AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT

THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON MENTAL HEALTH AND QUALITY OF LIFE AMONGST LEBANESE ADULTS: CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

NINETTE ALBERT GEAGEA

A thesis
submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science
to the Department of Food Sciences
of the Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences at the
American University of Beirut

Beirut, Lebanon April 2023

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT

THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON MENTAL HEALTH AND QUALITY OF LIFE AMONGST LEBANESE ADULTS: CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

by NINETTE ALBERT GEAGEA

Approved by:	
	Signature
Dr. Samer Kharroubi, Associate Professor Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences	323
	Advisor
Dr. Ali Chalak, Associate Professor Department of Agriculture	Signature
	Member of Committee
Dr. Christelle Iskandar, Assistant Professor Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences	Signature
	Member of Committee

Date of thesis defense: April 28, 2023

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT

THESIS RELEASE FORM

Student Namo	e:Geagea Last		Albert Middle	
copies of my	e American Universithesis; (b) include sy; and (c) make free urposes:	such copies in the	archives and digi	tal repositories of
As of	the date of submiss	ion		
One y	ear from the date of	f submission of m	y thesis.	
Two y	rears from the date of	of submission of 1	my thesis.	
Three	years from the date	of submission of	my thesis.	
Ninette Ge	agea	May 10, 2023		
Signature	2	Date		

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project would not have been possible without the guidance and the help of all the faculty members who, in one way or another, contributed and extended their valuable assistance in the completion of this thesis.

I am proud to dedicate my thesis, to the loving memory of my dear grandmother. Her unwavering support and encouragement throughout my academic journey have been a source of inspiration for me, and I am grateful for the impact she had on my life. I hope that this thesis will serve as a tribute to her legacy and the lessons she taught me about hard work, determination, and perseverance.

My sincere gratitude goes to my advisor, Dr. Samer Kharroubi. Without his patience and steadfast encouragement, this study might have not come to a closure. In every phase of the project, his supervision and guidance molded this project perfectly.

Furthermore, my utmost gratitude to the committee members, Dr. Ali Chalak, and Dr, Christelle Iskandar, who have shown generous collaboration through their constructive feedback and brilliant inputs.

Finally, my deepest indebtedness goes to God for giving me the strength to continue my journey, my family for believing in me, my partner for supporting me, and to each and every participant for accepting to take part in this project.

ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

Ninette Albert Geagea for Master of Science
Major: Food Safety

Title: The Impact of Covid-19 on Mental Health and Quality of Life Amongst Lebanese Adults: Cross-Sectional Study

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by SARS-CoV-This disease was first identified in Wuhan, Hubei province, China in December 2019 and has rapidly spread to most major cities and towns in the world, resulting in its designation as a global pandemic. Concerns have been raised about the potential negative impact of the pandemic (and its mitigation strategies) on mental health and quality of life in addition to the mounting death toll in numerous nations.

Therefore, this study aims to (1) determine the prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, and stress among Lebanese adult population amid the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) explore sociodemographic, behavioral, and health-related predictors that might influence mental health outcomes and quality of life (QoL) during COVID-19. This cross-sectional study was conducted online between October and December 2022, using the snowball sampling technique. The final sample included 402 respondents.

Almost half of the study sample had negative impact of covid-19 on QoL (47%). Around two fifths had high anxiety (38%), just over a third had extreme fear of covid-19 (34%) and over a quarter had distress (28%). Based on adjusted multiple regression models, being self-employed (0.056(0.004-0.893) P=0.041), not exposed to violence (0.333(0.156-0.711) P=0.004), getting support from family and friends ((0.390 (0.162-0.941)) P=0.036), and sharing feelings with family and friends (0.244(0.081-0.732) P=0.012, 0.348(0.138-0.879) P=0.025) were associated with lower psychological distress. Likewise, lower anxiety was correlated with being self-employed (0.97(0.20-0.916) P=0.042), or full-time employed (0.084(0.10-0.749) P=0.026), not exposed to violence (0.385(0.187-0.791) P=0.009), having no mental illness (0.210(0.91-0.482)P= 0.001), and sharing feelings with family and friends(0.463(0.219-0.980) P=0.044). On the other hand, high school graduates, and people who feared having no access to treatment were more likely to have higher fear of covid 19, but not following covid 19 news and having >= 7 rooms were linked to lower fear of covid 19. Additionally, higher impact of covid 19 on quality of life was linked to being female, having < 5 rooms, fearing of having no access to treatment, having a worried family member, and having a mental illness. However, sharing feelings with family and friends was shown to decrease the impact of covid 19 on quality of life.

The Lebanese government and policymakers are encouraged to design and provide specific psychological promotion programs for adults with the aim of promoting their mental health and wellbeing. Findings from the present study also highlight the need to

improving access to treatment, social support, and wellness programs to improve resilience to future shocks and to enhance the mental health outcomes of the Lebanese population.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKN	NOWLEDGEMENTS	1
ABST	RACT	2
INTR	ODUCTION	6
LITEF	RATURE REVIEW	9
2.1.	Coronavirus: Overview	9
2.2.	The Global Impact of COVID-19 Lockdown	10
2.3.	Lebanon's Economic Meltdown	12
2.4.	COVID-19: The Lebanese Version	13
2.5.	Mental Health Decline: The Case of Lebanon	15
METH	HODOLOGY	17
3.1.	Type of Study	17
3.2.	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	17
3.3.	Questionnaire	18
3.3	.1. Social and Family Support	18
3.3	2. The fear of COVID-19 scale	19
3.3	.3. The Beirut Distress Scale-22 (BDS-22)	19
3.3	4. The Lebanese Anxiety Scale-10 (LAS-10)	20
3.3	.5. COVID-19 – Impact on Quality of Life (COV19-QoL)	20
3.3	.6. Quality of Life (Choose one scale)	20
3.4	Statistical Analysis	21

RESU	JLTS & DISCUSSION	22
4.1	Sociodemographic characteristics:	22
4.2	Prevalence of anxiety, distress, fear of covid 19 and negative imp	
4.2.	Chi-square Analysis	25
4.2	2.1. Indicators of high risk of psychological distress	25
4.	2.2. Indicators of high anxiety	25
4.2	2.3. Indicators of fear of covid 19	26
4.2	2.4. Indicators of the impact of covid 19 on quality of life	26
4.3.	Logistic Regression Analysis	27
4	3.1. Different factors associated with distress. (BDS-22)	27
4	3.2. Different factors associated with anxiety	29
4	3.3. Different factors associated with the fear of COVID19	30
4	3.4. Different factors associated with the impact of covid 19 on qual-	ity of life 31
LIMI	TATIONS & CONCLUSION	34
APPI	ENDIX	36
BIBL	JOGRAPHY	69

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by SARS- CoV-2. This disease was first identified in Wuhan, Hubei province, China in December 2019 and has rapidly spread to most major cities and towns in the world, resulting in its designation as a global pandemic. Concerns have been raised about the potential negative impact of the pandemic (and its mitigation strategies) on mental health and quality of life in addition to the mounting death toll in numerous nations [1]. Previous large-scale health outbreaks suggest that this type of occasion has a vast impact not only on physical health, but also on mental health and quality of life in general [2]. This concerns the whole population, both healthy and vulnerable groups [3].

In the aim of controlling infectious diseases, confinement and isolation were found quite successful and were implied in the case of the recent COVID-19 pandemic [4].

Nevertheless, the psychological consequences of isolation and quarantine are complex, and they can have serious effects on people's mental health. Not only having to worry about physical symptoms linked to the infection, many individuals fear spreading the sickness to others. Add to that, the latter caused significant irritation and disturbance caused by the loss of accustomed routines and activities. In fact, according to earlier studies, the longer the isolation time, the higher the incidence of poor mental health, post-traumatic stress disorder, and avoidance [5]. Individuals under quarantine periods exceeding 10 days had higher psychological symptoms than those with shorter periods [6].

Previous research revealed that the risk of at least one mental health consequence was enhanced by factors like being female, spending more time online, having a friend or family member who has been diagnosed with a mental illness, and utilizing the internet. Increasing

age, an absence of work-related troubles and being married or being a cohabitant reduced such a probability [7, 8].

Given that many Lebanese worried and feared they wouldn't be able to support and take care of their family, the country's dire financial position served as a risk factor for a variety of psychological problems. Prior to the crisis, a recent cross-sectional study of Lebanese people revealed that almost one-third of them were experiencing mental discomfort [9]. Another study found that Lebanese adults' stress and anxiety levels rose when financial difficulty and pandemic-related worries coexisted [10]. To date, there are no studies that have investigated the impact of COVID-19 pandemic and economic crisis on mental health and quality of life of the general Lebanese population. Thus, the aim of the present study is to explore the impact of the COVID-19 and economic crisis on the mental health and quality of life (QoL) of the Lebanese adult population. In particular, the objectives are to:

- 1. determine the prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, and stress among Lebanese adult population amid the COVID-19 pandemic;
- 2. explore sociodemographic, behavioral, and health-related predictors that might influence mental health outcomes and quality of life (QoL) during COVID-19.

Lockdown measures were adopted by all the countries each agreeing to their own rules and regulations with common grounds between all nations. Aiming to decrease the speed of disease spreading, severe actions were taken which in turn impacted the population as a whole. In fact, a study done in the UK in 2021 revealed that at lockdown, a significant increase in depressive symptoms and a decline in wellbeing was noted in a UK student sample. In comparison to 15% at baseline, more than a third of the sample may be classified as clinically depressed during lockdown [11]. Moreover, according to a cross-sectional

study conducted in Libya, the general population experienced alarmingly high levels of clinically significant anxiety when the country was under lockdown [12].

On February 21st, 2020, the first confirmed case of the new coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) infection in Lebanon was reported [13]. Between March and June, restrictions were put in place to control the initial outbreak. Based on real-time incidence data, a nationwide lockdown proved successful in limiting the virus and reducing cases. However, the quantity of new illnesses drastically increased after the devastating explosion in Beirut in August. In reality, the COVID-19 outbreak has pioneered many challenges, including the increased fear of infection, extreme changes to people's lifestyle due to the lockdown measures, and a further emphasis on the existing economic problems in the country.

Lebanon is currently facing one of the biggest financial catastrophe and inflation in addition to the already existing political instability, so the pandemic intensified the crisis.

