |  | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.19$ | $\$ 2.84$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 12.4622$ |
| cath lab | $\$ 2.38$ |  | $\$ 1.49$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 1.79$ | $\$ 4.93$ | $\$ 10.6774$ |
| XRaY | $\$ 0.0003318$ |  | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.0001438$ | $\$ 0.00033$ | $\$ 0.000688$ | $\$ \mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 7}$ |
| Lab | $\$ 0.0001746$ |  | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.0000757$ | $\$ 0.00017$ | $\$ 0.000362$ | $\$ \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 9}$ |

A. 6:

Summary of stationary costs

| Unit | CONSUMPTION <br> 9 MONTHS | Unit of measure | $\$ /$ unit of <br> measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RADIOLOGY | $\$ 6,854.03$ | $5,424,180$ | $\$ 0.0013$ |
| LABORATORY | $\$ 5,404.57$ | $10,307,213$ | $\$ 0.0005$ |
| KIDNEY DIALYSIS | $\$ 1,821.29$ | 6682 | $\$ 0.2726$ |
| OR | $\$ 5,696.45$ | 3552 | $\$ 1.6037$ |
| SF | $\$ 7,696.13$ | 4707.94 | $\$ 1.6347$ |
| PEDIATRICS | $\$ 602.44$ | 1324.89 | $\$ 0.4547$ |
| SAME DAY SURGERY | $\$ 212.81$ | 2165.25 | $\$ 0.0983$ |
| ONCOLOGY | $\$ 841.26$ | 582.54 | $\$ 1.4441$ |
| MF | $\$ 1,412.50$ | 2970.50 | $\$ 1.2795$ |
| ICU | $\$ 24.50$ | 7835.00 | $\$ 1.1345$ |
| NURSERY | $\$ 1,836.74$ | 1153.97 | $\$ \mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ |
| OBS/GYN | $\$ 3,899.71$ | 1492.04 | $\$ 2.6137$ |
| MEDICAL SURGICAL | $\$ 623.91$ | 632.00 | $\$ 0.9872$ |
| NICU | $\$ 1,438.58$ | 753 | $\$ 1.9105$ |
| CARDIAC CATH | $\$ 4,140.42$ | 2487.68 | $\$ 1.6644$ |
| CARDIAC WARD | $\$ 1,691.94$ | 719.00 | $\$ 2.3532$ |
| CCU | $\$ 697.42$ | 93 | $\$ 7.4991$ |
| OPEN HEART | $\$ 271.38$ | 652 | $\$ 0.4162$ |
| ENDOSCOPY |  |  |  |

A. 7:

Summary of waste management costs

| Arcenciel | monthly <br> kilos |
| :--- | :---: |
| OCTOBER | 3,024 |
| SEPTEMBER | 3,498 |
| AUGUST | 3,745 |
| JULY | 3,655 |
| APRIL | 3,527 |
| MARCH | 3,038 |
| FEBRUARY | 4,135 |
| JANUARY | 4363 |
| average monthly total kilos | 3,623 |


| Waste Management allocation cost per unit of measure | average kilos per unit | average $\$$ per average kilos |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| monthly average ward patient days | 0.87 | $\$ 0.48$ |
| monthly average ICU patient days | 1.31 | $\$ 0.72$ |
| monthly average cath lab procedures | 0.87 | $\$ 0.48$ |
| monthly average open heart | 1.75 | $\$ 0.96$ |
| monthly average OR | 1.75 | $\$ 0.96$ |
| monthly average deliveries | 1.31 | $\$ 0.72$ |
| monthly average endoscopy | 0.87 | $\$ 0.48$ |
| monthly average KD sessions | 0.87 | $\$ 0.48$ |