Unemployment has been escalating in the country and many Lebanese are living through unstable conditions due to economic insecurity which threatens their psychological wellbeing. With all of the above-mentioned motives, it is quite interesting to study how both Covid-19 and the ongoing

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Coronavirus: Overview

Coronavirus (Cov) is a major pathogen that primarily attacks the human respiratory system [1]. It belongs to a class of genetic diverse viruses found in various birds and mammals. The most common symptoms are fever, cough, muscle aches, and dyspnea. Some unusual symptoms were recorded, such as vomiting and diarrhea [2]. Previous coronavirus (CoV) outbreaks include the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV and the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV, both of which have been identified as agents posing a significant public health risk [1]. In Wuhan City, Hubei Province of China, a cluster of pneumonia cases with no known cause first surfaced in December 2019. Some of the initial cases claimed to have visited a wet seafood market where different wildlife species were sold [3]. Consequent virus isolation from human patients and further molecular analysis revealed that the pathogen was a new coronavirus (CoV), first named 2019-nCoV, and later renamed by World Health Organization (WHO) as COVID-19. The latter is now the seventh member of the Coronaviridae identified to infect humans. In the light of the sharp increase of confirmed cases, the WHO acknowledged this outbreak as a public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC) on January 30, 2020 [2]. Many reports exposed that person-to-person transmission is the most probable way for spreading COVID-19 infection. Moreover, the reported cases that occurred among people who did not visit the wet animal market in Wuhan clearly supports the previous [4, 5]. Person-to-person

transmission occurs mostly via direct contact or through sneeze/cough droplets spread by an infected individual [2]. Due to the fast spread of the virus and the increase of the cases worldwide, the WHO issued considerations for the quarantine of people in the context of containment for COVID-19 on the 29th of February 2020. This defined who should be quarantined and the minimum duration for quarantine to avoid the risk of additional transmission.

2.2. The Global Impact of COVID-19 Lockdown

Aiming to slow the spread of the virus as well as to reduce the impact on healthcare organizations, many countries announced unique measures of home confinement necessitating individuals to stay at home and limit outdoor activities to the most compulsory purposes. [14] Two procedures that can stop or lessen the effects of infectious illness outbreaks are quarantine and isolation. Quarantine is the practice of isolating individuals (or populations) who have been exposed to an infectious disease. On the other hand, "isolation" refers to the separation of those who are known to be diseased [15]. Strict confinement and lockdown presented many positive effects along with some negative consequences. For instance, total lockdown measures contributed to the reduction of emissions upon the reduction of fossil fuels consumption. Add to that, a similar reduction in water pollutants and improvement in the overall water quality was recorded. Moreover, machineries and traffic activities were minimized decreasing by that the noise pollution worldwide [16]. Furthermore, the imposed lockdown measures promoted the work of many businesses; for example, Bitcoin market increased drastically, and video games increased by 100 billion game content broadcast hours. Applications like Zoom skyrocketed by 307%, and digital content increased by 134%.

Add to that, online streaming applications gained countless new subscribers [17]. On the other hand, restrictive confinement presented many negative outcomes targeting not only the general population but also each and every individual. According to survey data, in 2020 provisional unemployment was higher in 70 % of all countries for workers who had completed only a primary education.[18] In fact, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) stated on May 8, 2020, that 20 million Americans lost their jobs in April 2020 as a result of business lockdowns and confinement. Forecasts of a vaccine initially indicated an eventual end to the business lockdowns and social restrictions. However, a resurgence of infectious cases concerning new variants in Europe, Latin America, Russia, the United States, Japan, Brazil, India, and across much of Africa renewed appeals for lockdowns and threatened to delay a probable sustained economic recovery into late 2021 [19]. Besides the drastic economic effects of the lockdown, previous research showed an increased risk for negative psychological outcomes, such as anxiety and depression, through isolation [20]. A review conducted by Brooks et al. described an increase in negative psychological outcomes including post-traumatic stress symptoms, confusion, and anger in quarantined individuals [21]. In fact, according to a cross-sectional study by Orgilés et al. in 2020 comprising the Spanish and Italian youth projected that 85.7% of parents perceived changes in their children's emotional state and performances during quarantine. The most shared symptoms were difficulty concentrating (76.6%), boredom (52%), irritability (39%), restlessness (38.8%), nervousness (38%), feelings of loneliness (31.3%), uneasiness (30.4%), and worries (30.1%). [22] Moreover, another cross-sectional study performed in Austria in 2021 revealed that a moderate positive association exists between the number of restriction measures and loneliness noted by adults, also loneliness levels increased during

lockdown' as compared to the consequent re-opening phase, predominantly amongst those who live alone [23]. An online study involving 2291 respondents in Italy exposed that 57.1% of participants reported poor sleep quality, 32.1% high anxiety, 41.8% high distress, and 7.6% described PTSD symptoms associated with COVID-19 [24]. According to an MDPI survey conducted across Greek cities upon the country's lockdown period in May 2020, 73.3% of respondents said that confinements and lockdowns drastically impacted them financially. Also, around 9% of participants experienced job losses and 18.6% were suspended from work because of the implications of COVID-19 [25].

2.3. Lebanon's Economic Meltdown

Lebanon is nearly three years into one of the world's greatest economic and financial crises [26]. Hundreds of thousands of people took to the streets in October 2019 in demand of radical political change upon sensing an approaching crisis and becoming exasperated with the political class' complete lack of action. As a result, the cabinet resigned, which gave rise to a political catastrophe for the whole nation.

Certainly, capital inflows abruptly stopped, and a severe liquidity shortage forced banks, which were already bankrupt, to proclaim a "bank vacation" and impose strict withdrawal limitations. The country's currency, the lira, drastically declined because of the emergence of a black market in foreign currencies. Sequentially, the wages and purchasing power dropped as inflation shot up. This economic crisis was further aggravated by both the COVID-19 pandemic and the devastating 2020 Beirut port explosion [27]. According to a report in 2021 by the Middle East Institute, the Gross domestic product (GDP) is estimated to have dropped by 25% in 2020, with an

additional 10-15% forecasted decline for 2021. Having lost their bank savings, the Lebanese population is facing a major form of wealth destruction. At the moment, four out of every ten Lebanese have no job, and half of the population lives below the poverty line [27]. As a matter of fact, phone surveys conducted by the World Food Program in collaboration with the World Bank revealed that 61 percent of households reported challenges in accessing food and other basic needs, up from 41 percent in the same period in 2020. 64 percent adults reported restricting consumption of food in favor of children, and 52 percent described difficulties in accessing healthcare, up from 36 percent during the same period in 2020 [26]. The cost of foule or ful, a popular fava bean in the area, increased by 550% in March 2020 compared to the same month in 2019. While wheat, tea, rice, and cigarettes have all increased by approximately 100% during the same time span, sugar has increased by 670% [28]. In effect, Lebanon has seen a dramatic collapse in basic services. Acute fuel shortages for both the private and public utilities have caused severe electricity blackouts across the country, with the public utility, Électricité du Liban (EDL), supplying as little as 2 hours per day. Additionally, medications have been in significant shortages with the health services being severely impacted.

2.4. COVID-19: The Lebanese Version

In Lebanon, there have been 1,216,999 confirmed cases of COVID-19 with 10,688 deaths reported to WHO between 3 January 2020 and 17 October 2022. A total of 5,789,338 doses of vaccine have been given as of October 9, 2022 [29]. After the first confirmed case, the government issued a decision to close schools and universities on February 29th. On March 6th, all restaurants and tourist centers are closed. On March

10th, the first death was reported and on the next day, the first case to be fully recovered was reported as well. On March 15th, the government imposed a nationwide rigid lockdown enforced by the military and then on the 26th of March, the government announced a new curfew between seven in the evening and five in the morning. The arrival of Lebanese expatriates across the airport took place on April 5th. Later, On February 13 2021, the first batch of COVID-19 vaccines arrived to Lebanon. In comparison to other nations known to have successfully managed the pandemic, such as Germany and South Korea, the Lebanese government's lockdown procedures, with the exception of the time period surrounding the Beirut blast, were at least somewhat effective [30]. Despite the initial progress, the country witnessed a dramatic jump in cases after the Beirut blast, topping 680 daily cases by the end of August 2020. Every day in September, more than 1,000 cases were confirmed, exceeding the number of beds designated for the care of COVID-19 patients in the majority of institutions. As the year's conclusion drew near, illnesses spiraled out of control until they peaked in January 2021 with more than 6,000 daily cases [30]. The Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) effectively controlled the outbreak despite facing several political, financial, and economic obstacles. Throughout the pandemic, there were free examinations and financial assistance to rural communities lacking resources and to locations far from the cities. The Lebanese Red Cross, NGOs, and large hospitals mobilized and started widespread testing campaigns. In contrast, despite some attempts by the health authorities, management of the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on mental health remained insufficient.[30]

2.5. Mental Health Decline: The Case of Lebanon

A variety of mental health disorders have been brought on by the long-term traumas of conflict and domestic instability experienced by the Lebanese population. Outlining the psychological impact of each recent catastrophe in Lebanon is multifaceted. The findings of a study by Salameh et al. (2020) revealed that financial hardship and pandemic-related fears together further exacerbated stress and anxiety, going above and beyond the effects of each hardship alone [31]. Moreover, according to a study by Grey et al., 2020, sixty percent of people in self-isolation described that their mental health depreciated since lockdown measures were imposed in Lebanon[32]. In fact, early findings from an international survey of children and adults in 21 countries conducted by United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) and Gallup in 2021 revealed that an average of 1 in 5 young people aged 15–24 surveyed in Lebanon said they often have little interest in doing things or feel depressed [33]. Add to that, according to the NGO Embrace, who manages Lebanon's National Emotional Support and Suicide Hotline, calls to the hotline tripled in 2020 as compared to calls in 2019, in part because of all the detrimental issues in Lebanon as well as pressures triggered by the COVID-19 lockdown [34]. Furthermore, following the COVID-19 pandemic's progression in Lebanon was significantly linked to greater levels of stress, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and anxiety [35]. A study tackling the mental health among the young population in Lebanon in 2021 noted that higher depression scores were associated with family income more than 500 USD (P=0.008), status of being the only person working at home (P=0.01), and contact with a confirmed COVID-19 case (P=0.01) [36]. The findings of this study proved the significant impact of COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown on Lebanese young population's mental health

such as anxiety, depression and insomnia. The quality of life index issued by Numbeo for mid-2022 revealed that Beirut ranked 242nd out of 248 cities around the world for the "worst" quality of life [37]. According to reports from the United Nations, World Bank, UNICEF, and several international financial agencies, the situation has caused nearly 80% of the population to live below the poverty line. Moreover, the fundamentals of a decent life are almost totally absent, including water, electricity, health coverage and many more [37].

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

3.1. Type of Study

This is a descriptive, cross-sectional study. It measures all relative variables at a specific time and does not include any control group and aims to describe the situation of a known population at a specific time. A cross-sectional online survey was distributed to all participants using the snowball sampling technique (social media Flyer in Appendix 1). The survey link and information were posted on different social media platforms including Facebook Pages and WhatsApp groups, where participants were invited to the research. The invitation included the link to the survey and consent form (Online Consent Form in Appendix 2 and Survey in Appendix 3). The completion of the survey was completely voluntary and anonymous; no loss or penalties will take place. Moreover, participants were assured to ask any questions related to the study or request further clarification before agreeing to participate in the study. Furthermore, the study was ethically approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the AUB.

3.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria are (1) willingness to participate, (2) individuals over 18 years of age with access to the internet, and (3) residing in Lebanon at the time of the survey. The intended sample size is 625 respondents across the nation. The estimated sample size is based on an earlier study by Salameh et al. (2020) [31] where anxiety (mean score =16.09) and stress prevalence (mean score 15.30) were estimated in a representative sample of 500 Lebanese adults [31]. An additional 20% was added to the final sample size to account for potential dropouts and incomplete data.

3.3. Questionnaire

The survey was divided into ten sections. The first section included questions related to their socio demographic characteristics including age; gender; marital status, educational level, occupation, income, nationality, region, living conditions and current household monthly income. The second section was composed of questions related to health-related variables including the presence of family members, friends, or colleagues with

COVID-19, previous history of depression or anxiety, history of medication for depressive syndrome, and medical coverage. The third section was related to the behavioral factors including frequency of watching news about COVID-19, internet use, smoking and alcohol consumption. Last two sections included questions related to mental health scales: anxiety, stress and health-related quality of life. The questionnaire requires between 20 and 30 minutes to be completed. Moreover, participants were asked about:

3.3.1. Social and Family Support

Participants were also asked to complete a set of five questions in this questionnaire to evaluate support from friends, support from family members, sharing feelings with other family members, sharing feelings with others, and caring for family members' feelings [6, 38]. The response options for these questions were as follows: much decreased, decreased, unchanged/same as before, increased, and much increased.

3.3.2. The fear of COVID-19 scale

This 7-item tool is used to measure the extent of fear of the COVID-19 in adult people. It is scored on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The total score is calculated by summing the answers to all questions and varies from 1 to 35. Higher scores indicate a greater fear of COVID-19. Participants scoring ≥ 17.5 were categorized as having extreme fear of COVID-19; whereas participants scoring below this threshold were categorized as having normal fear of COVID-19 [39]

3.3.3. The Beirut Distress Scale-22 (BDS-22)

The BDS-22 is a scale validated in Lebanon [40] measure the level of stress in the general Lebanese adult population. It consists of 22 questions exploring six domains: depressive symptoms, demotivation, psychosomatic symptoms, mood deterioration, intellectual inhibition, and anxiety. Responses are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (all of the times), with higher scores indicating higher levels of stress. The global score ranges from 0 to 66 and is created by adding all the answers for respective items of the score. A score of 25 or more is indicative for high risk of psychological distress.

3.3.4. The Lebanese Anxiety Scale-10 (LAS-10)

The LAS-10 is a 10-item scale validated in Lebanon [41] used to screen for anxiety in the general Lebanese adult population. Questions 1 to 7 are scored on a 5- point Likert scale from 0 (not present) to 4 (very severe), while items 8-10 are graded on a 4-point Likert scale from 1 (never/almost never) to 4 (almost always), with higher scores indicating higher anxiety. People with scores above <u>13.5</u> be referred to a health care professional for further assessment [41].

3.3.5. COVID-19 – Impact on Quality of Life (COV19-QoL)

This tool is composed of 6 questions (score 1 → 5 from completely agree to completely disagree). The higher score, the greater impact on quality of life and related domains subjectively perceived by the participants. Scores could be displayed and analyzed for each item separately. It is recommended to generate a score by calculating the total score for each participant: summing the scores on all of the items and dividing that result by the number of items (i.e. 6). Hence, the total score will be the <u>average</u> of all the items. Then, average scores could be

compared with the theoretical one for a five-point scale (which equals to 3).[42]

3.3.6. Quality of Life (Choose one scale)

To assess the HQOoL, we included the generic EQ-5D-5L descriptive system [43]. The EQ-5D- 5L has become the most widely used measure of health status and it is the preferred measure of HRQoL for health technology assessment in many countries,

particularly in Europe, although it has also gained widespread use in North America, Asia and Australia. It comprises five dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has 5 levels: no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems and extreme problems. The patient is asked to indicate his/her health state by ticking the box next to the most appropriate statement in each of the five dimensions. This decision results in a 1-digit number that expresses the level selected for that dimension. The digits for the five dimensions can be combined into a 5-digit number that describes the patient's health state.

3.4 Statistical Analysis

Data from LimeSurvey was generated and collected on Excel sheets then transferred to IBM SPSS® software version 23.0 for further analysis. After that, computations of the different scores were done to categorize participants based on respective cut offs. For descriptive analysis, frequency and percentage were reported for all categorical variables. Furthermore, chi-square analysis was done between the different sociodemographic, health-related, covid19 related variables with the different dependent mental health outcomes (distress, anxiety, fear of covid 19, impact of covid 19 on quality of life). Significant associations were considered where p-value was < 0.05. After that, simple logistic regressions (bivariate analysis) were performed between the independent variables (socio-demographic, health-related, covid 19 related) and each dependent (distress, anxiety, fear of covid19, quality of life). Upon checking the significant associations, and to adjust for confounding factors among each group, the significant correlations (with a p-value<0.05) were put again in the bivariate model and the adjusted odds ratio was reported.

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Our survey received 739 responses, out of which 402 full responses and 337 partial responses. We have disregarded 337 responses. (n=402)

4.1 Sociodemographic characteristics:

Table 1 below summarizes the characteristics of our population:

Characteristics	Frequency	Percent		
Gender				
Male	125	31.1%		
Female	250	62.2%		
Prefer not to answer	27	6.7%		
Age				
18- 24	122	34.0%		
[25-29]	90	25.1%		
[30-39]	74	20.6%		
40-49	38	10.6%		
>=50	35	9.7%		
Marital Status				
Married	121	31.6%		
Single	235	61.7%		
Engaged	19	5%		
Divorced/Widowed/Separated	7	1.8%		

Education Level		
Pre-high school	20	5.2%
High school	59	15.3%
University undergraduate (BS, BA, technical, vocational, etc.)	142	36.8%
University graduate (MS, MBA, PhD, MD, etc.)	165	42.7%
Dwelling region		
Beirut	79	20.5%
Mount Lebanon	249	64.5%
South Lebanon	29	7.5%
Bekaa	5	1.3%
North Lebanon	24	6.2%
Employment Type		
Self-employed	49	12.9%
Full time employee	167	43.9%
Part-time employee/daily laborer	53	13.9%
Unemployed, not seeking employment (student, housewive, handicapped, retired)	75	19.7%
Unemployed, actively seeking employment	26	6.8%
Other	10	2.6%

Most of the participants were females (62.2%), with majority being college students (18-24 years) (34%). The majority of the participants are single (61.7%) and most of them are university graduates (MS,MBA,PhD,MD etc). In addition, 43.9% of the studied population were full-time employees ,12.9% were self-employed, 13.9% were part-time employed, 19.7% were unemployed and 6.8% were unemployed, actively seeking employment. More than half of the participants stated residing in Mount Lebanon (64.5%).

4.2 Prevalence of anxiety, distress, fear of covid 19 and negative impact of covid 19

Beirut Distress Score	Frequency	Percent
low risk of psychological distress	223	72.4%
high risk of psychological distress	85	27.6%
Total	308	100.0%

Lebanese Anxiety Score	<u>Frequency</u>	Percent Percent
Less Anxious	212	62.2%
Higher anxiety	129	37.8%
Total	341	100.0%

on quality of life.

Impact of Covid 19 on QOL	Frequency	Percent
Low impact on quality of life	190	52.9%
Higher impact on quality of life	169	47.1%
Total	359	100.0%

Fear of Covid 19	Frequency	Percent
Normal fear of Covid19	246	65.6%
Extreme fear of Covid19	129	34.4%
Total	375	100.0%

According to the Beirut distress score scale, 27.6% scored 25 or higher meaning that they are at a higher risk of psychological distress. Moreover, 37.8% of the studied population scored above 13.5 on the Lebanese anxiety scale which indicates higher anxiety, and the need to be referred to a healthcare professional. On the other hand, around half of the participants scored 3 or more on the impact of COVID19 on quality

of life, i.e. meaning that covid-19 had high impact of their QoL (47.1% reported higher negative impact on their quality of life) According to the scale of fear of COVID19, 129 participants (34.4%) were found to have extreme fear of COVID 19 and its complications.

4.2. Chi-square Analysis

4.2.1. Indicators of high risk of psychological distress

A Chi-square analysis revealed significant association between high risk of psychological distress and cigarette smoking (P=0.02), violence at home (P=0.000), having a mental illness (P=0.000), having a friend with a mental illness (P=0.000), having a family member diagnosed with a mental illness (P=0.022), having a worried family member (P=0.014), and the source of Covid 19 news (P=0.041). Moreover, age was found to be associated with psychological distress (P=0.000), education level (P=0.017), employment type (P=0.026), and income level (P=0.006). Finally, the results noted significant associations between getting support from friends (P=0.012), getting support from family (P=0.000), sharing feeling with family (P=0.000), and sharing feelings with others when in blue (P=0.006).

4.2.2. Indicators of high anxiety

The Chi-square analysis showed that significant association is present between high anxiety and violence (P=0.000), having a mental illness (P=0.000). having a friend with mental illness (P=0.000), having a family member with mental illness (P=0.025), having

a worried family member(P=0.01), and type of source of information about Covid 19(P=0.041). Add to that, sharing feelings with family (P=0.007), age (P=0.002), and employment type (P=0.005) were associated with higher anxiety.

4.2.3. Indicators of fear of covid 19

Getting support from friends (P=0.019), sharing feeling with family (P=0.004), sharing feeling with other(P=0.001), getting treatment for chronic illness(P=0.049), and fear no access to treatment(P=0.032) were all found to be associated with fear of covid 19.

Moreover, being at risk of getting covid 19 (P=0.029) and following up on covid 19 news(P=0.000) were also associated with fear of covid 19. Upon the socio-economic factors, only marital status (P=0.008), education level (P=0.001), and number of rooms (P=0.007) were found to be correlated with fear of covid 19.

4.2.4. Indicators of the impact of covid 19 on quality of life

Using chi-square analysis, gender (P=0.001), getting support from friends(P=0.024), getting support from family(P=0.015), sharing feelings with family(P=0.000), and sharing feelings with others when in blue (P=0.000) were correlated with the impact of covid 19 on quality of life. Moreover, the results showed an association between impact of covid 19 on quality of life with violence at home (P=0.002), having a mental illness (P=0.001), having a friend with mental illness(P=0.014), having a chronic illness(P=0.009), being treated for chronic illness(P=0.013), fear no access to treatment(P=0.000), having a family member with a chronic illness(P=0.000), and having a worries family member(P=0.001).