## A. 8:

Labor cost for biomedical maintenance unit:

|  | \# equipment | In-house | Total \# visits/unit |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# visits/year | Yearly | Monthly | labor cost |
| CATH LAB | 8 | 2 | 16 | 1.33 | 44,351.18 |
| Endoscopy | 7 | 2 | 14 | 1.17 | 38,807.29 |
| ER | 25 | 2 | 50 | 4.17 | 138,597.45 |
| HICU | 50 | 2 | 100 | 8.33 | 277,194.90 |
| ICU | 43 | 2 | 86 | 7.17 | 238,387.61 |
| KD | 9 | 2 | 18 | 1.50 | 49,895.08 |
| LAB | 35 | 2 | 70 | 5.83 | 194,036.43 |
| MF | 21 | 2 | 42 | 3.50 | 116,421.86 |
| FF | 22 | 2 | 44 | 3.67 | 121,965.76 |
| NICU | 26 | 2 | 52 | 4.33 | 144,141.35 |
|  | 10 | 1 | 10 | 0.83 | 27,719.49 |
| OBS | 37 | 2 | 74 | 6.17 | 205,124.23 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.08 | 2,771.95 |
| OPEN HEART | 19 | 2 | 38 | 3.17 | 105,334.06 |
| OR | 48 | 2 | 96 | 8.00 | 266,107.10 |
| PEDIATRIC | 17 | 2 | 34 | 2.83 | 94,246.27 |
| PHARMACY | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.33 | 11,087.80 |
| RAD | 6 | 2 | 12 | 1.00 | 33,263.39 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.17 | 5,543.90 |
| SF | 28 | 2 | 56 | 4.67 | 155,229.14 |
|  | 11 | 1 | 11 | 0.92 | 30,491.44 |
| CARDIAC WARD | 37 | 2 | 74 | 6.17 | 205,124.23 |
| Total |  |  | 915 | 76.25 | 2,536,333.33 |
| Total labor cost allocated to in-house / month |  |  | 2,536,333.33 |  |  |
| Total labor cost allocated to in-house /visit |  |  | 33,263.39 |  |  |
| Total labor cost allocated to in-house /visit / day/unit/bed or procedure |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# beds | occupancy rate | occupied bed | Cost per month | Cost per bed (whether occupied or not) |
| OBS | 10 | 42.22\% | 4.22 | 207,896.17 | 20,789.62 |
| ICN | 6 | 46.56\% | 2.79 | 171,860.84 | 10,741.30 |
| NURSERY | 10 | 31.78\% | 3.18 |  |  |
| CCU | 4 | 66.22\% | 2.65 | 277,194.90 | 46,199.15 |
| CSU | 2 | 66.22\% | 1.32 |  |  |
| CW | 14 | 65.56\% | 9.18 | 205,124.23 | 14,651.73 |
| ICU | 5 | 90.00\% | 4.50 | 238,387.61 | 47,677.52 |
| PEDI | 8 | 60.56\% | 4.84 | 94,246.27 | 11,780.78 |
| CHEMO | 4 | 52.56\% | 2.10 | 185,720. | 6,878.54 |
| SF | 23 | 75.56\% | 17.38 | 185,720.58 | 6,878.54 |
| FIFTH FLOOR | 13 | 42.22\% | 5.49 | 121,965.76 | 9,381.98 |
| MF | 12 | 89.89\% | 10.79 | 116,421.86 | 9,701.82 |
|  | average monthly procedure |  |  | Cost per month | Cost per procedure |
| OR |  | 392.90 |  | 266,107.10 | 677.29 |
| OPEN HEART |  | 10.60 |  | 105,334.06 | 9,937.18 |
| CATH LAB |  | 84.10 |  | 44,351.18 | 527.36 |
| KD |  | 742.44 |  | 49,895.08 | 67.20 |
| ENDOSCOPY |  | 72.44 |  | 38,807.29 | 535.68 |

## A. 9:

Distribution of average consumption of electricity and diesel

| Unit | Monthly Consumption |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KD | $2.00 \%$ | $1,095,877.72$ |
| Endoscopy | $0.25 \%$ | $136,984.72$ |
| administration | $0.50 \%$ | $273,969.43$ |
| MF | $2.00 \%$ | $1,095,877.72$ |
| First Floor | $\mathbf{4 . 7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 0 2 , 7 0 9 . 5 9}$ |
| Lab | $10.00 \%$ | $5,479,388.61$ |
| Rad | $15.00 \%$ | $8,219,082.92$ |
| OR | $15.00 \%$ | $8,219,082.92$ |
| Ground Floor | $\mathbf{4 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 9 1 7 , 5 5 4 . 4 5}$ |
| ICU | $\mathbf{5 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 3 9 , 6 9 4 . 3 1}$ |
| ER | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 7 , 9 3 8 . 8 6}$ |
| Pediatric | $0.75 \%$ | $410,954.15$ |
| Chemotherapy | $0.25 \%$ | $136,984.72$ |
| SF | $2.00 \%$ | $1,095,877.72$ |
| Second Floor | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 3 , 8 1 6 . 5 8}$ |
| HICU | $5.00 \%$ | $2,739,694.31$ |
| Open Heart | $5.00 \%$ | $2,739,694.31$ |
| Cath Lab | $5.00 \%$ | $2,739,694.31$ |
| CW | $2.00 \%$ | $1,095,877.72$ |
| Fourth Floor | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 1 4 , 9 6 0 . 6 4}$ |
| OBS/GYN | $1.00 \%$ | $547,938.86$ |
| NICU | $3.00 \%$ | $1,643,816.58$ |
| MED/SURG | $2.00 \%$ | $1,095,877.72$ |
| Fifth Floor | $\mathbf{6 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 8 7 , 6 3 3 . 1 7}$ |
| Laundry | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 2 1 9 , 0 8 2 . 9 2}$ |
| Dietary | $\mathbf{8 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 8 3 , 5 1 0 . 8 9}$ |
| Extensions | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6 , 9 8 4 . 7 2}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## A. 10:

Summary of depreciation per center

| Unit | Yearly depreciation | 9 months dep. | Monthly dep. | Unit of measure | LBP / unit of measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR | 138,313,098.59 | 103,734,823.94 | 11,526,091.55 | 3,552.00 | 29,204.62 |
| HICU | 51,468,796.61 | 38,601,597.46 | 4,289,066.38 | 719.00 | 53,687.90 |
| CW | 51,468,796.61 | 38,601,597.46 | 4,289,066.38 | 2,487.68 | 15,517.11 |
| OPEN HEART | 34,842,414.00 | 26,131,810.50 | 2,903,534.50 | 93.00 | 280,987.21 |
| CATH LAB | 90,186,711.05 | 67,640,033.29 | 7,515,559.25 | 753.00 | 89,827.40 |
| ER | 9,958,778.05 | 7,469,083.54 | 829,898.17 |  |  |
| ICU | 24,685,645.69 | 18,514,234.26 | 2,057,137.14 | 1,245.00 | 14,870.87 |
| FF | 4,863,192.46 | 3,647,394.34 | 405,266.04 | 2,970.50 | 1,227.87 |
| Endoscopy | 31,082,716.83 | 23,312,037.62 | 2,590,226.40 | 652.00 | 35,754.66 |
| KD | 40,300,626.34 | 30,225,469.76 | 3,358,385.53 | 6,682.00 | 4,523.42 |
| LAB | 34,062,931.09 | 25,547,198.32 | 2,838,577.59 | 10,307,213.00 | 2.48 |
| RAD | 230,856,275.22 | 173,142,206.42 | 19,238,022.94 | 5,424,180.00 | 31.92 |
| SF | 23,584,119.04 | 17,688,089.28 | 1,965,343.25 | 8,780.62 | 2,014.45 |
| ICN | 59,677,099.31 | 44,757,824.48 | 4,973,091.61 | 1,415.00 | 31,630.97 |
| MED/SURG | 39,281,839.98 | 29,461,379.99 | 3,273,486.67 | 1,492.04 | 19,745.70 |
| OBS/GYN | 45,598,367.98 | 34,198,775.98 | 3,799,864.00 | 1,153.97 | 29,635.76 |