4.3. Logistic Regression Analysis

4.3.1. Different factors associated with distress. (BDS-22)

According to the Center for Disease and Prevention (CDC), "mental health includes our emotional, psychological, and social well-being. It affects how we think, feel, and act. It also helps determine how we handle stress, relate to others, and make healthy choices." Negative mental health outcomes can include anxiety, depression, psychological distress, and different types of addiction. Furthermore, according to a cross sectional study conducted by El Othman et al., 2021, a significant correlation between psychological stress, depression, anxiety, and obsessive-compulsive characteristics was noted during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Psychological distress refers to non-specific symptoms of anxiety, stress, and depression. Elevated levels of psychological distress are indicative of impaired mental health and may reflect common mental disorders, like depressive and anxiety disorders. [44] According to adjusted logistic models for socio-economic correlates of distress, the odds of having higher distress score is 94.4% less for self-employed participants as compared to those who reported other type of employment. 0.056(0.004-0.893) P=0.041 (Table 2). This was actually noted in a previous cross-sectional study conducted in Finland where people who are employed full-time experienced slightly less psychological distress than the rest of the studied population. [45] A stable job and a

regular income can provide a sense of security and financial stability, which can reduce stress levels. Full-time employment may also provide opportunities for social interaction and a sense of purpose or accomplishment, which can contribute to overall well-being. In addition, being unemployed was found to be protective of psychological distress in our study sample 0.048(0.003-0.723) P=0.028. A possible explanation would be that students, housewives, retirees may have more flexibility and control over their daily activities, which can help mitigate some of the negative effects of unemployment. Housewives, for instance, may have more time to pursue their hobbies outside of their caregiving responsibilities, while students may be able to focus more on their studies and personal development. Retirees may have more time to spend with family and friends, travel, or engage in other fulfilling activities which by turn decreases the risk of psychological distress.

In the unadjusted model, having a low income was found to significantly increase psychological distress amongst participants (Low <675,000 LBP (450USD) 10.000(1.150-86.951) P=0.037). However, no significant association was noted in the adjusted model (Low <675,000 LBP (450USD) 7.230(0.736-71.050) P=0.090) which may be due to the higher significance of other factors in relation to psychological distress.

Furthermore, the odds of having a higher distress score is lower by 67% for people who are not exposed to violence as compared to people who are exposed to physical, sexual, and verbal abuse. 0.333(0.156-0.711) P=0.004, (Table 1). The findings are consistent with previous research indicating that experiencing domestic violence can lead to mental health issues (Table 1) [46]. Add to that, results from the multiple logistic models for support variables showed that the odds of having a higher distress

score is 61% lower for individuals getting support from family same as before as compared with individuals with decreased support. (0.390 (0.162-0.941)) P=0.036 (Table 3) and the odds of having a higher distress score is 76% lower for individuals getting increased support from family as compared with individuals with decreased support. 0.244(0.081-0.732) P=0.012. Moreover, the odds of having a higher distress score is 66% lower for individuals sharing feelings with family same as before as compared to individuals with decreased sharing. 0.348(0.138-0.879) P=0.025. Previous research findings support this since receiving support from family members was found to improve mental well-being and thus lower susceptibility to psychological distress [47].

4.3.2. Different factors associated with anxiety

According to the American Psychological Association (APA), anxiety is an emotion characterized by feelings of tension, worried thoughts, and physical changes like increased blood pressure. Based on the results, the odds of having higher anxiety is lower by 3% for self-employed employees as compared to other employment types. 0.97(0.20-0.916) P=0.042; however, the odds of having higher anxiety is lower by 92% for full time employees as compared to other employment types. 0.084(0.10-0.749) P=0.026 (Table 5). The latter difference in percentages can be explained by the stability provided by a fixed full-time job which in turn might lower anxiety. This was also noted by Won, G., et al., in 2019 where self-employment was found to be correlated to an increased risk of self-reported anxiety in South Korea[48]. The odds of having higher anxiety is lower by 62% for individuals with no violence at home as compared to individuals having physical, verbal, or other type of violence. 0.385(0.187-0.791)

P=0.009 (Table 4). Results showed lower odds of anxiety amongst participants with no mental illness (0.210(0.91-0.482) P= 0.001). Add to that, the odds of having higher anxiety is 4 times higher for individuals who preferred not to answer about having a friend with mental illness as compared to having a friend with mental illness 4.214(1.144-15.516) P=0.031. This can be explained by the fact that individuals who are more anxious may be more hesitant to disclose information about their personal life, including whether they have a friend with mental illness. Furthermore, the odds of having higher anxiety is 54% less for participants who reported sharing feeling with family same as before as compared to decreased. 0.463(0.219-0.980) P=0. 044.(Table 6). In fact, a similar cross-sectional study by Salameh et al., 2020 reflected that higher family satisfaction resulted in lower stress and anxiety.

4.3.3. Different factors associated with the fear of COVID19

The fear of COVID-19 is a psychological response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. The fear of COVID-19 can manifest in many ways, such as fear of getting sick, fear of infecting loved ones, fear of hospitals, fear of dying, fear of job loss, fear of social isolation, and fear of the unknown. According to the results, the odds of having fear of COVID 19 is 4.4 times higher for high school graduates as compared to pre-high school participants. 4.457(1.179-16.856) P=0.028. Contrary to our findings, many studies reported that individuals with a greater educational attainment may utilize more effective coping mechanisms, resulting in lower stress and fear levels being reported.[49] Moreover, the odds of having fear of COVID 19 is 69% less for participants having >= 7 rooms as compared to participants having <5 rooms.

0.470(0.222-0.995) P=0.048. This may be justified as the increased number of rooms

provides excess space for self-isolation and quarantine so family members of the same household may have a minimal fear of being infected with COVID19 when another member is feeling ill. On the other hand, the odds of having higher fear of COVID 19 is 86% higher for participants who reported having fear of no access treatment as compared to participants who do not. 1.865CI (1.072-3.243) P=0.027. This suggests that the availability and accessibility of treatment play a significant role in the level of fear and anxiety about the COVID-19 pandemic specially during the Lebanese economic crisis which caused major shortages in medications. Alternatively, the odds of having fear of COVID 19 is 69% lower for participants who reported not following COVID news as compared to those who chose NA. 0.319CI(0.202-0.506) P=0.000. This is ascertained by a similar cross-sectional study where respondents reported feeling more anxious and in fear upon checking COVID19 news on both social media and traditional news. [50]

4.3.4. Different factors associated with the impact of covid 19 on quality of life.

Quality of life refers to the overall well-being and satisfaction that an individual or community experiences in different aspects of their lives, including physical health, mental and emotional well-being, social relationships, economic and environmental conditions, and personal fulfillment. Based on the results, there exists a significant association between gender and impact of covid 19 on quality of life where the odds of COVID 19 having a higher impact on quality of life is 2 times higher for females as compared to males. 2.239(1.386-3.618) P=0.001, Table 11. Besides gender related association, the odds of having higher impact of covid 19 on quality of life is 70% less

for participants who stated sharing feeling with family is same as before 0.308(0.135-0.705) P=0.005, Table 12 62% less for participants who stated increased sharing feeling with family 0.385(0.159-0.932) P=0.034, 54% less for participants who stated sharing feeling with others when in blue is same as before (0.464 CI(0.234-0.922)) P = 0.028 asbefore as compared to individuals with decreased sharing. These findings were found comparable with another study done in the MENA region, where more than half of the respondents indicated receiving more support from their family members and being more attentive to their family members' emotions during the pandemic. These favorable effects on mental well-being might have assisted participants in dealing with the pandemic's impact on quality of life [51]. Add to that, the odds of having a higher impact of covid 19 on quality of life is 61% less in individuals with no mental illness as compared to individuals with mental illness. 0.398(0.170-0.931) P=0.034. The findings are in line with the key findings of the World Health Organization (WHO)'s scientific brief of mental health 2022, individuals with pre-existing mental conditions are at an increased likelihood of experiencing severe illness and mortality from COVID-19, and as such, should be recognized as a high-risk population when diagnosed with infection. Additionally, the odds of having a higher impact of covid 19 on quality of life is 3 times higher for individuals who fear having no access to treatment as compared to not fearing. 3.032(1.578-5.828) P=0.001 and the odds of having a higher impact of covid 19 on quality of life is 2 times higher for participants who have a worried family member as compared to those who don't. 2.028(1.144-3.595)P=0.016. According to Salameh et al., fear of having no access to treatment and having a worried family member were found to be correlates of stress and anxiety amid Covid 19 pandemic [10]. Furthermore, the odds of COVID 19 having a higher impact on quality of life is 52% less for

participants who reported having >=7 rooms as compared to <5 rooms. 0.482(0.355-0.910) P=0.024, this is logical because having more space decreases the chances of being in contact with an ill family member.

CHAPTER V

LIMITATIONS & CONCLUSION

The present study has several strengths that are worth mentioning. First, the psychological distress, anxiety, and fear of covid 19 scales were assessed using validated tools. Second, this study examined associations of several factors or correlates affecting psychological health of participants including social, economic, and healthrelated factors which allowed to gain in-depth understanding of high-risk populations affected by COVID-19, and reduced QoL as well as understanding protective factors. Another strength of our project was carrying out the survey in multiple languages (Arabic/English) aiming to achieve broader distribution. However, the above study presents several limitations. A major limitation is that the data was collected during 2022, whereby in Lebanon the cases of covid 19 were starting to decline and this might have changed the impact of covid 19 on quality of life and maybe lessened the fear due to covid 19. Another one is the use of a self-reported questionnaire, which may result in respondent bias or data misreporting. An additional potential limitation is the use of a snowball sampling technique, which does not adjust for population size in different countries. Additionally, the cross-sectional study design only provides a snapshot of psychological responses at a particular point in time, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The study also relied on an online survey, which may

have excluded non-social media users and led to less generalizable results. However, the participants were guaranteed anonymity to reduce social desirability bias.

• Conclusion

The results of this study showed that covid 19 pandemic was associated with mental health outcomes among the Lebanese adults. As a result, the Lebanese government and policymakers are encouraged to design and provide specific psychological promotion programs for adults with the aim of promoting their mental health and wellbeing. Findings from the present study also highlight the need to improving access to treatment, social support, and wellness programs to improve resilience to future shocks and to enhance the mental health outcomes of the Lebanese population.

APPENDIX

1. Associations of health-related variables with psychological distress

Beirut Distress Score								
	OR; 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significanc e (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)				
Alcohol consumption								
Previous								
None	0.154(0.014-1.647)	P=0.122						
Occasional	0.103(0.10-1.085)	P=0.058						
Regular	0.139(0.11-1.708)	P=0.123						
Cigarette Smoking								
Previous/None								
Occasional	1.512(0.742-3.081)	P=0.255	1.270(0.560- 2.878)	P=0.567				
Regular	2.296(1.241-4.246)	P=0.008	1.985(0.991- 3.975)	P=0.053				
Waterpipe smoking								
Previous/None								
Occasional	1.962(0.834-4.616)	P=0.123						
Regular	0.749(0.203-2.763)	P=0.664						

Violence at hon	ne					
Physical/Verbal Violence	/Other					
No violence		0.201(0.076-0.5	29)	P=0.001	0.333(0.156- 0.711)	P=0.004
Current health	coverage					
No health cover	rage					
Private insuranc	ce	0.861(0.444-1.6	72)	P=0.807		
Social security		0.798(0.351-1.8	15)	P=0.929		
Other public co	verage	0.657(0.208-2.0	75)	P=0.475		
Mental illness						
	Yes					
Do you have a mental illness?	No	0.296(0.156-0.5	62)	P=0.000	0.506(0.226- 1.133)	P=0.098
iiiiess :	Prefer not to answer	0.800(0.191-3.3	47)	P=0.760	0.892(0.178- 4.464)	P=0.889
Do you have a friend with a	Yes					
mental illness?	No	0.340(0.198-0.5	84)	P=0.000	0.587(0.293- 1.177)	P=0.133
	Prefer not to answer	1.118(0.318-3.9	39)	P=0.862	1.074(0.235- 4.913)	P=0.926
Do you have a	Yes					
family member diagnosed with a mental illness?	No	0.501(0.282-0.8	92)	P=0.019	1.105(0.527- 2.316)	P=0.792
	Prefer not to answer	1.615(0.372-7.0	23)	P=0.522	1.739(0.287- 10.557)	P=0.547

Chronic illness					
Do you have a chronic illness?	Yes				
chronic inness?	No	0.643(0.328-1.257)	P=0.196		
	Prefer not to answer	1.813(0.106-30.967)	P=0.106		
Treatment for chronic illness	Regular treatment				
	No regular treatment	0.648(0.278-1.509)	P=0.314		
	N/A	0.692(0.328-1.462)	P=0.335		
Fear no access to treatment.	No				
ireaument.	Yes	1.146(0.497-2.642)	P=0.750		
	N/A	1.696(0.720-3.996)	P=0.227		
Do you have a family member	No				
diagnosed with a chronic	Yes	1.476(0.874-2.492)	P=0.145		
illness?	N/A	0.650(0.207-0.207)	P=0.460		
Worried family m	nember				
No					
Yes		2.229(1.269-3.914)	P=0.005	1.820(0.965- 3.431)	P=0.064
N/A		1.127(0.341-3.731)	P=0.844	1.161(0.315- 4.271)	P=0.823
COVID-19					
Were you exposed to a	No	0.561(0.243-1.296)	P=0.176		
person with COVID-19?	Yes				
	N/A	0.836(0.015-47.391)	P=0.931		
Do you have a relative	No	1.545(0.705-3.382)	P=0.277		
diagnosed with COVID-19?	Yes				
CO (ID-19 !	N/A	0.000(000-)	P=1.000		

Are you at risk of being	No	0.801(0.401-1.600)	P=0.530		
infected with COVID-19?	Yes				
COVID-19:	N/A	0.000(000-)	P=1.000		
Were you	No	1.430(0.678-3.015)	P=0.347		
quarantined for	Yes				
14 days?	N/A	0.000(000-)	P=1.000		
Did you follow	No	0.651(0.336-1.262)	P=0.204		
COVID news?	Yes				
	N/A	0.000(000-)	P=1.000		
What is your	Internet				
main source of info?	Friends	2.625(1.209-5.700)	P=0.015	1.233(0.527- 2.887)	P=0.629
	TV	1.320(0.612-2.845)	P=0.479		

2. Associations of socio-economic variables with psychological distress

	Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)
Gender				
Male	0.456 (0.160-1.301)	P=0.142		
Female	0.740 (0.277-1.977)	P=0.549		
Prefer not to answer				
Age				
18- 24	3.333(1.040-10.685)	P=0.043	3.334(0.824- 13.485)	P=0.091
[25-29]	0.825(0.237-2.874)	P=0.763	1.012(0.229- 4.464)	P=0.988
[30-39]	0.653(0.173-2.460)	P=0.529	0.669(0.140- 3.204)	P=0.615
40-49	0.769(0.180-3.295)	P=0.724	0.843(0.149- 4.769)	P=0.847
>=50				
Marital Status				
Married	2.266(0.259-19.830)	P=0.460		
Single	3.333(0.308-36.110)	P=0.322		
Engaged	1.233(0.136-11.217)	P=0.853		
Divorced/Widowed/Se parated				

Education Level				
Pre-high school	0.401(0.049-Z3.299)	P=0.395	0.547(0.05 7-5.299)	P=0.603
High school	2.806(1.383-5.692)	P=0.004	1.925(0.76 5-4.846)	P=0.164
University undergraduate (BS, BA, technical, vocational, etc.)	1.507(0.841-2.700)	P=0.168	1.155(0.57 7-2.312)	P=0.685
University graduate (MS, MBA, PhD, MD, etc.)				
Primary Nationality				
Lebanese	0.428(0.140-1.315)	P=0.138		
Prefer not to answer				
Other	1.167(0.059-22.937)	P=0.919		
Employment Type				
Self-employed	0.059(0.005-0.664)	P=0.022	0.056(0.00 4-0.893)	P=0.041
Full time employee	0.101(0.010-1.007)	P=0.051	0.142(0.01 1-1.907)	P=0.141
Part-time employee/daily laborer	0.192(0.018-2.018)	P=0.169	0.214(0.01 5-3.029)	P=0.254
Unemployed, not seeking employment (student, housewive, handicapped, retired)	0.156(0.015-1.586)	P=0.116	0.048(0.00 3-0.723)	P=0.028
Unemployed, actively seeking employment	0.233(0.020-2.733)	P=0.246	0.151(0.00 9-2.647)	P=0.196
Other				

Dwelling region				
Beirut	1.242(0.211-7.320)	P=0.810		
Mount Lebanon	3.059(0.631-14.835)	P=0.165		
South Lebanon	0.729(0.092-5.811)	P=0.766		
Bekaa	2.494(0.124-50.224)	P=0.551		
North Lebanon				
Income Status				
No income	14.960(1.838-121.750)	P=0.011	13.49(0.348- 134.972)	P=0.072
Low <675,000 LBP (450USD)	10.000(1.150-86.951)	P=0.037	7.230(0.736- 71.050)	P=0.090
Moderate 675,000 - 1,500,000 LBP (450-1,000 USD)	5.426(0.665-44.256)	P=0.114	5.356(0.601- 47.711)	P=0.133
Intermediate 1,500,000-3,000,000 LBP (1,000-2,000 USD)	4.554(0.560-37.027)	P=0.156	5.143(0.58- 44.909)	P=0.139
High > 3,000,000 LBP (2,000 USD)	6.094(0.759-48.966)	P=0.089	7.369(0.832- 65.271)	P=0.073
Prefer not to answer				
Household Size				
< 4 persons	1.061(0.435-2.588)	P=0.897		
4 persons	1.693(0.718-3.993)	P=0.229		
5 persons	1.228(0.501-3.013)	P=0.654		
>= 6 persons				
Number of rooms				
<5 rooms	1.269(0.464-3.472)	P=0.643		
5 rooms	1.160(0.374-3.604)	P=0.797		
6 rooms	0.876(0.280-2.737)	P=0.820		
>=7 rooms				

3. Associations of social support variables with psychological distress

	Beirut I	Distress Score			
		Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR*	* Significance (p<0.05)
		Please indicate in the ne may have changed your			ID-19 in Lebanon
	Decreased				
Getting support from	Same as before	0.433(0.247-0.758)	P=0.003	0.669(0.349- 1.285)	P=0.227
friends	Increased	0.562(0.251-1.256)	P=0.160	0.903(0.340- 2.396)	P=0.838
~ .	Decreased				
Getting support from family	Same as before	0.206(0.095-0.447)	P=0.000	0.390(0.162- 0.941)	P=0.036
	Increased	0.159(0.068-0.374)	P=0.000	0.244(0.081- 0.732)	P=0.012
a	Decreased				
Sharing feeling with family	Same as before	0.214(0.105-0.437)	P=0.000	0.348(0.138- 0.879)	P=0.025
	Increased	0.263(0.130-0.534)	P=0.000	0.441(0.152- 1.282)	P=0.133
aı :	Decreased				
Sharing feeling with others when	Same as before	0.364(0.189-0.699)	P=0.002	0.946(0.399- 2.241)	P=0.900
in blue	Increased	0.681(0.348-1.333)	P=0.263	1.495(0.622- 3.593)	P=0.368
Caring with	Decreased				
family members' feelings	Same as before	0.245(0.094-0.636)	P=0.004	0.516(0.169- 1.572)	P=0.244
	Increased	0.268(0.105-0.683)	P=0.006	0.659(0.208- 2.088)	P=0.479

4. Associations of health-related variables with anxiety.

Lebanese Anxiety Score							
	OR; 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)			
Alcohol consumption							
Previous							
None	1.889(0.478-7.467)	P=0.364					
Occasional	1.538(0.393-6.021)	P=0.536					
Regular	1.556(0.354-6.844)	P=0.559					
Cigarette Smoking							
Previous							
None	1.911(0.388-9.427)	P=0.426					
Occasional	2.145(0.403-11.418)	P=0.371					
Regular	3.500(0.672-18.242)	P=0.137					
Waterpipe smoking							
Previous							
None	3.051(0.038-242.148)	P=0.617					
Occasional	5.422(0.059-496.31)	P=0.463					
Regular	2.748(0.029-261.265)	P=0.662					

Violence at home					
Physical/Verbal/O	ther Violence				
No violence		0.254(0.134-0.478)	P=0.000	0.385(0.187 -0.791)	P=0.009
Current health cov	verage				
No health coverag	ge				
Private insurance		1.450(0.634-3.314)	P=0.379		
Social security		1.300(0.520-3.254)	P=0.575		
Other public coverage		0.733(0.205-2.618)	P=0.632		
Mental illness					
	Yes				
Do you have a mental illness?	No	0.179(0.088-0.362)	P=0.000	0.210(0.91- 0.482)	P= 0.000
	Prefer not to answer	0.145(0.025-0.852)	P=0.033	0.135(0.202 -0.900)	P=0.039
Do you have a	Yes				
friend with a mental illness?	No	0.415(0.252-0.683)	P=0.001	0.954(0.511 -1.781)	P=0.883
	Prefer not to answer	2.340(0.684-8.009)	P=0.176	4.214(1.144 -15.516)	P=0.031
Do you have a	Yes				
family member diagnosed with a mental illness?	No	0.592(0.349-1.005)	P=0.052		
	Prefer not to answer	1.910(0.110-33.169)	P=0.237		