## A. 11: Example of service department cost allocation

|  | Monthly |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| labor cost | 2,639,710.33 |  |  |
| Maintenance cost | 1,529,484.38 |  |  |
| Stationary cost | 4,631.79 |  |  |
| Depreciation cost | 227,402.72 |  |  |
| Service departments cost allocation | 173,479.60 |  |  |
| Total | LBP 4,574,709 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ALLOCATION BASE | \# of users/peripherals |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total (Monthly) allocated |  |  | 4,574,709 |
| Unit | \# | \% | Total (Monthly) allocated |
| ACCTG | 16 | 19.05\% | 871,373.11 |
| Admission | 3 | 3.57\% | 163,382.46 |
| BIOMEDIC | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| CATH | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| CH | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| CW | 4 | 4.76\% | 217,843.28 |
| DIETARY | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| ENDOSCOPY | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| ER | 3 | 3.57\% | 163,382.46 |
| FF | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| HICU | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| ICN | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| ICU | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| INFECTION CONTROL | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| KD | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| Kitchen | 3 | 3.57\% | 163,382.46 |
| LAB | 8 | 9.52\% | 435,686.56 |
| MAintenAnce | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| MF | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| MRD | 4 | 4.76\% | 217,843.28 |
| OBS | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| OPEN HEART | 2 | 2.38\% | 108,921.64 |
| OR | 4 | 4.76\% | 217,843.28 |
| PEDI | 1 | 1.19\% | 54,460.82 |
| PhArmAcy | 5 | 5.95\% | 272,304.10 |
| RAD | 8 | 9.52\% | 435,686.56 |
| SF | 3 | 3.57\% | 163,382.46 |
| TOTAL | 84.00 | 100.00\% | 4,574,708.83 |

## Pharmacy costs allocation

|  | $\%$ of total quantity dispensed | Allocated to daily dispensing | Monthly Patient days/Procedures | \% of Total monthly cost | Allocated to daily | Daily Patient days/ Procedures | Allocated to one patient days/ | Allocated to one patient | Allocated to one patient days / clinical* | Allocat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CW | 12.10\% | 12,109.62 | 286.44 | 1.84\% | 1,912.42 | 9.55 | 1,268.30 | 200.30 | 1,781.96 | 3 |
| CCU | 6.84\% | 6,850.69 | 79.33 | 0.73\% | 763.50 | 2.64 | 2,590.60 | 288.72 | 1,781.96 |  |
| CH | 1.40\% | 1,398.87 | 65.90 | 2.55\% | 2,654.75 | 2.20 | 636.80 | 1,208.51 | 1,781.96 |  |
| CSU | 4.57\% | 4,577.92 | 79.33 | 3.20\% | 3,326.58 | 2.64 | 1,731.15 | 1,257.95 | 1,781.96 |  |
| Delivery | 0.78\% | 780.34 | 78.00 | 31.96\% | 33,269.88 | 2.60 | 300.13 | 12,796.11 | 1,781.96 | 1 |
| ICU | 10.35\% | 10,357.70 | 139.83 | 1.18\% | 1,226.94 | 4.66 | 2,222.15 | 263.23 | 1,781.96 |  |
| MED-SURG | 6.31\% | 6,319.26 | 162.56 | 10.64\% | 11,078.63 | 5.42 | 1,166.18 | 2,044.49 | 1,781.96 |  |
| MF | 13.79\% | 13,806.88 | 326.33 | 0.20\% | 210.59 | 10.88 | 1,269.27 | 19.36 | 1,781.96 | 3 |
| NICU | 1.10\% | 1,100.53 | 72.67 | 0.08\% | 81.66 | 2.42 | 454.35 | 33.71 | 1,781.96 | 2 |
| Nursery | 0.38\% | 384.32 | 79.56 | 9.58\% | 9,970.20 | 2.65 | 144.93 | 3,759.74 | 1,781.96 | 5 |
| OBS/GYN | 2.74\% | 2,744.19 | 124.40 | 3.51\% | 3,658.13 | 4.15 | 661.80 | 882.21 | 1,781.96 |  |
| Pediatrics | 1.77\% | 1,774.08 | 149.73 | 0.04\% | 39.79 | 4.99 | 355.45 | 7.97 | 1,781.96 | 2 |
| SDS | 0.26\% | 262.66 | 243.21 | 5.67\% | 5,898.59 | 8.11 | 32.40 | 727.60 | 1,781.96 | 2 |
| SF | 19.60\% | 19,616.28 | 520.33 | 6.53\% | 6,797.19 | 17.34 | 1,130.99 | 391.90 | 1,781.96 | 3 |
| OR | 8.99\% | 9,000.04 | 382.50 | 0.68\% | 709.85 | 14.71 | 611.77 | 48.25 | 0.00 |  |
| Endoscopy | 0.12\% | 122.72 | 71.33 | 0.15\% | 160.97 | 2.74 | 44.73 | 58.67 | 0.00 |  |
| KD | 2.38\% | 2,384.85 | 730.50 | 1.24\% | 1,285.95 | 28.10 | 84.88 | 45.77 | 0.00 |  |
| CathLab | 0.76\% | 760.24 | 90.00 | 6.83\% | 7,109.44 | 3.46 | 219.62 | 2,053.84 | 0.00 | 2 |
| Cardio-thorac | 1.75\% | 1,750.10 | 10.50 | 1.50\% | 1,559.20 | 1.00 | 1,750.10 | 1,559.20 | 0.00 | 3 |
| Radiology | 0.17\% | 171.33 |  | 0.04\% | 38.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laboratory | 0.20\% | 200.24 |  | 0.06\% | 62.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ER | 3.63\% | 3,633.80 |  | 11.81\% | 12,296.87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.00\% | 100,106.67 | 2,407.63 | 100.00\% | 104,111.70 |  |  |  |  |  |

## A. 12: Cost Models

|  | MF |  | SF |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pediatrics } \\ & \hdashline \text { Ward } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}$Ward | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { MED/SURG } \\ \hline \text { Ward } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{CW}}{\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{ard}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nursery } \\ & -\overline{W a r d} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost per patient day | Posticu | Medical | Surgical | Medical |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor cost | 43,008.67 | 43,008.67 | 40,851.00 | 40,851.00 | 64,064.87 | 59,378.05 | 55,998.67 | 66,682.91 | 46,038.67 | 69,084,63 |
| MS including medial gases Cost | 17,782.24 | 11,517.12 | 19,359.38 | 17,252.55 | 24,220.23 | 10,795.89 | 14,179.94 | 15,316.72 | 12,929.82 | 23,314,68 |
| Dietary cost** | 21,760.02 | 21,760.02 | 21,760.02 | 21,76002 | 21,760.02 | 27,618.42 | 13,670.42 | 21,760.02 | 21,760.02 | 0.00 |
| Direct cost | 82,550.92 | 76,285.81 | 81,970.41 | 79,863.57 | 110,045.12 | 97,792,35 | 83,849.03 | 103,759,64 | 80,728.50 | 92,399.31 |
| Overhead cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleaning cost | 6,656.08 | 6,656.08 | 6,112.50 | 6,112.50 | 7,897.90 | 6,112.50 | 6,112.50 | 7,897.90 | 7,353.66 | 7,897.90 |
| Stationary cost | 1,928.88 | 1, 1,928.88 | 2,612,49 | 2,612, | 2,399.44 | 685.47 | 2,177.02 | 3,940.12 | 2,509.04 | 1,, 535.37 |
| WM cost | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 |
| Laundry cost** | 3,568.05 | 2,129.63 | 6,091.11 | 1,775.84 | 11,496.25 | 1,438.42 | $1,981.86$ | 4,632.98 | 4,719.77 | 1,917.90 |
| Maintenance cost*** | 865.21 | 865.21 | 383.81 | 383.81 | 1,561.86 | 536.75 | 0.00 | 5,597.65 | 3,816.74 | 10.30 |
| Electricity, diesel, \& fuel | 3,320.28 | 3,320.28 | 1,434.98 | 1,434.98 | 2,136.73 | 2,791.62 | 2,111.36 | 6,610.35 | 3,964.70 | 9,447.22 |
| Overhead cost | 17,063.02 | 15,624,59 | 17,359,40 | 13,044,13 | 26,216,69 | 12,289.28 | 13,112,24 | 29,403.50 | 23,088.41 | 21,533.20 |
| Direct + Overhead | 99,613.94 | 91,910.40 | 99,329.81 | 92,907.70 | 136,261.81 | 110,081.63 | 96,961.27 | 133,163.14 | 103,816.91 | 113,932.51 |
| Depreciation cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation cost | 3,320.28 | 3,320.28 | 2,187.47 | 2,187.47 | 14,817.88 | 1,335.06 | 1,518.19 | 19,745.70 | 15,517.11 | 28,580.99 |
| Depreciation cost | 3,320.28 | 3,320:28 | 2,187.47 | 2,187.47 | 14,817.88 | 1,335.06 | 1,1818.19 | 19,745.70 | 15,517.11 | 28,580.99 |
| Direct + Overhead+Depreciation | 102,934.22 | 95,230,68 | 101,517.28 | 95,095.17 | 151,079.69 | 111,416,69 | 98,479.46 | 152,908.85 | 119,334,02 | 142,513.50 |
| Indirect cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect cost allocation | 9,178.37 | 9,178.37 | 9,883212 | 9,832.12 | 24,503.14 | 13,936.62 | 8,464.57 | 19,423.34 | 14,900.27 | 48,392.60 |
| Service departments costallocation | 40, 471.98 | 40,471.98 | 30,323.63 | 30,323.63 | 55,432.17 | 61,919.47 | 93,204,72 | $87,668.60$ | 52,393.80 | 31,669.61 |
| Indirect cost | 49,650.35 | 49,650,35 | 40,155.75 | 40,155.75 | 79,935.31 | 75,856.09 | 101,669.29 | 107,091.93 | 67,294,07 | 80,062.21 |