Chronic illness					
Oo you have a	Yes				
chronic illness?	No	0.444(0.103-1.917)	P=0.142		
	Prefer not to answer	0.563(0.048-6.601)	P= 0.647		
Treatment for chronic illness	Regular treatment				
	No regular treatment	0.720(0.164-3.167)	P=0.664		
	N/A	0.724(0.150-3.492)	P=0.688		
Fear no access to treatment.	No				
	Yes	1.734(0.994-2.789)	P=0.053		
	N/A	1.270(0.796-2.264)	P=0.270		
Do you have a	No				
family member diagnosed with a	Yes	1.156(0.733-1.823)	P=0.532		
chronic illness?	N/A	0.640(0.237-1.727)	P=0.378		
Worried family me	ember				
No					
Yes		2.027(1.257-3.268)	P=0.004	1.643(0.953- 2.835)	P=0.074
N/A		1.071(0.408-2.810)	P=0.890	0.997(0.344- 2.888)	P=0.995
COVID-19					
Were you exposed to a	No	0.621(0.304-1.268)	P=0.191		
person with COVID-19?	Yes				
	N/A	6.902(0.352-135)	P=0.203		
Do you have a relative diagnosed with	No	2.009(0.995-4.057)	P=0.201		
	Yes				
COVID-19?	N/A	1.083(0.003-419)	P=0.946		
	No	0.912(0.583-1.427)	P=0.687		
	Yes				

Are you at risk of being infected with COVID-19?	N/A	6.316(0.689-57.936)	P=0.103		
Were you	No	1.301(0.822-2.058)	P=0.261		
quarantined for	Yes				
14 days?	N/A	0.000(0.000-)	P=1.000		
Did you follow	No	1.495(0.554-4.031)	P=0.427		
COVID news?	Yes				
	N/A	-	P=1.00		
What is your	Internet				
main source of info?	Friends	2.125(1.034-4.367)	P=0.04	1.694(0.746- 3.846)	P=0.208
	TV	1.794(0.911-3.535)	P=0.09	1.853(0.884- 3.884)	P=0.102

5. Associations of socio-economic variables with anxiety.

Lebanese Anxiety Scale								
	Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)				
Gender								
Male	0.215(0.036-1.269)	P=0.067						
Female	0.594(0.108-3.280)	P=0.636						
Prefer not to answer								
Age								
18- 24	1.899(0.541-6.666)	P=0.317						
[25-29]	0.755(0.226-2.522)	P=0.648						
[30-39]	0.747(0.237-2.355)	P=0.618						
40-49	0.704(0.198-2.498)	P=0.587						
>=50								
Marital Status								
Married	1.856(0.274-12.553)	P=0.526						
Single	1.460(0.150-14.235)	P=0.745						
Engaged	1.303(0.209-8.132)	P=0.777						
Divorced/Widowed/Separated								

Education Level				
Pre-high school	3.744(0.876-16.001)	P=0.349	1.521(0.47 9-4.824)	P=0.477
High school	2.364(1.230-4.544)	P=0.010	1.787(0.87 7-3.641)	P=0.110
University undergraduate (BS, BA, technical, vocational, etc.)	1.601(0.656-2.362)	P=0.069	1.427(0.84 2-2.417)	P=0.187
University graduate (MS, MBA, PhD, MD, etc.)				
Primary Nationality				
Lebanese	0.609(0.223-1.667)	P=0.335		
Prefer not to answer	1.00(0.053-18.915)	P=1.000		
Other				
Employment Type				
Self-employed	0.100(0.011-0.940)	P=0.044	0.97(0.20- 0.916)	P=0.042
Full time employee	0.087(0.010-0.768)	P=0.028	0.084(0.10- 0.749)	P=0.026
Part-time employee/daily laborer	0.121(0.013-1.129)	P=0.064	0.113(0.01 2-1.063)	P=0.057
Unemployed, not seeking employment (student, housewive, handicapped, retired)	0.241(0.027-2.184)	P=0.206	0.193(0.02 1-1.789)	P=0.148
Unemployed, actively seeking employment	0.133(0.013-1.318)	P=0.085	0.126(0.01 3-1.258)	P=0.078
Other				

Dwelling region				
Beirut	1.014(0.270-3.808	3)	P=0.983	
Mount Lebanon	1.334(0.403-4.419	9)	P=0.637	
South Lebanon	1.743(0.362-8.397	7)	P=0.489	
Bekaa	0.309(0.016-6.074	4)	P=0.440	
North Lebanon				
Income Status				
No income	2.084(0.519- 8.369)		P=0.301	
Low <675,000 LBP (450USD)	0.988(0.243-4.020))	P=0.986	
Moderate 675,000 - 1,500,000 LBP (450-1,000 USD)	2.281(0.648-802	4)	P=0.199	
Intermediate 1,500,000-3,000,000 LBP (1,000-2,000 USD)	1.461(0.421-5.078	3)	P=0.550	
High > 3,000,000 LBP (2,000 USD)	1.448(0.413-5.081	l)	P=0.563	
Prefer not to answer				
Household Size				
< 4 persons	0.879(0.441-2.014	1)	P=0.879	
4 persons	1.617(1.147-7.897	7)	P=0.198	
5 persons	0.833(0.318-2.352	2)	P=0.651	
>= 6 persons				
Number of rooms				
<5 rooms	0.678(0.254-1.311)	P=0.213	
5 rooms	0.886(0.315-1.826	5)	P=0.734	
6 rooms	0.611(0.122-0.930))	P=0.198	
>=7 rooms				

6. Associations of social support variables with anxiety

	Lebanese Aı	nxiety Score			
		Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)
Please indicate in th support:	ne next following s	statements how COVID-19	in Lebanon may h	ave changed your so	ocial and family
	Decreased				
Getting support from friends	Same as before	0.573(0.348-0.944)	P=0.029	0.698(0.403- 1.208)	P=0.199
	Increased	0.804(0.410-1.578)	P=0.527	1.086(0.505- 2.338)	P=0.833
~ · · ·	Decreased				
Getting support from family	Same as before	0.576(0.297-1.117)	P=0.103	0.916(0.431- 1.949)	P=0.820
	Increased	0.424(0.206-0.873)	P=0.02	0.518(0.212- 1.268)	P=0.150
21	Decreased				
Sharing feeling with family	Same as before	0.358(0.184-0.695)	P=0.002	0.463(0.219- 0.980)	P=0.044
	Increased	0.420(0.217-0.811)	P=0.01	0.553(0.238- 1.282)	P=0.167
21	Decreased				
Sharing feeling with others when in	Same as before	1.044(0.505-2.158)	P=0.054		
olue	Increased	1.458(0.684-3.108)	P=0.684		
Caring with family members' feelings	Decreased				
	Same as before	0.346(0.137-0.872)	P=0.024	0.538(0.190- 1.523	P= 0.243
	Increased	0.446 (0.181-1.100)	P=0.08	0.812(0.282- 2.343)	P=0.701

7. Association of health-related variables with fear of covid19

	Fear of COVID 19						
	Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)			
Alcohol consumption							
Previous							
None	1.954(0.284-13.436)	P=0.496					
Occasional	1.200(0.176-8.197)	P=0.852					
Regular	0.914(0.111-7.506)	P=0.934					
Cigarette Smoking							
Previous							
None	0.627 (0.70-2.117)	P=0.452					
Occasional	0.510(0.066-1.901)	P=0.316					
Regular	0.655(0.133-2.370)	P=0.519					
Waterpipe smoking							
Previous							
None	3.178(0.378-26.743)	P=0.287					
Occasional	4.737(0.513-43.728)	P=0.170					
Regular	1.412(0.131-15.266)	P=0.776					

Violence at home	;				
Physical/Verbal/C	Other Violence				
No violence		1.268(0.677-2	377)	P=0.459	
Current health co	verage				
No health coverage	ge				
Private insurance		1058(0.457-2	343)	P=0.856	
Social security		1.332(0.484-2	896)	P=0.422	
Other public cove	erage	2.100(0.700-7	797)	P=0.106	
Mental illness					
	Yes				
Do you have a mental illness?	No	0.625(0.248-1	571)	P=0.317	
mentar miness:	Prefer not to answer	0.519(0.069-3	878)	P=0.523	
Do you have a friend with a	Yes				
mental illness?	No	1.110(0.547-2	252)	P=0.772	
	Prefer not to answer	1.060(0.205-5	483)	P=0.944	
Do you have a family member diagnosed with	Yes				
	No	1.360(0.654-2	826)	P=0.410	
a mental illness?	Prefer not to answer	6.549(0.855-5	0.184)	P=0.071	

Chronic illness						
Do you have a	Yes					
chronic illness?	No	1.465(0.379-5.665)	P=0.580		
	Prefer not to answer	-		P=0.999		
Treatment for chronic illness	Regular treatment					
	No regular treatment	0.814(0.194-3.414)	P=0.779		
	N/A	0.355(0.075-1.681)	P=0.192		
Fear no access to	No					
treatment.	Yes	1.718(1.0132.915	5)	P=0.045	1.865(1.072- 3.243)	P=0.027
	N/A	0.818(0.481-1.391)	P=0.936		
Do you have a	No					
family member diagnosed with a	Yes	0.984(0.275-1.531)	P=0.942		
chronic illness?	N/A	0.459(0.057-1.286)	P=0.138		
Worried family me	ember					
No						
Yes		1.519(1.405-2.394)	P=0.072		
N/A		0.898(0.329-2.450)	P=0.834		
COVID-19						
Were you exposed to a	No	1.481(0.952-2.336)	P=0.081		
person with COVID-19?	Yes	3.465(0.567-21.18	7)	P=0.179		
· 	N/A					
Do you have a relative diagnosed with COVID-19?	No	0.774(0.477-1.161)	P=0.193		

Do you have a relative	No	0.774(0.477-1.161)	P=0.193		
diagnosed with COVID-19?	Yes	0.852(0.076-9.542)	P=0.897		
COVID-19?	N/A				
Are you at risk of being infected with	No	0.565(0.365-0.873)	P=0.010		
COVID-19?	Yes	1.426(0.196-10.376)	P=0.726		
	N/A				
Were you	No	0.692(0.361-1.327)	P=0.267		
quarantined for	Yes	-	P=0.999		
14 days?	N/A				
Did you follow COVID news?	No	0.319(0.202-0.506)	P=0.000	0.296(0.184- 0.476)	P=0.000
	Yes	1.191(0.073-19.315)	P=0.902		
	N/A				
What is your	Internet				
main source of	Friends	1.399(0.706-2.773)	P=0.337		
info?	TV	1.340(0.703-2.557)	P=0.374		

8. Association of socio-economic variables with fear of covid19

Participants						
	9	Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR		Significance (p<0.05)		
Gender						
Male						
Female	1.378(0.856-2.	219)	P=0.186			
Prefer not to answer	1.868(0.749-4.	658)	P=0.180			
Age						
18- 24						
[25-29]	1.251(0.679-2.	305)	P=0.472			
[30-39]	1.600(0.843-3.	034)	P=0.150			
40-49	2.016(0.921-4.	410)	P=0.079			
>=50	2.867(1.217-6.	463)	P=0.011	0.906(0.295- 2.776)	- P=0.862	
Marital Status						
Married	2.101(1.302-3.	389)	P=0.002	1.924(0.302- 12.261)	P=0.489	
Single						
Engaged	2.538(0.965-6.	680)	P= 0.059			
Divorced/Widowed/Separated	1.015((0.192-5	.366)	P=0.986			

Education Level					
Pre-high school		I			
High school	0.138(0.039-0.48	34)	P=0.002	4.457(1.179- 16.856)	P=0.028
University undergraduate (BS, BA, technical, vocational, etc.)	0.182(0.056-0.58	38)	P=0.004	1.541(0.680- 3.492)	P=0.300
University graduate (MS, MBA, PhD, MD, etc.)	0.125(0.039-040	4)	P= 0.001	1.531(0.879- 2.667)	P=0.133
Primary Nationality					
Lebanese		ı			
Prefer not to answer	0.631(0.242-1.64	11)	P=0.345		
Other	1.250(0.067-23.2	259)	P=0.881		
Employment Type					
Self-employed					
Full time employee	1.607(0.785-3.29	91)	P=0.194		
Part-time employee/daily laborer	1.121(0.465-2.70)2)	P=0.799		
Unemployed, not seeking employment (student, housewive,handicapped,retired)	1.255(0.562-2.80	03)	P=0.579		
Unemployed, actively seeking employment	0.964(0.328-2.82	28)	P=0.946		
Other	7.846(1.400-43.9	958)	P=0.019	0.200(0.027- 1.510)	P=0.119

Dwelling region				
Beirut				
Mount Lebanon	1.217(0.694-2.134)	P=0.492		
South Lebanon	1.208(0.483-3.022)	P=0.687		
Bekaa	-	P=0.999		
North Lebanon	1.159(0.431-3.120)	P=0.770		
Income Status				
No income				
Low <675,000 LBP (450USD)	1.182(0.474-2.944)	P=0.720		
Moderate 675,000 - 1,500,000 LBP (450-1,000 USD)	1.262(0.597-2.665)	P=0.543		
Intermediate 1,500,000-3,000,000 LBP (1,000-2,000 USD)	1.378(0.668-2.841)	P=0.385		
High > 3,000,000 LBP (2,000 USD)	1.647(0.820-3.307)	P=0.161		
Prefer not to answer	0.988(0.328-2.974)	P=0.983		
Household Size				
< 4 persons				
4 persons	1.018(0.580-1.786)	P=0.952		
5 persons	1.208(0.667-2.187)	P=0.533		
>= 6 persons	1.130(0.548-2.329)	P=0.740		
Number of rooms				
<5 rooms				
5 rooms	0.783(0.444-1.380)	P=0.398		
6 rooms	1.005(0.547-1.850)	P=0.986		
>=7 rooms	0.312(0.155-0.629)	P=0.001	0.470(0.222-0.995)	P=0.048

9. Associations of social support on fear of covid 19

		Fear of COV 19			
		OR; 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)
		se indicate in the next following s ged your social and family suppo		OVID-19 in Leba	non may have
	Decreased				
Getting support From friends	Same as before	0.640(0.391-1.048)	P=0.076		
rom menus	Increased	1.432(0.762-2.691)	P=0.265		
Getting support	Decreased				
from family	Same as before	0.965(0.488-1.911)	P=0.920		
	Increased	1.506(0.736-3.081)	P=0.262		
71 ' C 1'	Decreased				
Sharing feeling with family	Same as before	0.420(0.218-0.810)	P=0.010	0.533(0.252- 1.131)	P=0.101
	Increased	0.859(0.455-1.622)	P=0.639		
N1 ' C 1'	Decreased				
Sharing feeling with others when in blue	Same as before	0.526(0.296-0.937)	P=0.029	0.650(0.334- 1.265)	P=0.204
	Increased	1.314(0.730-2.365)	P=0.363		
Caring with	Decreased				
nembers'	Same as before	0.613(0.350-2.808)	P=0.314		
Feelings	Increased	1.051(0.410-3.380)	P=0.915		

10. Association of health-related variables with the impact of covid 19 on quality of life.

COVID 19 Impact on Quality of Life						
	OR; 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)		
Alcohol consumption						
Previous						
None	1.437(0.289-7.152)	P=0.658				
Occasional	0.621(0.130-2.975)	P=0.551				
Regular	0.399(0.70-2.277)	P=0.301				
Cigarette Smoking						
Previous						
None	0.564(0.087-3.657)	P=0.548				
Occasional	0.784(0.107-5.772)	P=0.811				
Regular	1.021(0.251-4.146)	P=0.977				
Waterpipe smoking						
Previous						
None	2.960(0.181-48.426)	P=0.447				
Occasional	4.583(0.237-88.602)	P=0.314				
Regular	4.041(0.192-85.162)	P=0.369				

Violence at home					
Physical/Verbal/O	ther Violence				
No violence		0.363(0.192-0.686)	P=0.002	0.690(0.327- 1.450)	P=0.331
Current health cov	rerage				
No health coverage	e				
Private insurance		1.078(0.474-2.452)	P=0.857		
Social security		1.267(0.522-3.077)	P=0.601		
Other public cover	rage	0.522(0.153-1.776)	P=0.298		
Mental illness					
	Yes				
Do you have a mental illness?	No	0.343(0.175-0.671)	P=0.002	0.398(0.170- 0.931)	P=0.034
	Prefer not to answer	1.581(0.291-8.596)	P=0.596	1.299(0.190- 8.892)	P=0.790
Do you have a	Yes				
friend with a mental illness?	No	0.492(0.304-0.797)	P=0.004	0.856(0.437- 1.679)	P=0.652
	Prefer not to answer	0.684(0.237-1.977)	P=0.483	0.608(0.150- 2.464)	P=0.486
Do you have a Yes					
family member diagnosed with a mental illness?	No	0.586(0.350-0.983)	P=0.043	1.173(0.600- 2.292)	P=0.641
	Prefer not to answer	0.930(0.231-3.743)	P=0.919	0.751(0.108- 5.202)	P=0.772

Chronic illness						
Do you have a	Yes					
chronic illness?	No	0.398(0.216-0.7	(35)	P=0.003	0.888(0.279- 2.825)	P=0.840
	Prefer not to answer	1.029(0.87-12.1	22)	P=0.982	-	P=0.999
Treatment for chronic illness	Regular treatmen t					
	No regular treatmen t	0.438(0.208-0.9	222)	P=0.030	0.686(0.199- 2.365)	P=0.550
	N/A	0.371(0.189-0.7	(29)	P=0.004	0.561(0.150- 2.106)	P=0.392
Fear no access	No					
to treatment.	Yes	4.038(2.301-7.0	89)	P=0.000	3.032(1.578- 5.828)	P=0.001
	N/A	1.352(0.668-2.7	(36)	P=0.402	1.515(0.803- 2.858)	P=0.200
Do you have a	No					
family member diagnosed with a chronic	Yes	2.332(1.496-3.6	(36)	P=0.000	1.447(0.843- 2.483)	P=0.180
illness?	N/A	0.239(0.051-1.1	25)	P=0.217	0.436(0.124- 1.525)	P=0.194
Worried family 1	nember					
No						
Yes		3.214(2.031-5.0	86)	P=0.000	2.028(1.144- 3.595)	P=0.016
N/A		1.375(0.320-5.9	12)	P=0.789	1.095(0.307- 3.902)	P=0.889
COVID-19						
Were you exposed to a	No	0.856(0.441-1.6	660)	P=0.645		
	Yes					

person with COVID-19?	N/A	0.927(0.058-14.732)	P=0.957		
Do you have a relative	No	1.741(0.876-3.461)	P=0.114		
diagnosed with COVID- 19?	Yes				
COVID- 19?	N/A	-	P=0.999		
Are you at risk of being	No	0.576(0.310-1.072)	P=0.082		
infected with	Yes				
COVID-19?	N/A	1.407(0.229-8.655)	P=0.712		
Were you quarantined	No	0.586(0.375-0.916)	P=0.019	0.635(0.376- 1.071)	P=0.089
for 14 days?	Yes				
	N/A	-		-	P=0.999
Did you follow	No	0.724(0.475-1.102)	P=0.132		
COVID news?	Yes				
	N/A				
What is your	Internet				
main source of	Friends	1.331(0.502-3.528)	P=0.565		
info?	TV	0.936(0.384-2.2780	P=0.883		

11. Association of socio-economic variables with the impact of covid 19 on quality of life

Participants				
	Exp (B); 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR***	Significance (p<0.05)
Gender				
Male				
Female	2.276(1.418-3.651)	P=0.001	2.239(1.386- 3.618)	P=0.001
Prefer not to answer	2.928(1.148-7.471)	P=0.025	5.348(1.265- 22.607)	P=0.23
Age				
18- 24				
[25-29]	0.864(0.471-2.028)	P=0.615		
[30-39]	0.886(0.233-1.344)	P=0.696		
40-49	0.351(0.127-1.304)	P=0.351		
>=50	1.000(0.230-2.499)	P=1.000		
Marital Status				
Single				
Married	2.298(0.870-6.068)	P=0.093		
Engaged	1.472(0.923-2.347)	P=0.104		
Divorced/Widowed/Separated	1.788(0.390-8.184)	P=0.454		

Education Level			
Pre-high school			
High school	1.972(0.605-6.433)	P=0.260	
University undergraduate (BS, BA, technical, vocational, etc.)	2.375(0.782-7.215)	P=0.127	
University graduate (MS, MBA, PhD, MD, etc.)	1.738(0.575-5.258)	P=0.328	
Primary Nationality			
Lebanese			
Prefer not to answer	1.146(0.071-18.475) P=0.924	
Other	1.432(0.551-3.722)	P=0.461	
Employment Type			
Self-employed			
Full time employee	1.740(0.864-3.504)	P=0.121	
Part-time employee/daily laborer	1.243(0.526-2.936)	P=0.620	
Unemployed, not seeking employment (student, housewive,handicapped,retired)	2.235(1.022-4.889)	P=0.044	
Unemployed, actively seeking employment	2.285(0.827-6.314)	P=0.111	
Other	3.222(0.676-15.352) P=0.142	

Dwelling region			
Beirut			
Mount Lebanon	0.968(0.561- 1.671)	P=0.907	
South Lebanon	0.667(0.272- 1.635)	P=0.376	
Bekaa	0.687(0.108- 4.378)	P=0.691	
North Lebanon	0.589(0.218- 1.588)	P=0.295	
Income Status			
No income			
Low <675,000 LBP (450USD)	0.934(0.293- 2.977)	P=0.497	
Moderate 675,000 - 1,500,000 LBP (450-1,000 USD)	0.507(0.171- 1.502)	P=0.126	
Intermediate 1,500,000-3,000,000 LBP (1,000-2,000 USD)	0.583(0.209- 1.628)	P=0.105	
High > 3,000,000 LBP (2,000 USD)	0.718(0.252- 2.047)	P=0.323	
Prefer not to answer	0.282(0.074- 1.072)	P=0.066	
Household Size			
< 4 persons			
4 persons	1.394(0.735- 2.643)	P=0.208	
5 persons	1.063(0.519- 2.177)	P=0.741	
>= 6 persons	0.750(0.314- 1.795)	P=0.335	
Number of rooms			
<5 rooms			