| Total average cost per patient day | LBP 152,584,58 | LBP 144,881.03 | LBP 141,673.03 | LBP 135,250.92- | LBP 231,015.00 | LBP 187,272.78 | LBP 200,1488.75 | LBP 260,000.78 | LBP 186,628.09 | LBP 222,575.71 |
|  | \$101.22 | \$96.11 | \$93.98 | \$89.72 | \$153.24 | \$124.23 | \$132.77 | \$172.47 | \$123.80 | \$147.65 |
|  | LBP 182,161.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$120.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** only contractual maintenance, the ordinary is included in the service depts cost allocation category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | OR |  |  | Open Heart |  |  | OBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost per OP | Minor Surgeries | Medium Surgeries | Major Surgeries | Aortic | Valve | CABG | ND |
| Direct cost | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor cost | 102,812.52 | 102,812.52 | 102,812.52 | 878,863.27 | 878,863.27 | 878,863.27 | 38,540.88 |
| MS (including medical gases) cost* | 147,453.79 | 204,401.56 | 344,560.32 | 1,606,036.41 | 2,007,973.59 | 2,213,204.64 | 53,403.26 |
| Referral fees |  |  |  | 300,000.00 | 300,001.00 | 300,002.00 |  |
| Direct cost | 250,266.31 | 307,214.08 | 447,372.84 | 2,784,899.68 | 3,186,837.86 | 3,392,069.91 | 91,944.14 |
| Overhead cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleaning cost | 5,735.68 | 5,735.68 | 5,735.68 | 18,786.78 | 18,786.78 | 18,786.78 | 0.00 |
| Stationary cost | 2,417.62 | 2,417.62 | 2,417.62 | 11,304.95 | 11,304.95 | 11,304.95 | 2,399.44 |
| WM cost | 1,449.01 | 1,449.01 | 1,449.01 | 1,449.01 | 1,449.01 | 1,449.01 | 1,086.76 - |
| Laundry cost | 14,469.79 | 20,223.49 | 25,977.19 | 10,068.97 | 10,068.97 | 10,068.97 | 11,496.25 |
| Maintenance cost | 13,033.12 | 13,033.12 | 13,033.12 | 59,832.19 | 59,832.19 | 59,832.19 | 0.00 |
| Electricity, diesel, \& fuel | 20,919.02 | 20,919.02 | 20,919.02 | 258,461.73 | 258,461.73 | 258,461.73 | 10,105.43 |
| Overhead cost | 58,024.24 | 63,777.94 | 69,531.64 | 359,903.63 | 359,903,63 | 359,903.63 | 25,087.88 _ |
| Direct + Overhead | 308,290.55 | 370,992.03 | 516,904.48 | 3,144,803.30 | 3,546,741.49 | 3,751,973.54 | 117,032.03 |
| Depreciation cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation cost | 29,335.94 | 29,335.94 | 29,335.94 | 273,918.35 | 273,918.35 | 273,918.35 | 70,079.46 |
| Depreciation cost | 29,335.94 | 29,335.94 | 29,335.94 | 273,918.35 | 273,918.35 | 273,918.35 | 70,079.46 _ |
| Direct + Overhead+Depreciation | 337,626.50 | 400,327.97 | 546,240.42 | 3,418,721.65 | 3,820,659.84 | 4,025,891.89 | 187,111.48 |
| Indirect cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect cost allocation | 22,443.90 | 22,443.90 | 22,443.90 | 179,152.87 | 179,152.87 | 179,152.87 | 24,503.14 - |
| Service departments cost allocation | 31,910.90 | 31,910.90 | 31,910.90 | 518,157.21 | 518,157.21 | 518,157.21 | 85,208.39 _ |
| Indirect cost | 54,354.80 | 54,354.80 | 54,354.80 | 697,310.08 | 697,310.08 | 697,310.08 | 109,711.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total average cost per OP | LBP 391,981.30 | LBP 454,682.77 | LBP 600,595.22 | LBP 4,116,031.74 | LBP 4,517,969.92 | LBP 4,723,201.97 | LBP 296,823.01 |
|  | \$260.02 \| | ) \$301.61 | \$398.40 | \$2,730.37 | \$2,996.99 | 1 \$3,133.14 | \$196.90 |


| Cost per L/R | Laboratory | Radiology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct cost |  |  |
| Labor cost | 49.53 | 76.03 |
| MS (including lab out) cost | 59.82 | 1.65 |
| Direct cost | 109.35 | 77.67 |
| Overhead cost |  |  |
| Cleaning cost | $\left[\begin{array}{c\|c} 1.35 \\ -0.79 \end{array}\right]-\frac{2.56}{1.90}-$ |  |
| Stationary cost |  |  |
| Maintenance cost | 1.63--15.36 |  |
| Electricity, diesel, \& fuel | - -7.78 - -13.64 - |  |
| Overhead cost | $\frac{8.55}{117.89}$ | $33.46$ |
| Direct + Overhead |  | 111.13 |
| Depreciation cost |  |  |
| Depreciation cost |  |  |
| Depreciation cost |  |  |
| Direct + Overhead+Depreciation | 120.37 - 143.05 |  |
| Indirect cost |  |  |
| Indirect cost allocation | 8.98 | - 19.96 |
| Service departments cost allocation | $-\frac{9.67}{18.65}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.70 \\ -32.66 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Indirect cost |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total average cost per one L/R |  |  |
|  | \$0.09 | \$0.12 |


|  | KD | ER | Endoscopy | Cath Lab |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost per one session/procedure/visit |  |  |  | Coro | PTCA | Arterio | Pacemaker |
| Direct cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor cost | 13,398.85 | 14,034.90 | 16,979.45 | 42,169.30 | 42,169.30 | 42,169.30 | 42,169.30 |
| MS including medical gases Cost | 28,546.07 | 9,685.91 | 13,222.51 | 49,985.99 | 87,711.17 | 99,055.11 | 36,493.86 |
| Extra cost (routine tests) | 62,161.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Referral fees |  |  |  | 52,500.00 | 135,000.00 | 52,500.00 | 200,000.00 |
| Dietary cost | 6,720.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Direct cost | 110,826.54 | 23,720.81 | 30,201.97 | 144,655.29 | 264,880.48 | 193,724.41 | 278,663.16 |
| Overhead cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleaning cost | 2,431.41 | 1,609.31 | 0.00 | 16,096.15 | 16,096,15 | 16,096.15 | 16,096.15 |
| Stationary cost | 410.89 | 292.26 | 627.46 | 2,880.03 | 2,880.03 | 2,880.03 | 2,880.03 |
| WM cost | 724.51 | 414.56 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 | 724.51 |
| Laundry cost | 1,725.06 | 1,438.32 | 1,819.92 | 6,461.87 | 6,461.87 | 6,461.87 | 6,461.87 |
| Maintenance cost | 1,749.26 | 180.96 | 0.00 | 83,041.53 | 83,041.53 | 83,041.53 | 83,041.53 |
| Electricity, diesel, \& fuel | 1,476.04 | 444.39 | 1,890.89 | 32,576.63 | 32,576.63 | 32,576,63 | 32,576.63 |
| Overhead cost | 8,517.16 | 4,379.82 | 5,062.79 | 141,780,70 | 141,780.70 | 141,780.70 | 141,780.70 |
| Direct + Overhead | 119,343.70 | 28,100.62 | 35,264,75 | 286,435.99 | 406,661.18 | 335,505.11 | 420,433.86 |
| Depreciation cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation cost | 4,523.42 | 673.07 | 35,754.66 | 89,364.56 | 89,364.56 | 89,364.56 | 89,364.56 |