5 rooms	1.167(0.661- 2.058)	P=0.594	1.191(0.66 7-2.125)	P=0.554
6 rooms	1.110(0.614- 2.005)	P=0.730	0.986(0.53 7-1.811)	P=0.964
>=7 rooms	0.484(0.261- 0.899)	P=0.022	0.482(0.35 5-0.910)	P=0.024

12. Association of social support on the impact of covid 19 on quality of life

		The Impact of COVID 19 on Quality of Life				
		OR; 95% Confidence Interval for OR	Significance (p<0.05)	Adjusted OR**	Significance (p<0.05)	
Please indicate in th changed your social		tatements how COVID-19	9 in Lebanon may	have		
	Decreased					
Getting support from friends	Same as before	0.514 (0.318-0.831)	P=0.007	0.788(0.45- 1.376)	P=0.402	
	Increased	0.767(0.350-1.680)	P=0.190	0.795(0.365- 1.729)	P=0.562	
Catting	Decreased					
Getting support from family	Same as before	0.399(0.198-0.803)	P=0.010	0.751(0.336- 1.677)	P=0.484	
	Increased	0.356(0.170-0.746)	P=0.006	0.556(0.217- 1.426)	P=0.222	
Charing faaling	Decreased					
Sharing feeling with family	Same as before	0.175(0.084-0.362)	P=0.000	0.308(0.135- 0.705)	P=0.005	
	Increased	0.266(0.129-0.574)	P=0.000	0.385(0.159- 0.932)	P=0.034	
Charing faciling	Decreased					
Sharing feeling with others when in blue	Same as before	0.256(0.143-0.458)	P=0.000	0.464(0.234- 0.922)	P=0.028	
	Increased	0.696(0.380-1.277)	P=0.242	1.248(0.601- 2.593)	P=0.552	
Caring with	Decreased					
family members' feelings	Same as before	1.799(0.592-5.471)	P=0.259			
	Increased	2.005(0.650-6.178)	P=0.435			

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Xiang, Y.T., et al., *Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed.* Lancet Psychiatry, 2020. **7**(3): p. 228-229.
- 2. Sim, K. and H.C. Chua, *The psychological impact of SARS: a matter of heart and mind.* Cmaj, 2004. **170**(5): p. 811-2.
- 3. Holmes, E.A., et al., *Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science.* Lancet Psychiatry, 2020. **7**(6): p. 547-560.
- 4. Nussbaumer-Streit, B., et al., *Quarantine alone or in combination with other public health measures to control COVID-19: a rapid review.* Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2020. **4**(4): p. Cd013574.
- 5. Bizri, A.R., et al., *COVID-19 Pandemic: An Insult Over Injury for Lebanon*. J Community Health, 2021. **46**(3): p. 487-493.
- 6. Zhang, Y. and Z.F. Ma, Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health and Quality of Life among Local Residents in Liaoning Province, China: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2020. 17(7).
- 7. Gualano, M.R., et al., Effects of Covid-19 Lockdown on Mental Health and Sleep Disturbances in Italy. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2020. **17**(13).
- 8. Solomou, I. and F. Constantinidou, *Prevalence and Predictors of Anxiety and Depression Symptoms during the COVID-19 Pandemic and Compliance with Precautionary Measures: Age and Sex Matter.* International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020. **17**(14): p. 4924.
- 9. Obeid, S., et al., Factors associated with alexithymia among the Lebanese population: results of a cross-sectional study. BMC Psychol, 2019. 7(1): p. 80.
- 10. Salameh, P., et al., *Mental Health Outcomes of the COVID-19 Pandemic and a Collapsing Economy: Perspectives from a Developing Country*. Psychiatry Res, 2020. **294**: p. 113520.
- 11. Evans, S., et al., Effects of the COVID-19 lockdown on mental health, wellbeing, sleep, and alcohol use in a UK student sample. Psychiatry Research, 2021. **298**: p. 113819.
- 12. Msherghi, A., et al., *Mental Health Consequences of Lockdown During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Study*. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021. **12**.
- 13. MoPH, MOPH. Epidemiological surveillance program of COVID-19. . 2020; Available from: https://www.moph.gov.lb/en/Pages/2/24870/novel-coronavirus-2019 . 2020.
- 14. Simor, P., et al., *Home confinement during the COVID-19: day-to-day associations of sleep quality with rumination, psychotic-like experiences, and somatic symptoms.* Sleep, 2021. **44**(7).
- 15. Parmet, W.E. and M.S. Sinha, *Covid-19—the law and limits of quarantine*. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020. **382**(15): p. e28.

- 16. El-Ramady, H., et al., *Environment, Biodiversity and Soil Security: A New Dimension in the Era of COVID-19*. Environment Biodiversity and Soil Security, 2021. **5**.
- 17. Amirudin, A., et al., *Positive impacts among the negative impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic for community life.* E3S Web Conf., 2021. **331**: p. 01008.
- 18. Bundervoet, T., M.E. Dávalos, and N. Garcia, *The short-term impacts of COVID-19 on households in developing countries: an overview based on a harmonized dataset of high-frequency surveys.* World development, 2022: p. 105844.
- 19. Jackson, J.K., *Global economic effects of COVID-19*. 2021, Congressional Research Service.
- 20. Purssell, E., D. Gould, and J. Chudleigh, *Impact of isolation on hospitalised patients who are infectious: systematic review with meta-analysis.* BMJ Open, 2020. **10**(2): p. e030371.
- 21. Brooks, S.K., et al., *The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence.* Lancet, 2020. **395**(10227): p. 912-920.
- 22. Orgilés, M., et al., *Immediate Psychological Effects of the COVID-19 Quarantine in Youth From Italy and Spain.* Front Psychol, 2020. **11**: p. 579038.
- 23. Stolz, E., H. Mayerl, and W. Freidl, *The impact of COVID-19 restriction measures on loneliness among older adults in Austria*. Eur J Public Health, 2021. **31**(1): p. 44-49.
- 24. Casagrande, M., et al., *The enemy who sealed the world: effects quarantine due to the COVID-19 on sleep quality, anxiety, and psychological distress in the Italian population.* Sleep Medicine, 2020. **75**: p. 12-20.
- 25. Vatavali, F., et al., *Impact of COVID-19 on Urban Everyday Life in Greece.*Perceptions, Experiences and Practices of the Active Population. Sustainability, 2020. **12**(22): p. 9410.
- 26. Castaneda Aguilar, R.A., et al., *April 2022 Update to the Poverty and Inequality Platform (PIP)*. 2022.
- 27. Bisat, A., M. Cassard, and I. Diwan, *Lebanon's eco-nomiccrisis: Atragedyinthemaking*. 2021, TheMiddleEast Institute.
- 28. Dadouch, S., *Unrest Escalates in Lebanon as Currency Collapses and Prospect of Hunger Grows*. The Washington Post, 2020. **28**.
- 29. Organization, W.H., WHO Covid19 Dashboard. Geneva: World Healh Organization; 2020. 2021.
- 30. Zahreddine, N.K., et al., *Challenges of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Lebanon in the midst of the economic collapse*. Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology, 2022. **2**(1).
- 31. Salameh, P., et al., *Mental health outcomes of the COVID-19 pandemic and a collapsing economy: perspectives from a developing country.* Psychiatry research, 2020. **294**: p. 113520.
- 32. Grey, I., et al., *The role of perceived social support on depression and sleep during the COVID-19 pandemic*. Psychiatry research, 2020. **293**: p. 113452.
- 33. Keeley, B., *The State of the World's Children 2021: On My Mind--Promoting, Protecting and Caring for Children's Mental Health.* 2021: ERIC.
- 34. Mourani, S.C. and M.-C. Ghreichi, *Mental Health Reforms in Lebanon During the Multifaceted Crisis*.

- 35. El Othman, R., et al., *COVID-19 pandemic and mental health in Lebanon: a cross-sectional study*. International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice, 2021. **25**(2): p. 152-163.
- 36. Younes, S., et al., Effect of COVID-19 on mental health among the young population in Lebanon. Encephale, 2022. **48**(4): p. 371-382.
- 37. Zeineddine, A.A.-A.A., Lebanon Has Highest Cost of Living, Worst Quality of Life. 2022.
- 38. Lau, J.T., et al., Positive mental health-related impacts of the SARS epidemic on the general public in Hong Kong and their associations with other negative impacts. J Infect, 2006. **53**(2): p. 114-24.
- 39. Ahorsu, D.K., et al., *The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Development and Initial Validation*. Int J Ment Health Addict, 2022. **20**(3): p. 1537-1545.
- 40. Barbour, B., N. Saadeh, and P. Salameh, *Psychological distress in Lebanese* young adults: Constructing the screening tool 'BDS-22'. International Journal of Culture and Mental Health, 2012. 5: p. 94-108.
- 41. Hallit, S., et al., Construction of the Lebanese Anxiety Scale (LAS-10): a new scale to assess anxiety in adult patients. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract, 2020. **24**(3): p. 270-277.
- 42. Repišti, S., et al., COVID-19–Impact on Quality of Life (COV19-QoL) scale (v1.5). 2020.
- 43. Van Reenen, M. and B. Janssen, *EQ-5D-5L User guide basic information on how to use the EQ-5D-5L instrument https://eurogol. org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09*. EQ-5D-5L_UserGuide_2015. pdf: EuroQol Research Foundation, 2015.
- 44. CUIJPERS, P., et al., Screening for mood and anxiety disorders with the fiveitem, the three-item, and the two-item Mental Health Inventory. Psychiatry research (Print), 2009. **168**(3): p. 250-255.
- 45. Kuusio, H., et al., Response Activity in Mixed-Method Survey Data Collection— The Methods Used in a Survey among the Foreign-Born Population in Finland (FinMonik). International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021. **18**(6): p. 3300.
- 46. Akyazi, S., et al., *Domestic violence victims in shelters: What do we know about their mental health?* Community mental health journal, 2018. **54**: p. 361-369.
- 47. Cheng, Y., et al., *The effects of family structure and function on mental health during China's transition: a cross-sectional analysis.* BMC family practice, 2017. **18**: p. 1-8.
- 48. Won, G., et al., *The association between dependent self-employment and self-reported depression/anxiety and sleep disorder in South Korea*. Ann Occup Environ Med, 2019. **31**: p. e13.
- 49. Tang, B., et al., A meta-analysis of risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in adults and children after earthquakes. International journal of environmental research and public health, 2017. **14**(12): p. 1537.
- 50. Moore, R., et al., "This Pandemic Is Making Me More Anxious about My Welfare and the Welfare of Others:" COVID-19 Stressors and Mental Health. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2021. **18**(11).
- 51. Al Dhaheri, A.S., et al., Impact of COVID-19 on mental health and quality of life: Is there any effect? A cross-sectional study of the MENA region. PLoS One, 2021. **16**(3): p. e024910