| Depreciation cost | 4,523.42 | 673.07 | 35,754,66 | 89,364.56 | 89,364.56 | 89,364.56 | 89,364,56 |
| Direct + Overhead+Depreciation | -123,867.12 | 28,773,70 | 71,019.41 | 375,800.55 | 496,025.73 | 424,869.67 | 509,808.42 |
| Indirect cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indirect cost allocation | 4,136.76 | 1,950.81 | 8,614.44 | 34,704.49 | 34,704.49 | 34,704.49 | 34,704.49 |
| Service departments costallocation | 12,725.71 | 8,822.37 | 16,629.84 | 37,095.52 | 37,095.52 | 37,095.52 | 37,095.52 |
| Indirect cost | 16,862.48 | 10,813.18 | 25,244.28 | 71,800.00 | 71,800.00 | 71,800.00 | 71,800.00 |
| Total average cost per one procedure/session | LBP 140,729.59 | LBP 39,586.88 | LBP 96,263.69 | LBP-447,600.55 | LBP 567,825.73 | LBP 496,669.67 | LBP 581, 608.42 |
|  |  | \$26.26 | \$63.86 | \$296.92 | \$376.67 | \$329.47 | \$385.81 |

```
A. 13:
Infection Control - Routine tests
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Dietary Staff} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{20 employees} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{every 3 months} \\
\hline & L & R & \\
\hline Stool A & 12 & & \\
\hline Stool culture & 90 & & \\
\hline Nail culture & 90 & & \\
\hline PPD & 60 & & \\
\hline Chest if needed & & 60 & \\
\hline Total & 252 & 60 & \\
\hline Total Cost per one employee per one time & \$24.20 & \$6.79 & \$30.99 \\
\hline Total Cost & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\$2,478.93} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline Nurses & 15 employees & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{every 6 months} \\
\hline & L & & \\
\hline CBC & 45 & & \\
\hline Total & 45 & & \\
\hline Total Cost per one employee per one time & \$4.32 & & \$4.32 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Cost} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\$129.65} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline Chemotherapy & 2 employees & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{every 6 months} \\
\hline & L & & \\
\hline CBC & 45 & & \\
\hline SGPT & 40 & & \\
\hline SGOT & 40 & & \\
\hline Total & 125 & & \\
\hline Total Cost per one employee per one time & \$12.00 & & \$12.00 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Cost} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\$48.02} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline Radiology & 12 employees & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{every 6 months} \\
\hline & L & R & \\
\hline CBC & 45 & & \\
\hline Chest if needed & & 60 & \\
\hline Total & 45 & 60 & \\
\hline Total Cost per one employee per one time & \$4.32 & \$6.79 & \$11.11 \\
\hline Total Cost & & 6.57 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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